GRUNDRISS

DEL

INDO-ARISCHEN PHILOLOGIE

U\D

ALTERTUMSKUNDE

DATES MIRWISKING VO

A BAINT LORIO I G BRINT HENA RIVA VI LOGIFILD BALDI ON J BEFFE STONERRIE I FIFTI ONDON O FRA IN " KÖNE BRAG. L. GREB TERRIER W GLIGTE FLAGET K CELDWER BRAIN G I CRIEFSON CALCUTTA I HILLER MOT LETELA II JUGOS HONN J JOHN WÖRENNE, H KERN LIDEN E LANN MONDEN, F ITHINNY STRA WERE B LEDGER HELD HI I CORES GÖTTEN FN A A MACDONITI ONTOR I MEN ET WINTE I PROMET HALF F J RAYSON FONDON J S SILVE GEONGET M I STIN CALCUTA G THROUTH HALF F J RAYSON FONDON J S SILVE GEONGET M I STIN CALCUTA G THROUTH HALF F J RAYSON FONDON J S SILVE GEONGET M I STIN CALCUTA G THROUTH HALF F J RAYSON FONDON J S SILVE GEONGET M I STIN CALCUTA G THROUTH HALF F J RAYSON FONDON J S SILVE GEONGET M I STIN CALCUTA G THROUTH CALCUTA CONTROLLED HALF.

HC D/DEL

GEORG BÜHLER

LOI L(LeLISI

F. KIELHORN

(XVI-Y

* LIBRARY.

THE \HINRVALLD

M BLOOMFIELD

STRASSBURG VERFAG VON KARL J TRÜBNEK 1800

In diesem Werk soll zum ereten Mal der Vereund gemacht werden, einen Gesamtüberblick ther die einzelnen Gebie'e der indoorischen Philologie und Altertumskunde in knopper und systematischer Dustellung zu geben. Die Mehrzahl der Gegenstände wird dan't aberhaupt zum ersten Mal eine ausammenl'inconde abgerandete Hehm dlung erfahren; deshalb darf von dem Werk reicher Gewinn für die Wissenschaft selbet eihoft werden. trotelem es in erster Lime für Lernende bes'immt ist.

Etwa dreissig Gelehrte aus Deutschland, Osterreich, England, Holland, Indien und Amerila haben sich vereirigt, um diese Aufcabe zu lösen, vobei em Feil der Mitarbeiter ibre Beiträge deutsch, die übrigen sie erglisch abfassen werden. (Siehe nachfolgenden Plan.)

Pesteht schon in der raumlichen Entfernang vicler Mitarbeiter eine gefissere Schwierigkeit als bei anderen abnhehen Unternehmungen, so schien es auch geboten, die Unzuträglichkeit der meisten Sammelwerke, velche durch den unberechenbaren Ablieferungstermin der einzelnen Beitrage ent-

stellt, dadurch zu vermeiden, dass die einzelnen Ab chritte gleich nach ihret Ablieferung einzeln gedruckt und ausgegeben werden. - Das Werk wird aus drei Binden Lev. 80

im ungefahren Umfang von je 1100 Seifen bestehen, in der Ausstattung des in demselben Verlag erscheinenden Grunarisses der wanischen Philologie. Der Subskriptionspreis des ganzen Werkes betragt durchschnittlich 6; Pf. pro Druckbogen von 16 Seiten, der Preis der einzelnen Hefte durchschnittlich 80 Pf. pro Druckbogen. Auch für die Tafeln und Karten wird den Subskribenten eine Ermässigung von 20% auf den Einzelpreis zugesichert. Über die Einteilung des Werkes giebt der auf Seite 3 dieses Umschlags befindliche Plan Auslanft.

Strasscurg, im September 1899.

'The Encyclopedia of Indo-Aryan Research contains the first attempt at a complete, systematic and concise survey of the vast field of Indian languages, religion, history, antiquíties, and art, most of which subjects have never before been treated in a connected form. Though the Encyclopedia is primarily intended as a book of reference for students, it will nevertheless be useful to all connected with India; and though it chiefly summarises the results achieved, it will also contain much that is

About thirty scholars of various nationalities. - from Austria, England, Germany, India, the Netherlands and the United States have promised to unite in order to accomplish this task. The contributions will be written either in English or in German

new and leads up to further research.

Each part (see the Plan) will be published separately and with a sepurate pagination.

The work will consist of three volumes, each of about 1100 pages royal octavo. The subscription for the complete work will be at the rate of about 6; Pfennig (8 d), the price of a single part at the rate of 80 Pfennig (10 d), per sheet of 16 pages. Subscribers will also enjoy a reduction of 20 percent for plates and maps.

For the plan of the work see page 3 of this cover.

Die Verlagshandlung,

The Publisher.

Auf Wunsch einiger Bibliotheken werden von jetzt an den einzelnen Heften vorlaufige eigene Titelblatter beigegeben. Auch von den bereits erschienenen Heften sind solche Tstelblätter nachtraglich zu haben und werden auf Verlangen durch die Buchhandlungen, welche die Subskription vermittelt haben, unentgeltlich nachgehefert

Die Verlagshandlung.



GRUNDRISS DER INDO-ARISCHEN PHILOLOGIE UND ALTERTUMSKUNDE (RICYCLOPEDIA OF INDO ARYAN RESEARCH)

BLGRUNDET VON G BÜHLEI, FORTGLSITZT VON I KITIHORN

II 1 AND, 1 HEFT B

20315

THE ATHARVAVEDA

B

M BLOOMFIELD.

->+≪

2000

STRASSBURG VERLAG VON KARL J TRÜBNLR 1899

GRUNDRISS DER INDO-ARISCHEN PHILOLOGIE UND ALTERTUMSKUNDE

(ENCYCLOPEDIA OF INDO-ARYAN RESEARCH)

NON G BÜHLI K, I OR EGESETZT VON E KIELHOL N

BEGRÜNDLT VON G BÜHLIK, IORIGISITZT VON E KITIHOIN
H LAND, I HELLF

THE ATHARVA-VEDA AND THE GOPATHA-BRĀHMANA

Β¥

M \URICL BLOOM ILLD

PART I THE ATHARVA-VEDA IN GENERAL.

A CHARACTER AND CHRONOLOGY OF THE ATTARVA ALDA

Sr External comparison with the other Vedas - The Athana Veda is a collection of 730 hymns containing some 6000 stanzas divided into 20 books. About one sixth of the mass, including two entire books (15 and 16), is written in prose, similar in style and language to the Brahmanas 1. the rest is poetry in the usual Vedic metres. The latter however are handled with great freedom, often betraving either ignorance or disregard of the Vedic metrical canons as applied to the poetry of the Kig Veda! Genuine tradition as to the authorship of the hymns there is none the hymns themselves are silent, the reports of the Stri makram ank i of the W are in this respect absolutely fatuous. I alike the kik sim in and I may - the led is respectively of hims of press, thinted son, and surmed beenes and formulas - the names of the W do not in the first place reflect the nature of the contents, or the manner of employment of its home. He name brihms Veda is very late and does n t in the main designate Vela of charms and incentations, but rather Veda of the I rahma (holy word or religion) the name was arrived at in a round about tishion! Other le craftice names such as bhe again, vate, etc, are only partial descriptions and though tum har enough, were never used extensively. Instead, the a occation of this Veda is with mythic fire priests of prehistoric intimuts, Athurs in and Anguas (later also I brgu) resulting in the names Athan marrisch Three ment than I finally Athan wedt. It has been assumed that this association is entirely econ lary due to the natural desire to adorn these otherwise almost nameless compositions with an antiquity and dignits which do not belong to them but the names at ir in and angiris ire well ingramed in the poems themselves, in a sense very much the same as that of the oldest tale of the W (atlat ngmsal)? Hence it may be well to remember that the Athurvanic rates is well as the Hindu ceremonies connected with home-lite ("rhiz) centre about the fire, in di tinction from the treater ledge ecremon es (server) which are in the main concerned with oblitions of some It is therefore possible to believe that the Vedic Hindus, when they said of these charms that they were a sarrar th and a cararah, meant 'nre-charms' i e charms pronounced when some of ition, not soma, was poured or thrown into the tire"

lade are he Palot me IL ta

\$ 2. Relative chronology of the popular and hieratic litera. tures.-Anyhow this, difference of nomenclature between the three Vedas on the one side and the Atharvan on the other is an important and profound one in the history of Vedic literature. Leaving aside the beginnings of speculative theosophic literature which are represented freely in both types (RV. and AV.), we are lead to two main divisions of Vedic literature, the three Vedas with their soma-sacrifices, and the AV, with the house-ceremonies (grh)a), i. e., respectively, the hieratic and the popular religion. The statement put in this form is of importance for the relative chronology of the Atharvan uritings: it becomes evident at once, and from the ethnological point of view a fortione, that there can have-been no period of Vedic history in which house-customs and mantras of essentially Athan anic character were wanting, while at the same time the more elaborate hieratic mantras and soma-sacrifices were present. In fact, in some form or other both are prehistoric. The hieratic religion joins the Avestan haoma-worship; the Atharvanic charms and practices are very likely rooted in an even earlier, perhaps Indo-European, antiquity. At least, he who does not regard the analoga between Atharvanic charms and practices and those of the Teutonic and other I. E. peoples as entirely accidental (anthropological) must besitate to ascribe all the mantras of the AV. and Grhyasūtras to a late Vedic period 10. In the case of some, e. g. the wedding-charms and the funeral hymns, this is manifestly impossible; it is not less so in the case of at least some hymns embodied in the AV. Samhitas alone, as, e. g. 4-12. This point of view gains much firmness from a complete survey of the vast armory of charms, blessings, and curses contained in the AV., such as may be gained by reading over the analysis of the sulgate as given in this book (Part III). What is the nature of the impulse which created ex nihile, at a late period so strong and popular a need, and with it such elaborate means of satisfaction; what were the conditions which exempted the earlier and therefore more primitive Vedic time from these needs and their gratification? It has been assumed that the more . intimate blending of the Vedic people with the barbarous aborigines of India may have contributed much to the sulgarization of the behefs and literature of the Vedic Hindus. This is certainly true to some extent, but it does not account for a literature of such extent and character as the Atharvan. This is, after all, only to a limited extent suggestive of aboriginal barbarism: demonolatry with all other things that are hideous and uncanny make up only a part of the AV, and the related Grhya-literature; nor is it possible to demonstrate that even all that is borrowed from outside sources. Contrariwise, Atharvanic charms are often pervaded by a more genuine 'Aryan' spirit than the more artistic prayers to the gods of the Rigvedic pantheon (e g. 3. 12; 3, 30; 4. 8; 7. 36 and 37) That the differences in language, style, and metre between AV, and RV, are by no means always to be interpreted as chronological but rather as dislectic; and that the songs of the lower grades of the people were sure to be composed in a language slightly different from that of the higher priestly families will be shown below (\$ 38 and 42).

S'3. Chronology of the Atharvan reduction.—Yet there can be no doubt that the existing collections of the Atharvan are the final product of a reductioral activity much later than that of the RV, and that many hymns and prose pieces in the AV. date from a very late period of Vede productivity. The Atharvan hymns as well as the Grhya-rites present themselves in a form thoroughly Rishified and Brahmanired, even the mantras and rites of the most primitive ethnological Baor have been caught in the drag-net of the priestly class and made part of the universal Vedic religion.

Thus the AV, with its popular beliefs poses outwardly in the same attitude of dignity as the RV, with the soma-rites, i. e. Brahmanical priests handle charm and hocus-nocus as religion, not as superstition. As a natural consequence the Vedic pantheon is brought down and made to participate in the common people's customs and superstitions. But one feels the difference; they are employed mechanically, they have become sterile, and only rarely develop their character beyond the point at which the RV, leaves them, Agni, Indra, the Maruts, Brhaspati, etc., are mentioned most always in series which show that the Vedic gods have become indifferently of equal value. Being of old slavers of demons, they are needed, of course, against the darkling brood of demons, goblins, wizards, and witches which rise above the horizon from the lowest depths of the folks consciousness: demon-slavers they are in the AV., and little else. Even ethical Varuna with his spies, by virtue of his unrivaled facilities for ferreting out hostile, i. e, co i/so sinful, schemes of enemies and sorcerers, figures familiarly. Such criticisms as are called out . by this inherently difficult and paradoxical condition of things in the midst of a people capable of higher thought, belong to a rather late time. While all this has been going on speculative theosophic thought which seems never to have been wanting in India, has also moved from such beginnings as are found in the RV, to a greater degree of subtlety and mysticism; the sublimated pantheism of the Upanisads has been reached nearly, if not quite, Everything is grist to the mill of the Atharvan not only are entire theosophic hymns fitted out as weapons against the hated enemy and wizard, but individual speculative formulas and theosophic entities have joined the claptrap that is supposed to be effective against 'him that hates us and whom we hate'. This explains the extraordinary fact that a hymn bke 8 6, resting moon the lowest bathos of folk-lore, can exist peaceably by the side of such fine-spun theosophic lucubrations as the two hymns to Skambha 'Support' (10, 7 and 8) which present the knowledge of brahma and atman as the highest goal; or that asat 'non being', the perplexing cosmogonic conception, may be turned against performers of spells (4 19. 6)11. From such phenomena as these, rather than the word-forms, or demonological contents of the hymns, the evidence of a later time must be extracted the concurrent use of popular, hieratic, and philosophical themes for practical purposes, often manifestly secondary, betokens a highly reflective, manipulating period of Brahmanical activity

& t. Relation of the AV to the Brihmanas, and the Dharmatexts. - Indeed the word Brahmanical which has just now been used is to be taken, it seems, in its narrower sense, namely, the period of the composition of the Brahm in texts. The observant reader of a commentary on the AV., such as has been published by the author in Sbl., vol XLII, will find abundant evidence that the spirit of the Brahmana texts - I refrain from saying Brahmana period because there never was a period devoted exclusively to the composition of Brahmanas - asserts itself mightily in the collection of the AV, as a whole. Above all, these hymns run the whole gamut of the pretensions and demands of the Brahmana priesthood in the style of the Brahmana-texts themselves. The Brahmans in the AV., as in the Brahmanatexts, call themselves data, 'gods''; their claims reach the highest pitch. A comparison of Wiren's 'Collectanea on the Castes' with the chapter in this book on the 'Prayers and imprecations in the interest of Brahmans' (\$ 56) fixes pretty definitely the lower limit in the relative chronology of the Atharvan diaskeuasis: it belongs to an advanced period of Brahmanical literary activity; there is nothing in the way of assuming that the composition

of such texts as AB. and SB. preceded the redactions of the Atharvan Samhitas. The sparse geographical data of the AV., especially the mention of the rivers Yamuna and Varanavati and the regions of the Angas and Magadhas 14, point to an acquaintance with India far enough to the east and south-east to accommodate the scene of action of the Brahmana-texts. Among zoographic facts pointing in the same direction the most conspicuous is the Atharvan's familiarity with the tiger, the inhabitant of the swampy forests of Bengal, perhaps more narrowly the region about Benares 15. The inevitable and doubtless prehistoric (Indo-Iranian) distinction, on the one hand, between priests, chieftains, and free commoners, all three arya, and the aboriginal servitorclass (dasyu, śūdra) has advanced in the AV., so that the line is drawn sharply not only between the two (ārya and sūdra) but also between the first three, . brahmana, kṣatri) a and vaisya 16. The knowledge of anatomy, human and animal, has advanced nearly if not quite so far as in the Brahmanical accounts of the astamedia and purusamedia17. The hymn 11. 7 which deifies the leavings of the porridge (ucchiefa) is not only full of hair-splitting scholastic. theosophy, but also contains a long catalogue of the frauta-sacrifices and the technical forms of recitation of hymns and liturgic chant. The combination of the two gods Tvastar and Savitar and their assimilation to Praianati reflects the spirit of the Brahmanas (see 2, 26, 1; 3, 8, 2; 7, 17, 4). In another direction the AV reaches an advanced period of literary activity, namely in the marked development of expiatory hymns (prayascitta); the entire tract of hymns, AV. 6 110- 121, deals with sin (papa, enas, kilbisa, etc.) very much in the same spirit as the Vidhana-texts and the Dharma-texts. Thus the chapter of this book on 'Expiation of sin and defilement' (\$ 58) touches at many points the paragraph entitled 'Religious delinquencies' in Jorny's 'Recht und Sitte' p. 115ff, as also the prayascitta-chapters, Svidh. 1.5ff. Especially noteworthy are 6 117-119 which apparently aim to salve the conscience for unpaid debts (rna), in part gambling-debts; and 6, 112 and 113 which deal with the class of sins 'wiped off' by the gods from themselves upon the 'scapegod' Trita, sins like the marriage of a younger brother before the older, abortion, and murder of Brahmans ¹⁸. This class of hymns together with the closely related so-called kūmānda ¹⁹, TA. 2. 3—6, mark, as has hardly been noticed, the longest line of contact between mantra and dharma, and the matter is of some unportance in determining the relative chronology of the · Atharvan reduction as very late. Of course dates, real dates, in Vedic literature prior to Buddha and the Epic are still 'pins set up only to be bowled down again'. So true is this that fortunately no knowing attempts have been made as yet to fix either the date of the composition of the individual hymns or the reduction. This much is clear that the chronology of each hymn, and each antiquarian and institutional theme, must be viewed from an ever changing critical position, and with a particularly constant regard of the related facts of the whole Vedic tradition: to mass the testimony of the AV. at any one point, to speak, except for occasional convenience, of the period of the AV., seems an even more pernicious error than the bundling together of the facts of the so-called 'Rigvedic' period into one package, separate from all the rest of the early Brahmanic antiquity.

MADIIS SDANARAS VI, Pranhandheda, IS, I, 16 (DYSSEN, Allgemeine Geschichte der Photosophie, vol. 1, part 1, p. 50); SAYAN, Introduction to his commentary on the AV; ALLERYS, Indus (SAGIAC) stranshedon p. 129 ff; Color proops, Essays, vol. 1, p. 13, Solf.; Donttis, Das alte Indicators, 1228; ANAXI, Indische Afterthamskan fc, vol. 1, p. 523; Roya, Carl Attentor un Joseph Wedy, p. 5; 15; 27 ff; Abhan Bang dater den Attareavical (Tablingen 1866); the Marya-Veda in Kaschmur (Tablingen 1875); Max Midlars, 1188L, p. 445 ft.; Chips

from a German Workshop, vol. I, p. 9 ff.; Webers, IS. I, 289, 294 ff.; XIII, 334 ff.; Individe I Herturgeschichte?, p. 11, 161 ff.; Whitney, JAOS, IV, 254; OI S. J., p. 181f.; Sankth Gramman, p. xv; I wown, Der Rug-Ved, vol. III, p. 28, 344 ff.; l. v. Schrodder, Jackson Stuffis Frandstoop, p. 4, 97; R. T. II. Generaltr, Hjams of the Atharvaceda (translation), in the preface; Hardy, Die Vedusch brahmanische Periode, p. 196 ff.; Didynser, Die Religion des Veda, p. 15ff; Horkys, Religions of india, p. 15f ff.; Browtier, Religion of the Atharvaceda, SBL XIII, Introduction; Anomymos, The Atharvaceda described, London and Madras 1897 (missionary tract).

1 Book 16 contains, however, some passages which are written in eadecace prose from which it is possible to extract at least single metrical padas. This is true of much other prose material in the AV, (as also in the VV), so that it is not halfequently difficult to decide whether a given hymn or stanar is prose or poetry; prose and loose verse are mixed up in the AV. to an extent not pulte reached in any other class of Vedic writings. Op. Withrary, Index Verborum, p. 5. − ₹ See below, § 35. − 4 Below, § 19. − 4 Below, § 9 and 33, and more high, Bills. XIII, p. 1 vius, and V. Vi. − 3 See § 8 and 9 · W Furn I. 1, 2017 (19.18). The Averborum, p. 5. − ₹ See below, § 35. − 4 Below, § 19. − 4 Below, § 9 and 33, and more high, Bills. XIII, p. 1 vius, and V. Vi. − 3 See § 8 and 9 · W Furn I. 1, 2017 (19.18). The Averborum of the Verborum, p. 5. − ₹ See below, § 35. − 4 Below, § 19. − 4 Below, § 19

B. HISTORICAL CHARACTER OF THE ATHARVAN, AND ITS RELATION TO THE HOUSE-BOOKS.

\$ 5. The mutual relations of the AV, and the Grhya-sūtras, and their historical value. - Ancient India, as is well known, has no history in the ordinary sense, no secular history In heu thereof the history of its religion and the history of its institutions are unrivaled among the peoples of olden times in their continuity and completeness. Especially the obscurer and more sluggish currents of ordinary duly life, a knowledge of which is so important for the true estimate of a people, are lud bare to the eye of the historian by an altogether unusual kind of tradition. We are not left to reconstruct a picture of the private life of the Vedic Hindu from scattered, incidental statements of their ancient literature alone. Valuable as such statements are, more trustworthy perhaps, as far as they go, than intentional descriptions, they are yet certain to be fragmentary, and to yield but a hazy outline of the subject. In addition to such incidental statements Vedic literature has preserved native systematic treatises on home-life which have searched out and stated systematically a well defined body of facts connected with the every-day existence of the individual and the family. These are the Grhya-sūtras, or house-books, composed as formal treatises at a comparatively late Vedic period, but reporting practices and prayers of great antiquity'. This class of texts one their existence to the religious view which the Hindus were led to take of the entire course of their lives. In its even daily course, as well as in its crucial moments, the life of the Hindu is surrounded by a plethora of religious forms;

it is, as it were, sacramental throughout. The beliefs of the folk did not forever flow in a separate undercurrent beneath the open-air religion, scorned by the latter as superstition, but they were at an early time imbedded within the religion. The Grhya-sūtras, as in a measure also the Siauta-sūtras, resulted from a codification of popular beliefs undertaken by the Brahmans at a time when these beliefs had been completely harmonized with the Brahmanical order of things, as well as with the divine law and the personal needs and demands of the gods.

It is not to be doubted that the simple practices which are at the bottom of the systematic house-books were at all times accompanied by prayers to such gods, genii, and demons as peopled the fancy of the simple folk4. To he sure the Grhya-sūtras in their finished form are later redactorial products of schools of Vedic learning, and as such participate to a large extent in the entire stock of hymns, stanzas, and liturgic prayers of their particular school without careful regard to the original purpose for which these hymns, stanzas, etc., were composed5. In other words, as the practice of home-rites passed more and more into the hands of the Brahmans, the latter did not stint them their spiritual learning, they decked out the practices with mantras often ludicrously misapplied to the situation. We may also suppose that many ancient prayers were remodelled by the Brahmans to accord better with their own religious ideas and literary habits. Yet it is impossible to believe that marriage-ceremony, burial-rite, medical charm, exorcism and the like can ever have been carried on without prayer, and it will be ultimately a distinct task of Vedic study to find out what are the original grhya-mantras and grhyaformulas in distinction from the later importations. Such a body of prayers would be even more fit to be trusted as a report of early customs than the Sūtras themselves, they would cancel for themselves all suspicion that we are dealing with individual trumped up fancies. The prayers of the Grhya-sutras are either woven into the account of the practices themselves, or they are preserved as separate collections (mantra-brāhmana, mantra-bātha); the Samhitas of the AV. are, as it were, Mantra-pathas on a large scale, broader in scope and freer from school-influence than those of the house-books proper, We may expect to find in their hymns a picture of the private antiquities of ancient India, painted on a large canviss with no particular choice of favored subjects placed in the fore-ground; a picture such as cannot be furnished by the Grhya-sūtras, because they limit themselves eclectically to good or pious subjects in the main. The light and the shadows, the good and the evil in the life of this ancient people must appear in due proportion.

S 6. Scope of the AV, as a record of ancient life. — This expectation the hymns of the AV. Inflit quete amply. Supported by its own ritual book, the Sütra of Kausika, which reports the practices connected with these hymns in a way that is on the whole trustworthy, the AV. furnishes an almost complete picture of the ordinary life of the Vedte Hindu. The AV. deals with the themes of the house-books proper and is, of course, supplemented by these interesting and important treatises. The life of the average Arya from the cradle to the funeral-pyre is depicted by the AV. with greater freedom and completeness than in the house-books; the difference, as I have said before, is that the AV. is not at all squeamish in the choice of its themes, and exhibits the ordinary Hindu not only in his aspect of devout and sutuous adherent of the Brahmanic gols, and performer of pious practices, but also as the natural semi-civilized man: rapacious, demon and fear-ridden, Inteful, lustful, addicted to sorcery. The variety of practices and beliefs connected with house and home, field and cattle, love and marriage, trade and village-

politics, is also far greater in the AV, than in the house-books. But it contains, in addition much that is wanting or barely touched upon in the Grhyasutras. The analysis of the AV, which forms the third part of this work exhibits this difference even in the headings of its separate paragraphs. Thus the medical charms (\$ 50) present a complete picture of primitive Hindu medicine, a theme that is hardly indicated in any other department of Vedic literature. The house-books have nothing that corresponds to the theme, 'Prayers and imprecations in the interest of Brahmans' (\$ 56); very little that corresponds to the 'Royal rites' (\$ 55): these two chapters hold the earliest fairly systematic account of the two superior castes, the Brahmans and the Ksatriyas. The 'Women's rites' (\$ 53), the 'Charms to secure harmony, influence in the village-assembly' (\$ 54), and many other less prominent themes, though not entirely absent in the house-books, are also characteristically Atharvan. It may be said fairly that the house-books are excerpts from the broad sphere of life with all its realities, excerpts which were begun in a certain mood that governed the choice of subjects, and that this choice became traditional in all non-Atharvanic Vedic schools. Thus all Grhya-sūtras present in the main the same selections, their many differences notwithstanding6: the circle or endless chain of human existence: birth, confirmation, Brihman disciplehood, arrival at man's estate, marriage, householdership and again birth, etc., with many intermediate rites. Other matters are treated only incidentally and in a subsidiary way. Not only are the Grby a-sutras restricted to the more pious and orderly aspects of daily life, but they deal also in the main with those practices which are of a regular, permanent, or periodic character - nit) akarmani as the theologians call them - whereas the AV, is engaged largely with occasional and optional practices (naunittika, kamya) To this the AV, owes its flavor of romance and inexpectedness. As the reader works his way hymn by hymn through the Atharvan collections, arranged with a degree of gauchene hardly to be excelled, he is surprised and bewildered by the number and variety of subjects, by the insistent way in which the obscurer relations and emotions of human life are brought to the surface and exploited. And there is left finally the definite impression that the precious literary diligence of the Hindus has in this instance preserved a document of priceless value for the institutional history of early India as well as the ethnological history of the human race, that in this respect the AV. is a document as precious as is the RV, on the side of mythology and formal priestly religion.

1 Sec Orientees, SBI, ANA, p. Mid = 3 Note in this connection, e.g., the way in which the proper noun artists, "presenting a cow to guests," in the RVs, hix embeddings in excentil feature of the arguer, in the sat the reception of a honored guest; see Contributions "Secution Street, MPA, VMI, 424 -> HILLE-BRAND, Ruthal Literature, p. 1ff = 4 Quite the opposite view is advocated by COLYMERO, C. e. p. X: that she been criticated by Witterstry. The Martiraphila of the Appartimbins, p. CHIV. = 5 Cp. E. W. Fiv., Johns Hopking University Gredlars, Why, 1809, old IV, nr. 81, p. 74, SBE, MAII, p. VALIH = 0 For a comprehensive view of the themes of the Grbys astress see Colymero's synopsis, SBE, XIX, p. 200—207; for a description in detail, HILLERAND, Lee, p. 44 iff = 7 See § 36 ff.

C. THE NAMES OF THE ATHARVA-VEDA AND THEIR MEANINGS !

8 7. The compound Atharvaingirasah and the meaning of its 'momenters, Atharvan and Angiras. — The oldest name of the fourth Veda is the dyandva-plural atharvaigrasub (AV. 10. 7. 20), the name found at the head of the manuscripts of the Sunakiya-Sanhita. More or less secondary and irregular forms and derivatives, such as occur in the expressions.

atharvāngirasi śrutam, Mahābh. 3. 305. 20 = 170662; kuśalam atharvāngirase, Yājā, 1. 312; kitjām atharvāngirasim, Mahābh 8. 40. 33 - 1818; atharvāngirasih Srutih, Manu 11.33; atharvangirasan tarpayami, Baudh, Dh. 2. 5. 9. 14, are of later growth. The name atharvan and its derivatives are employed growingly throughout the literature, whereas the name angiras by itself occurs but in a single Vedic passage, TS. 7. 5. 11. 2 = Kāthaka Aśvamedhagrantha (angiroblyah sraha), as the designation of the fourth Veda 3. Quite frequently, however, the members of the compound atharvangirasah are separated so that each is mentioned by itself, but always in more or less close vicinity to one another, showing that the Atharvans and Angirases had a separate existence, and that the AV. consists of these two component parts. In fact, in a considerable range of the literature especially of older times the term atharran refers to the auspicious practices of the Veda, the bhesajant (AV. 11. 6. 14), those parts of the Veda which are recognized by the Atharvan ritual and the orthodo: Brahmanical writings as santa, 'holy', and paustika, 'auspicious'; the term anguras refers to the hostile sorcery practices of the Veda, the yatu (SB. 10. 5. 2. 20), or abhicara, which is terrible (ghora).

This view of the double character of the AV. is expressed most plainly and familiarly in the Gopathabrahmana, Thus 1, 2, 21 and 1, 5, 10, rei yajun samm sante 'tha ghore; in the last-named case GB substitutes these five Vedas for the trays in the corresponding passage, SB. 12. 3. 3. 2, upon which GB, is based. These two Atharvanic Vedas assume such reality in the mind of the author of GB. as to be furnished each with an independent ayahrii, to match bhur, bhuvah, svah of the trayi, namely, om for the Atharvan = sānta, janat for the Anguas = ghora: GD, 1, 2, 24 and 1, 3, 3. In 1, 3, 3 the vyalo tis of the tray i are sandwiched in between om and janat for protection (gup: see GB. 1. 1. 13) Cp also 1. 1. 5. 8 and 1. 3. 4. In the ritual practices, Vait 5, 10, GB, 1, 2, 18 the same distinction is maintained in behalf of two classes of plants, one of which is described as fanta, or atherrana; the other, used in hostile sorcery, as angirasa. The latter word has assumed in the Kausika the meaning of abhicarika or ghora, and the fifth Kalpa of the AV. goes by the names Angirasa-kalpa, Abhicara-kalpa, and Vidhana-kalpa. words angirasa = abhicarika, and pratiangirasa as referring to counterwitchcraft' (pratyabhicarana) are also used in Vidhana-texts outside of the AV., in fact as designations of such texts, e. g. Rig-vidhana 4. 6. 44; with this pejorative use of the word we may perhaps also connect the fact that the Puranas count the Augurasa-Veda as one of the four Vedas of the Parsis (Maga), the other three, Vada, Visvavada, and Vidut, also conveying thinly veiled contempt for the religious books of a foreign religion. What is even more significant, the distinction between Atharvana-Veda and Angirasa-Veda is also recognized by the non-Atharvanic Brāhmanas and Sūtras, and also associated by them in explicit terms respectively with santa and ghora. At SB 13 4.3.3ff.; AS. 10.7.1ff.; \$\$ 16.2.9ff, on the occasion of the pariplaga, at the horse-sacrifice, sections from these two Vedas are recited: AS, and SS. specify that a bhesajam (santam) be recited from the Atharvana-Veda, a ghoram (abhicarikam) from the Angirasa-Veda. Cp. also PB. 12.9.10; 16.10.10, and the names of apocryphal sages and divinities like Bhisaj Atharvana, in contrast with Ghora Angirasa, as also Samyu Atharvana and Sinti, the wife of Atharvan6. Possibly the assumed inferiority of the Angiras in the Brahmanalegends of the contests between the Adityas and Angiras also points to the uncanny, devilish character of the latter, and may be derived from the same sphere of conceptions: the Angiras regularly appear as vanquished victims, sumlar to the Asuras in their struggles with the Devas 7.

The AV. Samhitā marks the same distinction very clearly. At 11.6.14 four Vedic mantra-categories are indicated by the expressions real, samani, bhesaja, and yajumsi; here the choice of the word bhesaja is eclectic and one-sided. Its precise complement is SIL 10. 5. 2. 20, where 3 dtu and the yatuvidah are placed by the side of the three Vedas and their representative priests. That bhesaja and yatu are complements of one another appears from AV.6.13.3, namas te (sc. mrtyoh) zātulthānebhyo, namas te bhesajibhyah. The bhesaja of AV.11.6.14 and the yatu of the SB. passage make up together what is embraced in the name atharvaigirasah (AV, 10, 7, 20). The Samhita also associates markedly the term digrasa with aggressive sorcery and the practice of spells (kṛtyā). Thus 8.5 9, kṛtyā āngirasīh; 10.1.6, praticīna āngirasah ... fratath kṛtyā akṛtyā 'mun kṛtyākrto jahi; cp. also 12. 5. 52, and 6.45.3 = RV. 10.164.4. And the distinction between Atharvanic and Angirasic plants appears also in 11. 4. 16 (cp. 8. 7. 17), probably in the same sense as in the Atharvan ritual, i.e. in the sense, respectively, of 'holy' and 'witchcraft' plants. Finally the late Parisista hymns, AV. 19, 22 and 23, repeated in the Uttamapatala, Ath. Paris. 46. 9 and 10, deal with and state subdivisions of angirasa and atharvana texts, each separately; cp. GB. 1. 1. 5, 8, and 1. 3. 4.

\$ 8. Cause of the distinction between Atharvan and Angiras -As regards the chronology and cause of this differentiation of atharran and ariginas the texts offer but scant information. The association of both names (and later of the name bliggu also) with the texts and practices of the fourth Veda may be sought in their character of mythic fire-priests, or fire-charners: the homely practices of the AV, may have been in charge of human firepriests in distinction from soma-priests. As regards the terrible aspect of the Angirus as compared with the Atharvans, we may point to RV. 10. 108. 10, where Sarama threatens the Panis with the anguasah ... ghorah. More important is the Angirasic character of Brhaspati, the divine Purohita, in Kaus, 135. 9 Brhaspati Angirasa appears as the representative, or the divinity of sorcery; in the Mahabh, he is frequently called angrasam srethah. In his function of body-priest of the gods he exercises against hostile powers those fierce qualities which are later regarded as Angirasic in the broader sense, cp. RV. 10. 164.4 = AV. 6.45 3, where Brhaspati figures as Praticina ('Back-hurler') Angurasa. Still less obvious are the reasons why the word atharran should be particularly associated with santa and the aga, perhaps this was accomplished by simply contrasting it with anziras, after the latter had assumed its sinister sense . In any case at an early time the terms athart anah in the sense of 'holy charms', and argument in the sense of 'witchcraft charms', joined the more distinctively hieratic terms reah, vajum i and samani, as characteristic types of Brahmanical literary performances. But this distinction was at a later period again abandoned, in the end the name athorian and its derivatives (athart anal), atharvanani, athart anal), athart anika, athart ana, athart ana, and, finally, atharra-relation prevail as designations of the charms and practices of the fourth Veda, without reference to their strongly diversified character.

\$ 9. The terms Bhrgwangtrasah, Brahmaveda, and the designations of Athrivan priests. —Two other designations of the AV, differ from the preceding in that they are the product of a later Athrivanic literary age, neither of them are found in the Samhuta, both are almost wholly restricted to the ritual texts of the Athrivani neitl. They are the names birg arbitrash and brahma-velu. The term birg argicursah, as far as is known, occurs only in Athrivan netvs. Though birgs in this compound takes the place of athrivan the terms birgs arbitrash do not occur, except that the Caliba-Up. 11 designates the Athrivan collection of mantras as birgsu infan (scho), birgsuration.

granthah). The term bhrgvangiras, almost always in the compound bhrgvangirovid, is the favorite designation of the AV. in the Atharvan ritual texts; it makes a show, in fact, of crowding out designations based upon the stem atharvan's. And there is an indefinable tendency to magnify the importance of the term bhrgu at the expense of the others, as when the cosmogony GB. 1. 1. 3 creates Bhrgu before Atharvan; or when GB. 1. 2. 22 says that the Atharvans and Angiras are the eyes of Bhrgu; or when the Culika-Up. 10 says that the Bhrgus are foremost among the Atharvans (atharvano bhrguttamak): if this is taken seriously at all it reflects rather the result than the cause of the substitution of the name bhrgu for atharian. There is indeed no valid reason why the term bhrgu has succeeded in encroaching so far upon the term atharvan. The following may, however, be remarked. The three words atharvan, angiras, and bhigu are in general equivalent, or closely related mythic names, concerned with the production, or the service of the fire, Occasionally in the mantras (RV, 10, 14, 6) they are found all together, or blurge is found in company with atharran (RV. 10.92.10), or with angiras (RV. 8. 43 13). This inter-relation continues in the Yajus and Brahmanatexts 12 in such a way that the juxtaposition of bhrgu and angiras becomes exceedingly frequent, broaching on the complete synonymy reached in SB. 4. 1. 5. 1, where the sage Cyavana is designated either as a Bhargava, or as an Angirasa. Perhaps the frequency of this collocation suggested to the Atharvayedins a mode of freshening up the more trite compound atharvangirasah; of any more conscious reason for the preference of the word blirgu the texts show no trace.

The term brahma-veda whose origin is discussed below (§ 33) likewise belongs to the sphere of the Atharvan ritual. Outside of the Atharvan there is but a single unquestionable occurrence, SG,1,16,3. Even in the Atharvan Upanisads the term is wanting, curiously enough's. The earliest occurrences, aside from SG., are Vait. 1. 1; GB. 1. 1. 22; 2. 16, 19; 5. 15, 19; 2. 2. 6. The word is common in the Parisistas 4. The supposition that SB. 14 8.14.1-4 = Bth. Up. 5. 13. 1-4 with its series, uktham (= rk), sajuh, sama, ksatram, alludes to the Atharvan as the Veda of the Ksatriyas is at present no more likely than when it was advanced by the author, SBE, XLII, p. xxv ff. also Wenin, Verz. II, p. 1203. The RV. Pratisakhya 16. 54 (55) mentions a Vedic book or collection by the name of subheaja, 'collection of remedial charms', probably only another way of saying bhesajani, i. e. the auspicious Atharvan charms; cp. the expression saubhesayam chandah, GB. 1. 5. 23 (p. 85, bottom). 'Curious is ALEFRENI'S statement (India, SACHAU'S translation, vol. J. p. 129) that the AV. does not consist of the same compositions as the Rik and Yajus, but of a third kind called bhara 'song of praise' (RV.),

Designations of priests devoted to the AV, are wanting in the Samhillas, unless the terms birgu and angierara, AV, 5, 19, 1, 2 are intended as such. The Atharvan ritual texts we brahmon and birg: aigivored, in addition to derivatives from the stem atharvan. Very late is the use of the bahmrihis printadarja and paricalarja, fone who practices with the five Kalpas of the AV: Mahabh. 12, 342, 99 = 133,58; 13, 14, 309 = 901; Mahibhāya (IS, MIL, 455); and as titles of scribes of Atharvan ritual texts. Whether the word mārhafrida in the Mahibhāya bears any relation to the AV, is still an unsettled point; see Kaus, fatrod p. 1,111.

t The present chapter is an abstract of the essay on this tublect, SBL ATH, p. XxII.—XXIII, with certain not unsuported corroborative additional details that have come to hand since the date of that publication. Cp. HUITPRANT, Ritual-Literatur, p. 169.— * Bombay ed., attravilgiam instan; Calcutt, however,

D. THE SCHOOLS OF THE ATHARVA-VEDA,

\$ 10. Character and sources of the reports on the schools of the AV .- Hindu tradition has fixed upon the number nine as expressing the extent to which the Atharvanic collections of hymns, or their employment in the hands of the Atharvanic practitioners was diversified by differences of treatment in Atharvanic school, (sakha, carana, bheda). Numerical statements of this sort are apt to be apocryphal; and the differences which represent to the Hindu mind sufficient reason for positing an independent sakha vary greatly in scope and importance. They may be due to genuine differences in the extent and arrangement of the hymn-collections themselves; to differences of ritualistic employment of one and the same hymn-collection (sūtrasakha); to the existence of independent Upanisads among the votaries of the same druti-products in all other respects, or to other more trivial points, at times of great obscurity. These differences great and small are lumped together in the traditional Athanyanic count of nine sākhās, without perspective or sense of proportion; their analysis, moreover, is rendered somewhat uncertain owing to the modulations which the names of the sakhas have been subjected to in the course of time, inside and outside of the Atharvan, and even more by the portentous amount of blundering report on the part of the scribes unacquainted with these names.

The reports on the Atharan sikhis are from the following sources: 1. The two Caranavyuhas one, counted as the fifth Parissta of the White Vajur-Veda, registers the schools of the Win chapter one, another, figuring as the 10th Parissta of the AV, treats the same subject in briefer form.—2. Intidental mention in Vainin, the Mah thhäsa, and other grammatical literature.—3. The very late oversistematic reports of the Puranas, and other late hterature, e.g. the Sainskaraginapit of Kaimaksna.—4. Incidental mention throughout the literature of the Atharan, to which may be added Sayana's list of the sikha's in the introduction to his commentity to the AV, p. 25.1.—53 jagas's statement coincides with that of the AV. Caranavyiha, and it would seem that these two authorities present a correct list of the Stlha's as known in their day: the different versions of other texts are due to blunders, and more or less conscious millformations and additions on the part of writers further removed from the sphere of the Atharvan. Accordingly the traditional nine sikha's are as follows:

\$ 11. The nine \$3kbas of the AV. — 1. The Paippalada (also, Paippalada, Puippaladi, Paippalka, Paippala, Paippala, Acc.), a patronymic derived from the name of a teacher Pappalad. In the Atharvan Interature itself these names do not appear prior to the Parasstas and the Upanisads',

being unknown even in Kaus, Vait, and GB. The name occurs as the designation of a group of mantras which figure as an appendix to the Nakeatrakalpa, whenever that text figures as the first Pursista; these mantras are in fact derived from AVP1. Again, AV. 19. 56-58 in the Sannakiya are designated as faiffalada-mantrah at the end of Ath. Paris. 8. This is significant in the light of the close relation-hip of book 19 of AV, with AVP. The so-called fiffala li-lanti-gana, Ath. Paris. 34, 20, begins with the pratika, dam no det I (vulgata 1, 6) which is in all probability the opening verse of the Kashmirian Sakha. Further Ath Paris. 2. 3, 6; 23, 10; 24, 14; 41. CALAND. Almencult, p. 96, 107, 243ff, has reconstructed a considerable part of a Pauppalida-sraddhakalpa, and surmises that the school of the Paippalada is older than the Saunakiya. The tradition of the Atharvan Upanisads distributes them largely, and very secondarily, among the two more important schools, the Saunaka and the Paippalada; one of the older Upanisads, the Prasna, is regularly and probably with good reason assigned to the Paippolada'. Cp. also the end of Garbha-Up. The Brahma-Up, opens with a conversation between Saunaka and Pippalada. The name occurs also frequently in the colophons of Atharvanic writings, and is contained in all systematic reports of the sikhis. Atharvame and otherwises.

2. The Tauda or Taudiyana, written frequently, Stauda and Staudāyana. Appears in the literature itself only Ath Parii, 23, 3, å skaudhād urato vā 1/71 it staudayanath simrlā (sc. arainh). The sākhā-reports have propagated this name with a brood of variants, often of the most ignorant character, contributed in the contribute of the

buting nothing to the real history of the name?.

3. The Mauda or Maudayana are mentioned several times in the Parista. Especially, an interesting passage, 2.4, declares that only Saunaka and Pappalada priests are in to be Purohitas, whereas the kingdom whose spiritual care is in the hands of priests of the Jalada or Mauda schools rapidly goes to destruction. Otherwise the numes occur Ath. Paris, 23.3 (mauda), and 24, 10. (mauda) ana), and in all systematic accounts of the šākhās with the usual corruntous?

4. The Saunakīya or Saunakīn. The term Saunakīn occurs by the side of Devadarsın, Kaun Sg. S. At Vant. 43. 25 a faunakān occurs by the side of or such as desire to become adepts in sorcery (faunakān-sacifice is prescribed for such as desire to become adepts in sorcery (faunakān-sacifice is prescribed for such as desire to become adepts in sorcery (faunakān spīnē lībh-tieārakāmānga); the force and originality of this testimony is somewhat impaired by the occurrence of a similar statement KB 4.7 (cp. SS. 3. 10. 7), as we may not be sure that the RV. Brālimana has in mind an Atharvan doctor. In Ath. Paddh. at Kaus. 1. 6 the Vait. is cited as Saunahāyā-satītra; the title of the published Prātī-sākhya of the AV. (vulgata) is Saunahāyā Caturahāyajākā. 12 and the terms Saunakā, faunakī, and faunakīya are common in the AV. Parīšīṣtas. The Atharvan Upaniṣsad slos regard Saunāka a sone of their great teachers (Mundakā 1.1.3) Brahma 1); one of them appears under the name of Saunakā-Upanīrād (Sauvank, in Angurrii.s translation)", and the commentatiors on these texts are apt to ascribe them for the most part either to the school of Saunaka or to that of Paippalidā. Finally all the systematic reports of the sakhās present the name, though often corrupted almost beyond recognition".

5. The Jājala. The form of this name, which is obscured by the usual blunders in the systematic reports, may be considered as established on the strength of the unequiv coal statement Alt. Paris. 23.2, hāhumatrā ducadardair jājalair ūrumātrikā (sc. aranih); cp. Sāyana, Introduction, p. 25. The lactyre eponymous of the school seems to have been Jajali, as reported by the Mahā-bhāya 19.

6. The Jalada, mentioned in the polemic statement, Ath. Paris. 2. 4.

13

reported above under Mauda. In addition jaludājāna, Ath. Pariš. 23. 2. jaluduyanair vilastir vā (sc. araņih) sodaše 'ti tu bhārga ah.

7. The Brahmavada. Not found in Atharvan literature outside of the Caranavyūha; the outside reports of the śakhās all present the name, mostly

with variants more or less corrupt.

8. The Devadarsa or Devadarsin. They occur Kauś. 85. 7, in opposition to the Saunakin; in the grammatical gana faunaka in the form devada faninah; and in Ath. Paris. 23. 2, see above under 5. The šākhī lists in spite of great corruption intend the same name.

9. The Caranavaidya. Mentioned by Keśava at Kaus. 6, 37, and Ath. Paris. 23. 2: caranavaidyair fanghe ca maudena spanyani ca (length of the

arani). The śākhā-lists all have the name,

\$ 12. Estimate of the historical value of the śakhas, and their relation to the teachers of the Sutras. - Aside from a difference of opinion on the part of the Sannakin and Devadarsin in a trivial matter of measurements at Kaus. 85.7,8; and the polemic confrontation of the Saunakin and Paippalada with the Manda and Jalada (above under 3), the only direct statement as to the belongings of these 57kh7s is, that Kausika's Sūtra was used by four of them, the Saunakiya, Jajala, Jalada, and Brahmavada 4. With the exception of the names Saunaka (*kin) and Devadarsa (*sin) neither Kaus. Vait., nor GB, include these sakha-names among those of the teachers to whom they have occasion to refer's. The Rishis and teachers of GB, are largely non-Atharvanic and wholly unusable for a reconstruction of Atharvan literary history, owing to the licentious way in which this late text has pilfered the entire Brahmanic literature 16. Those of Kaus and Vait, on the other hand, have the true ring: Kausika, Yuvan Kausika, Bhagali, Mathara, Saunaka (Kaus, and Vait.), Gargya, Parthasravasa, Kankayana, Pardbabhrava, Jitikayana, Kaurupathi, Isuph'ili and Devadarsa (Kaus.) In addition Kaus. 140, 18 and Vait 1, 3; 5, 13; 7, 16 mention acaryan in the plural, both texts refer also to nameless authorities (cke, etv ahuh). The absence of these names from the sikha-list of itself stamps the latter as an exceedingly late evolution, quite as late or later than the theory of the five Atharvanic Kalpas. Just as it is impossible to explain the elevation of the insignificant Santikalpa to a place among the five Kalpas by the side of the real Sutras of the AV., whereas the equally trivial Asurikalpa must content itself with a place among the Parisistas 18, so it is impossible to say why the Caranavaulya, Mauda, and Jalada figure as sikhās whereas the Bhārgava who are mentioned with them, Ath. Paris, 23, 2, are excluded from this honor. Several of the sakha names are not patronymics; they seem to point to professional phases of Atharvanic life rather than to school-differences. Thus the Brahmavada seem to allude to the function of Atharvan theologians as Brahmans (tourth priests) at the Stauta ceremonies 19, the Caranavaidy a to the profession of wandering medicinemen; and Jalada ('water-giver') to the exceedingly common sorceries with water2. Anyhow, the sakha-list is a medley of things of very different importance, and the tradition that the Kaus, is the book of rites (samhita-ridha) of four of these sakhas indicates pretty clearly that these 'school'-differences did not extent in every case to the Samhitas themselves, nor even to different Sūtras of the same Samhitā.

§ 13. The two Samhitā-šākhās, the Śaunaklya and the Paippalāda.—At this date there have been found no tevts representing either Samhitā-akhīs, or Sūtra-sākhīs, in addition to the vulgate Samhitā and the Kashmitian Samhitā. That the vulgate, together with Kaus, Vait, and GB, belongs to the school of Saunaka may be regarded as certain. The AV, Platin sakhya bears the title Saunakīyā Caturādhyāyīkā: it is the phonetic manual of the vulgate, and no other text. The Ath Paddh, at Kauš. 1. 6, designates Vait. as the Saunakiya-stitra; the dependence of Vait. upon Kauš. is certain: the Kauš. is therefore also a Sūtra of the Saunakin. The tradition alluded to above, that Kauš. is catasy in śākhān śaunakānāju samhidiraidhi points to the same conclusion independently, as does also Kauš. 85, 7, 8 where the Sūtra sīdes with the Saunakin against the Devadaršin. Again the Kauš. cites the initial hymn of the vulgate (ye triaptāh) as pārram (or, triaptīpam) zāklam, and in general cites its hymns by pratīka, reproducing occasional orthographical or textual blunders; the school-correspondence of the two texts is quite complete? On the other hand Kauš. as well as Vait, quote hymns from the Kashmirian version in full (cahalapāṭha). 14; they cannot therefore belong to the Paippalāda. Being themselves Saunakiya, the vulgata is by this perfect correspondence also stamped as Saunakiya, as there is no evidence that this term was the designation of a special Sūtra-šākh.

Hardly less conclusive is the evidence that the Kashmirian Samhita belongs to the school of the Painpalada, in accordance with the statements in the colophous of the Tübingen MS. of that Veda 25. The text is there described as athari anikā paippalāda-sākhā. The evidence of the Parisistas on this point is given above under Paippalada (p. 12): especially significant is the propaladi fantigana, Ath. Paris, 34, 20 (Kaus, 9, 7, note), doubtless so called because it begins with the pratika sam no devi, the probable opening verse of AVP. The fact that the name paippalada is found associated rather loosely with Atharyan productions in general 26 is paralleled frequently in the history of the Vedic śakhas and is not sufficient to cast doubt upon this conclusion. Neither Brahmana nor Sutras seem to have been preserved in this school, at least neither Vedic nor Atharvan literature have the least thing to say about any such works. The discovery of this śākhā in a single birch bark MS, was due to the ingenuity and the efforts of Rotti: the reasons which led this scholar to surmise its existence, a history of its discovery, and a brief comparison of it with the sulgate was published by him in a Tübingen Programme in 1875, entitled 'Der Atharvaveda in Kaschmir'; cp. the same author in the Attı del IV. Congresso Internazionale degli orientalisti, vol. II, p. 89-96. A photographic reproduction of the Tubingen MS, is proposed by the present author 27. The readings of the Paippalada texts in those parts of the Veda which correspond with the Saunakiya are to be presented in WIHENEY'S posthumous translation and critical apparatus of the Saunakiya 28. Connected passages of AVP, are occasionally cited in full in Kaus, and Vait.; the l'aippalada form of AV.11,2 appears in a Reaka of the Kathaka school, described by von Schrofder 24. Sayana in his commentary to the Saunakiya occasionally adopts readings from the l'aippalada3°,

9, 14. Brief account of the Paippalada-sakha. — The AVI, like the Saunakya is divided into 20 books, subdivided into anuxikas and siktas; the latter, like the books themselves, are sometimes designated as kindas, the relation of AVI, to the vulgata (represented in the sequel by the numbers in brackets) is as follows: the opening stana of AVI, was in all probability the stanza dam no deri (1. 1. 0), as was surmised by Rotti, p. 16; the opening stana around the first book of AVI, 1. The pratikas of the remaining books are: 2. arrange principam (1. 7. 1); 3. a tris gam (3. 4. 1); 4. hirangearbhas (4. 7. 7); 5. tringeabakwai simblayjatiyai; 6. tad id the (5.3.1); 7. suparyas trid (5.3.1.1); 5. tringeabakwai simblayjatiyai; 6. tad id the (5.3.1); 7. suparyas trid (5.3.1.1); all 1. 1716 te ham; 2. simang stomma arrhate (20.13.3); 13. agais takmanum

(5. 22. 1); 14. indrasya nu (2. 5. 5); 15. samyag digbhyah; 16. antakāya (8.1.1); 17. satyam brhad ṛtam (12. 1. 1); 18. satyenottabhitā (14. 1. 1); 19. dovo gīya (6. 1. 1); 20. dhītī vā ya (7. 1. 1).

Books 1-7 of the Saunakiya reappear for the most part in AVP.; 8-14 almost completely. Of 15 there is only the beginning; 16 and 17 appear for the most part. On the other hand the funeral-hymns, book 18, are wanting entirely. Of the two supplementary books, 19 and 20, the latter including the kuntapa-hymns is wanting in AVP,, except those stanzas which are not kuntapa and are not borrowed from the RV.32; book 19 with the exception of about 12 of its 72 hymns is scattered through the AVP., showing that this supplement to the Saunakiya is largely derived from its sister-sakha. The arrangement of the two Vedas is to a certain extent on parallel lines: books 1-5 of the Saunakiya are contained in 1-9 of AVP.; books 8-11 in the large book 16; book 12 in 17; books 13, 14, 16, 17 in 18: the one notable divergence concerns 6 and 7 of the Saunakiya; they appear in 19 and 20 of AVP. The variations between the two texts range all the way from inconsiderable variants to complete change of sense, Perfect textual correspondence between parallel stanzas and hymns of the two tikhis is comparatively rare. About one eighth or one ninth of AVP is original, being found neither in the Saunakiya nor in any other of the accessible collections of mantras 33.

**C. OLDYNBER, Die Hymnen des Rie-Veda, p. 430 ff. — ** See, Max Müller, BATL, p. 371; White, B. K. 132, 296; Ill. 277—S. XIII. 4324—5; Omina und Directis, p. 432—3; VI. p. 179; NylpynBai Al Autra, m. the introduction to the formal property of the proper

IL BRIDE SKETCH OF ATHARVANIC LITERATURE.

\$ 15. The Samhitās, Sūtras, and Brāhmana. — The literature of the Atharsan comprises the two collections of hymns connected by traduton respectively with the schools of Saunaka and Paippalida¹. The latter exisonly in a single hitherto unpublished samhitā-manuscript without pada-pātha 16 H. LITTI RATUR U. GESCHICHIE, LE. ATHARVA-VEDA AND GOPATHA-BRÂHMANA.

or commentary; the former in numerous mss. of both samhitā and padapaths, except that no pada-text of the so-called kuntara-hymns has as vet come to light2; it probably does not exist at all. It has been edited by ROTH and WHITNEY (Berlin 1855). The pada-patha of the Saunakiya is characterized in relation to other pada texts by WEBER, IS. XIII. 6 ff. It is particularly blundering in the corrupt book 19, but also liable to serious error elsewhere; e.g., it divides sturannemi into stuvan nemi, instead of stuvann emi (4. 28. 3b), or Jadjamam into yad samam, instead of yady amam (6. 116. 13). A fragmentary commentary on the Saunakiya with an elaborate introduction, containing a valuable sketch of the more important accessory Atharvan texts, and an Atharvanic view of the character and importance of the AV., is as usual ascribed to Sayana; this commentary is now in the course of publications. The most important accessory text of the AV, is the Sutra of Kausikas. It comprises, along with a good deal of peculiar matter, the themes ordinarily treated in the Grhya-sūtras, and, in addition, what may be called an Atharva-Sütra proper, or a Vidhāna-Sütra: cp. the frequent designation of the text as samhthi-ridhi. The Kaus, belongs to the Saunakiya-school, or to a school deviating from the Saunakiya only in minor matters; there is however a later tradition that it was used as the Sutra of four of the Atharvanic schools or sīkhās, the Saunakīva at the head6. In addition to the commentary of Dārila, the Paddhati of Kesava, and other minor Paddhatis, the Kaus, seems also to have been commented upon by Bhadra and Rudra7; judging from quotations of these writers (Kaus, p. 312, 338, and 352) their works are composed in slokas of a late Smrti character. The Atharvan has also a Srauta-sūtra, the authorless Vaitīna-Sūtra which also belongs to the school of Saunaka; the published text contains eight adhyāyas8: an appendix called Yajñaprāyaścittasutra, or Vaitavana, consists of six adhyavas which are as yet unedited? SHANKAR PANDIT in the introduction to AV. 11, 2 mentions a commentary on Vait, called Aksepa by Somaditya. The relation of Vait. to Kaus. and the AV. Samhita is quite peculiar as compared with the inter-relation of the corresponding texts in other schools. As a rule the Grhya-sūtras are denendent upon the Sranta-sutras; they refer to them familiarly, and do not describe a second time performances which have been treated in the Srauta-sūtras. The two Atharvan Sutras reverse this relation: there is no point in which Kaus. depends upon Vait; on the other hand the dependence of Vait, upon Kaus, is apparent at almost every step. The Vait treats the Kaus as though it were a Samhita; the ritual practices and independent mantras of Kaus, are taken for granted, and alluded to as understood by and known to the irautapriests practicing with Vait. From the point of view of the other Srauta-sutras the Vant. may be judged as follows: it is not the product of practices in frautaceremonies which have slowly and gradually developed in a certain high priestly school, but a somewhat conscious product, made at a time when the Atharvavedins began to feel the need of a distinctive Srauta-manual to support their claim that the AV, is a canonical Veda of independent and superior character. Vait. 1 8 acknowledges its dependence upon the Yajur-Veda 10. To the Saunaka-school belongs also the single Brahmana of the AV., the Gopathabrahmana which is in turn later than and dependent upon the Vaitana: see \$ 6 t.

brahmana which is in turn later than and dependent upon the Valtana: see § 6.1. § 16. The Kalpas and the Parisistas—VWh the above-mentioned Stras three other texts of slight intrinsic importance are associated. Hindu tradition persistently states that the ritual literature attached to the ΔV . consists of five Kalpas. The (Parae)-mimini is textened Upa vara: goes so far as to assert that these five are truit (tadatu/a), contracting them with others that are smrttath/a²¹. Priests practicing with these five kalpas are known as

pañcakalpa or pañcakalpin14. The rather variable nomenclature of these texts is: 1. The Kausika-sūtra, or Samhitā-vidhi, or Samhitā-kalpa. 2. The Vaitānasūtra, or Vitāna-kalpa 15. 3. The Naksatrakalpa. 4. The Santi-kalpa. 5. The Angirasa-kalpa, or Abhicara-kalpa, or Vidhana-kalpa. The last three are Parisistas, intrinsically not at all more interesting than many of the numerous Atharvan texts of that class: why they should have been deemed worthy of a place by the side of the real Sutras does not appear. No mss. of the Angirasa-kalpa have as yet come to hand, nor are there any announced in the ms. catalogues: our previous surmise that the text would bear upon the sixth book of Kaus, is rendered probable by Sayana's brief summary of its contents (introd. p. 28). The Naksatrakalpa is called a Parisista outright, and is frequently found at the head of the Parisista-mss.; this text, as well as the still more trivial Santikalpa are in the main of an astronomic-astrologic character 16. The Parisistas, according to HATFIELD's count 72 in number 17, deal with a great variety of subjects; leaving aside the Nakṣatrakalpa (nr. 1) and its appendix, the so-called Paippalādā Mantrāh (nr. 12), they begin with a considerable number of texts in the nature of rajakarmans (royal rites), and end with a little less numerous a class of adbhutini (omens and portents), thus continuing in these, as in other cases, the tradition of the Kaus. (14-17, and adhyāya XIII). A considerable number of these texts are devoted to grammatical, text-historical, or astronomical subjects, of a degree of interest that calls for a critical edition of the entire collection. Ouite a number of them are even now edited or adequately discussed the Paippalada Mantrah (12) have been reproduced by BLOOMFIELD 18, the Indramahotsava (19) is very similar to the corresponding chapter, Kaus, 140, the Skandayaga or Dhurtakalpa (20), a kind of a thieves' manual, has been edited and translated by Goodwin'; the Ganamala (32) is worked up in connection with the corresponding groups in the Kaus. 20; the \surikalpa (35), a witch-craft practice undertaken with the asuri-plant, has been edited and translated, with the aid of a native commentary, by Mugoun's, the Sraddhakalpa (44) has been edited and translated by Cal AND 19, the Uttamapatala (46) is treated in part by Wiber 23, the Kautsavya (or, Kiutsavaya)niruktanighantu (48) has been commented upon and compared with Yāska's Nighantu by BLOOMFIELD 14, the Caranavyūha (49) is discussed by Weber 25, the Grahayuddha (51) has been edited by Weller 26, the Adbhutas inti (67) is for the most part reproduced and translated by WEBER", the Jusanasadbhutani has been edited and translated by Hyrrielly 25 Aside from Parisistas and Paddhatis there exist also mss, of certain prayer and ritual books of a yet slighter unportance and probably later date than the Parisistas, e. g. an Atharvatarpanam, the Atharvanapramitāksari of Vāsudeva, the Sodasopacārapūjā; and rahasia-texts 29. Hemadri in the Vratakhanda of the Caturvargacintamani presents an Atharvakavidhāna33. For an Atharvavedoktam jyotişam written by a pañcakalfin see Weber, Verz. II, p. 96, for a similar text called Aranyaka Notisam, HAUG, IS. IX. 174.

S 17. On the Smrti of Pathtinasi — The question raised by the present author 3 as to whether Pathtinasi, the reputed author of a work on dharma, belongs to the AV., has been answered in the affirmative by President, Calmad, John J. B. President, President and Hillerrand and Solaris Chand and Jollia as the author of a Dharmasaltra in mixed prose and slokas; Chand and Jollia as the author of a Dharmasaltra. And Calmad concludes that Pathtinasi was at any rate an Atharianic writer, because the Sraddhakalpa of this author reconstructed from Hemidri's citations, is related to the Atharian inddhartextas? It would seem possible, however, that there existed more than one Pathtinasi.

18 H. LUTTERATURU, GESCHICHTE, 1B. ATHARVA-VEDA AND GOPATHA-BRÄHMANA.

The Athan aniya-paddhati describes him as an author of a Paddhati; the citations in the Athan anic commentaries seem to point to a knowledge of, special Athan anic commentaries as to make it seem quite likely that some Pathlinasi was the author of a very late metrical text, dependent directly upon the Kausika. Pathlinasi in the Parisistas is called Mausalputra; he seems also not likely to have been the author of the Athanna-text in question. At any rate it will be well to remember that if all references to Pathlinasi are intended for the Athanna-viter it will not be easy to assign to him 100 late a date.

\$ 18. The Atharvan Upanisads .- With the AV. are associated traditionally the great mass of the Upanisads36, in fact all except those that belong definitely to schools of the other three Vedas. Some of these, even, appear in Atharvanic recensions, e. g., the Kena (SV.), Bhrguvalli, Anandavallī (Taittiriya-Upanişads - TA. 8 and 9), and Brhannarayana (- Mahanarayana = TA, 10) 39. What ought to be an authoritative statement of the number and names of the Atharvan Upanisads is the list of 27 presented by the 49th Parisista, the Caranavyūha to; they are: 1. Mundaka. 2. Prasna. 3. Brahmavidya. 4. Ksurika. 5. Cülika. 6. Atharvasiras. 7. Atharvasikhī. 8. Garbha. 9. Mahā. 10. Brahma. 11. Prāṇāgnihotra. 12. Māndūkva. 13. Nādabindu. 14. Brahmabindu. 15. Amrtabindu. 16. Dhyanabindu. 17. Tejobindu. 18. Yogasıkha. 19. Yogatattva. 20. Nılarudra. 21. Pañcatapinî (*tapaniya). 22. Ekadandısamnyasa. 23. Aruni. 24. Hamsa. 25. Paramahamsa, 26. Narayana. 27. Vaitathya. The majority of the names in this list require no explanation: the Pancatapaniya is doubtless the Nrsimhapurvatapani; one ms. reads Dvitapaniya, having in mind probably the additional Nrsimhottaratāpanī. The term Ekadandısamnyasa is otherwise unknown as a designation of an Upanisad: the stem ekadandin (cp. schol. to PB. 19. 4. 7) occurs in Brahma 3; Paramahamsa 3; and Gopichandana 5; cp. the ms. listed as a Dharmasastra under the title Ekadandisamnyasavidhih, by Saunaka, in Stein's Catalogue of Sanskrit MSS. at Jammu, p. 84. I do not venture to assume that the text is identical with the well-known Samnyasa-Upanisad. The Vaitathya is the independent name of the second of the four parts of Gaudapada's Karika to the Mandukya, all of which are usually counted as independent Upanisads41. The presence in this list of the work of a personal author makes for the belief that it, like all other Upanisad lists, is late and eclectic, representing the learning of some particular scholar or coterie of scholars. It does not coincide with any other historical collection, as, e. g., the collection of the Muktika-Upanisad; the Persian translation of the Oupnekhat in ANQUETIL-DUPERRON'S Latin translation; Narayana's collection; Collection; or the lists of the CHAUBERS collection 42. JIVANANDA'S 'Atharvanopanishat' (Calcutta 1891), to be sure, contains 29 Upanisads, but they are copied, apparently, from the unfinished edition in five fasciculi, printed in the Bibliotheca Indica. They also are the result of an independent selection of the minor Upanisads, differing from that of the Pansista in the choice and order of the texts. There is in fact no complete collection of Upanisads, because the term Upanisad does not represent a closed canon but an indefinitely extensible type of literature which has not been formally concluded and which may yet be continued in the present or the future'. The number of Upanisad-names counted by Weber in 1876 13 was all in all 235, including apocrypha; even a Moslemic Allopanisad figures among them. The judgment of the intrinsic and historical value of the Atharvan Upanisads does not therefore depend upon any one collection; their value - in the first instance their historical value - depends upon the degree of regularity with which they occur in the collections. Even so it is obvious that literary products of the most diversified character (cp., e. g., the Garuja, a snake-charm) have found their way into the principal collections, all of which are probably relatively late, so that finally the chronology and the value of each Upanisad will have to be determined by its style, contents and other inner critera.

DEUSSEN, extending and developing a suggestion of WEBER, divides the Atharvan: Upanisads into five clearly defined classes 45: 1. Pure Vedanta-Upanisads, i. e., such as continue the Vedanta doctrines of the older texts without' undue development of the notions of yega (concentration, and abstraction from all mundane matters), samm asa (asceticism), or Sivaitic or Visnuitic symbolism, beyond where these ideas had developed in the older Upanisads. 2. Yoga-Upanisads, i. e., such as presuppose the Ved inta ideas, and in addition advise concentration upon the morae of the sound om, especially its last half mora (mida). 3. Sumnyasa-Upanisads, i. e., those which recommend and describe a life of asceticism as the practical result of the doctrines of the Upanisads. 4. Siva-Upanisads, i. e., such as interpret the popular god Siva or one of his murtis (Isana, Mahesvara, Mahadeva, etc.) as a personalication of the Atman. 5. Visnu-Upanisads, i. e. those which similarly transform Visnu or one of his azataras (Nārāyana, Nṛṣiniha, Rāma, Kṛṣṇa) into human manifestations of the Atman. Of these classes the first (cp. AV. 10. 7 and 8; 11, 4 and 8), the third (cp. AV. 11. 5) and the fourth (cp. AV. 4. 28; 11. 2) might be expected to be in organic touch with the hymns of the AV. But this is not the case: the Atharvan Upanisads are connected with the AV. Samhua by ties that are but little more close than those that connect them with the Vedic mantras in general. There is one notable exception, the Cülika, which presents (śloka 10 ff.) characteristically as 'mantrepannad' an almost complete catalogue of the cosmogonic and theosophic hymns of the AV, naming them by well selected catch-words, such as brahmacarin (11 5), skambha (10, 7 and 8), ucchista (11. 7), etc.45 Otherwise the Atharvan hymns are cited only sporadically, not more frequently or familiarly than mantras of the other Vedas+7. In addition the relation of these texts to the AV is of the loosest sort: they are apt to add the name of the Al to that of the travi more regularly than the older Upanisads 18, and the numes of their holy Rishis are to a considerable extent those of earlier as well as later Atharvanic tradițion. Atharvan, Angiras (from which Mundaka 1, 1, 2 abstracts Angir), Bhrgu, Saunaka, Pippalads. The last two are the names of two Atharvan schools, being the only names of the traditional sikhas that are mentioned in the AV. Upanisads. With one or the other of these two school-names the commentators are apt to associate loosely and inconsistently the individual Upanisads as special schoolproducts 49. Corelrooke, e. g., reports that the first lifteen in his count belong to the Siunaka-school; or the colophon of the Prasni states that itself belongs fiffaladatharrane śakhayam () ". But, asule again from the Cuhka which · distinctly refers to the Saunakiya, there is little or nothing in the texts themselves that binds them to one or the other Atharvan school, or for that matter, to the AV, itself, as a whole. This is rather striking at the first glunce, because the theosophic hymns of the AV, seem to herald the Upanisad speculations more loudly than the theosophic mantras of the other Vedas, and because the later ritual literature of the AV., notably the GB, professes to be devoted to a knowledge of the brahma. The GB, moreover, notably in its first prop thaka, resembles the Upanisads in diction and style; especially its speculations on the syllable err remind one of the year-class of Upanisads. Indeed one of the treatises of GB., the om cosmogonys was regarded as an Upanisad by the Persian translators: the Pranou (Pranava) in ANQUETIL'S translation is almost identical with GB, 1. 1. 16-30, a fact which will be of

especial interest to Weber and Deussen 52. Another treatise, that on the gājatrī (GB. 1. 1. 31-38)53 describes itself at the end as an Upanişad: it also suggests, without being at all like it, the Shavank of Anguran's Oupnekhats4 But there was probably, nevertheless, a greater break in time between Mantra and Upanisad in the AV. than in the other Vedas: the older AV. Upanisads doubtless grew up in priestly communities that practiced with the AV.; the more secondary and recent ones are the products of later mystic, ascetic, and sectarian speculations which were compelled to associate themselves also with the AV., because less rigid school discrimination obtained at all times in the AV., and because the canons of the other Vedic schools were definitely closed. As it is texts like the Jābāla or the Nilarudra (cp. VS. 16 and 13) are in some aspects paradoxical members of a collection that professes to be Atharvanic. The bibliography and contents of the Atharvan Upanisads may now be studied conveniently, aside from Wener's pioneer treatment, in connection with Drussen's excellent translations with introductions (Sechzig Upanishad's, p. 531 ff.).

\$ 10. Grammatical and text-historical treatises. - Of grammatical and text-historical books the most important is the AV. Prātišākhya, the so-called Saunakīyā Caturādhyāyikā, in Whitner's careful edition 55. Bunter has reported on another AV. Pratisakhya different from the preceding 56. A grammatical text called Athurvanasūtra by Pāninīya seems to have been known to Trikandamandana 57. The so-called Nirukta-nighantu of Kautsavya or Kautsavaya (Ath. Paris, 48) is a collection of glosses similar to Yaska's Nighantu, not a nirukta-text at aliss. Note also the Varnapatala, Ath. Paris. 47. The Caranavyūha and the Uttamapatala, interesting for the history of Atharvan texts, have been mentioned above (p. 17). The unpublished Brhatsarvanukramanika of the AV, is a very late and secondary text of that sort; its statements, especially those on the authorship of the hymns (mostly gods or semi-divine beings) are of minimal value 59. The Pancapatalika is another text of the nature of an Anukramani, mostly metrical69. The Caranavy uha mentions four related texts as 'laksanagranthah', namely, caturadhyayika protisakhyam pañcamapatchka(') damtyosthavidhir brhatsarvanukramani cabi. A work on accentuation, the Svara-sastra was known traditionally to one of the Pandits of SHANKAR PANDIT'S acquaintance.

Weers, Vers I. 52 ff., II. 79 ff., WI.2, p. 161 ff.; Bloomfield, JAOS. XL 375 ff.; Hit Leisender, Initial Literatur, p. 35 ff.

 Weber, Verl. II, p. 89, 17. — 17 JAON. XIV. p. clviff; cp. Weber, Verl. I, p. 89 ff; II, p. 87 ff — 18 AJFh. VII, p. 485 ff. — 19 JAON. XV. p. v ff. — 19 Section Like X, p. 33 ff. — 21 Baltmore Dissertation: AJFh. X, 165—197. — 22 Ahnen-kelt, p. 95, 240 ff. This Staddhalalpa belongs to the school of Saumala. Out of flemtiler's Caturvargaeintamapi Caland, ibid. p. 243ff., has reconstructed a Staddhakalpa belonging to the Palppalada-school; he also presents fragments from the same source of frad the ceremonies according to the smrts of Panhinasi (p. 109 ff); NI, p. Cr.VV., and cp. Alfrectir's Catalogue Catalogorom. The Athricatripansm, is, of course, hiely to be the same as Ath. Faris, 43,—3 Where, Ver. I, p. 33.—3 I 10°S. NI, 376 Kass. Introd. p. xviif. — 3 GGA, 1891, nr. 8, p. 28).—3 Almendali, p. 99, top fi. — 31 Recht und sitte, p. 12ff. — 38 Rutal Hertaut, p. 36.—9 Cat von, bid. 95, top fi.—17 Kant. Introd. p. xviif. — 3 Mill.NL, p. 36.—9 Cat von, bid. 95, top fi.—17 Kant. Introd. p. xviif. — 38 Mill.NL, p. 36.—18 Mill.NL, p. 37.—38 ibid. - 45 fs. 251; WL-2, p. 173; DEUSSEN, p. 543. - 46 See below, \$ 59 -47 L. g. AV. 3, 20 1; Jubala 4; AV. 4, 1, 1; Atharvasiras 1; AV. 4, 4, 1; Sumnjasa 1; AV. 6, 96, 1; Pranaguihotra 1; AV. 10, 2, 26-27. Atharvasiras 6; AV. 10, 8, 27; Atharvasiras 1; AV. 11, 4 to be compared with Prasna 2, 7ff.; AV. 11, 4, 13: Adarvasiras 1; Av. 11. 4 to be compared with traval 2, m; Av. 11. 4, 15;
Mundylaz 1. 1, 7; Av. 11. 8; Samnylas 1, and Kamhasirut 5; Av. 18; Yamnylas 1,

4 f Cp. below, § 24 — 9 DELSERY, bild, p. 531. — 9 Kansika, Introduction,
p. xvxiii — 95 Sec below, § 68 — 31 IS. K. 49 ft., Sechnic Upanshad; p. 858f.
The Pranavopansad is citalogued by Blavilla, xk. MSS in the palice of Tanjore, 329 SINV, Carlogue of Swaknt Manascribt at Jamma, p. 31. Carlogue of the Oriental Manuscript Library in Madras, p. 33, cp. also Tatton, Carlogue of Oriental MSs. in Fort St. George, II, 47 p. 33, cp. also Tatton, Carlogue of Oriental MSs. in Fort St. George, II, 47 p. 35, cp. also Tatton, Carlogue of New York, p. 869, ff. — 35 [AOS. VII. 4133—615, N. 156—171], cp. N. IV, 79ff., V. 4511f. 186. it 230 f; M.A. p. 160. — 38 MAN, 1874, p. 77 — 38 MINOWSKAS, Report 1853—4, p. 30 — 38 ILLOOWSELD, JAOO XV, p. XIMIRI — 39 WEBFE, Vetz. III. 79 fit; and in the introductions of his translations of AV, books 3—5, P. XVIII. 177 f; XVIII. 1ff.; SINSAS, FADRIT in his edition of the IV. vol. I, Critical note, p. 17ff. - 60 See the passages extracted by SHANAIR PANDIT, ibid p 18-23, cp. JAOS, XI 376. - 61 Weber, Verz II Sy - 12 SHANAIR PANDIT, ibid p 15 (top).

F. THE POSITION OF THE ATHARAA-VEDA IN HINDU

\$ 20. General estimate of the AV - The Athanian is a sacred text in more than one respect aside from the materials which it shares with the other Vedas the majority of its hymns are henevolent or highly religious (theosophic), these cannot at any time have been received with any other sentiment than esteem. Even the sorceries of the AV, necessarily show a double face, they are useful to oneself, harmful to others. Thus there arises a conflict of emotions which lasts throughout the history of recorded Hindu thought and is summed up in the final orthodox and stereotyped view that the Atharvan is used 'to appease, to bless, and to curse's. In the long run there arose withal a strong wave of popular aversion against the Veda whose most pointed teaching is after all sorcery. This appears from the discussions of the Hindus themselves as to the orthodoxy of that Veda3; from the conscious efforts of the later Atharvan writings to vindicate its character and value; from the allegorical representation of the Atharvan as 'a lean black man, sharp, irascible, and amorous's; and from occasional statements of the Vedic and Classical texts.

S 21. The Atharvan in the RV. - The RV. has no specific designa-

tion for Atharvan charms, although it contains a goodly number of such charms. No great importance is to be attached to this silence; the praises to the gods in connection with the great soma-sacrifices offer but scant occasion for the mention of sorcery, or the plainer practices of every-day life. Yet sorcery and house-practices there were in India at all times 3. The failure of the RV, to mention such charms by a collective name like atharvaigirasah must be gauged by the slenderness of its opportunities to mention the Veda as a generic name (cp. 8. 19. 5), or Vedic collections or redactions in particular (10,90.9)6. There is no proof that even the oldest 'Rigiedic period', or the most ancient Hindu tradition accessible historically, excluded writings which would be entitled to the name of Atharvan-charms by their subjectmatter, form (metre), or style: even the absence of generic names, such as bhesajūni, athari ānah, angirasah, for such compositions may be due to accidental silence. The existing redactions of the AV., to be sure, betray themselves as later than the RV, redaction in many ways, especially by the character of the variants in those mantras which they share with the RV.1; certainly also a good many of existing Atharvan hymns are later than the bulk of the RV. Nevertheless, we cannot escape the conclusion that hymns of the Athan anic type were composed simultaneously with, or even prior to those of the Rigyedic (stauta) types.

S 22. The Atharvan in the AV, itself - The AV, alludes to its own class of compositions but rarely; 10. 7. 20 (atharzāngirasah); 11. 6. 14 (bhesaga), cp. also 19. 54 5, and 19. 22. 1; 23. 19. There is to be noted also a decided advance in the association of the names Atharvan, Angiras and Bligg with the practices and the conditions which these hymns are aimed at e g. 4 37. 1 where the Atharvans appear as slayers of the Raksas; see also 4. 3. 7, 5. 19 1; 10. 6. 20. Especially noteworthy is the evident association of the word angirasa with sorcery and spells, and the somewhat less clear corresponding correlation of atharvana with auspicious charms (see above, p. 8). Otherwise the attitude of the AV. 15 much the same as that of the Vajus-texts: the three Vedas are often mentioned without the fourth by way of esoteric restriction to the sphere of the great Vedic (frauta) ritual. Thus it augurs no contempt or neglect of the Atharvan, if in a charm constructed for the purpose of obtaining a knowledge of the Vedas (7, 51: Kaus. 42. 9), only rk, saman, sajuh, vala, and oblation (harih) are mentioned: the person who here desires Vedic learning is not in training for Atharvan priesthood, and therefore does not take care to include this special branch of learning. A similar passage in a RV. Sutra (AG.3.3.1-3) does not hesitate to include the AV. on the same occasion. Even the GB, which certainly cannot be accused of Atharvaphoby (see \$ 66) is caught napping once or twice, and omits the AV. from systematic statements of Vedic compositions: 1. 1. 23 and 24. In fact this very omission shows that the AV. Samhita, unlike its raturlistic adjuncts (see § 30), is in no wise engaged either in selfglorification, or in polemics against the other Vedas: the Atharvan poets certa all are qu'te unconscious of any disadvantages inherent in their text, or of any contemptuous view of the AV. on the part of the adherents of the other Ved is

§ 23. The Atharvan in the Śrauta-texts — The position of the AV, in the stantal therature in general depends altogether on the practical character of these texts as exponents of the great Vede excitices; these, by their very now re, exclude direct interest in the systematic charms of the therapini and dibratikes; i. Such sorters as is interaction with the doubt-performances obtains independent expression in metrical stantas and proce formulas (spains).

which are thoroughly Atharvanic 10. But in the fruit the sphere of the Atharvan is restricted to matters that are incidental and subsidiary, intended merely to pave the way for the main issue, the successful dispatch of the sacrifice to the gods, and the undisturbed gratification of the priests (iffi and parti). Under these circumstances pronounced hostility against the AV,, such as crops out at a later and more reflective age, would be a paradox, too silly even for the Yajus-texts and the Brahmanas. Rigid consistency is, of course, not to be expected; witchcraft blows hot and cold from the same mouth: according as it is turned towards the inimical forces, human and demoniac, or is turned by others against oneself, it is regarded as useful or noxious. The AV, takes the same view by implication, as may be gathered from a comparison of 2,12 with 7. 70, or 2.7 with 6. 13 and 37. It is a question throughout of my sorcery or thy sorcery. The poet of RV. 7. 104. 15, 16 exclaims 'May I die to-day if I am a sorcerer, and makes complaint against his enemy who calls him, though he is pure, a sorcerer, and against the real sorcerer who pretends that he is pure. Though jatu here, as at AV. 1. 7 and 8, is regarded as desilish, the writer of Sll. 10. 5. 2. 20 is not prevented from placing the juturidali, 'those that are skilled in sorcery', in solemn array with the representatives of the holiest forms of literature, the bah:reah etc. On the other hand even bhesajam 'cure, medicine', the altruistic province of the Atharvan, though well regarded in general, does not come off without a sneer 11; we may trust that the canons of social standing and literary appreciation of a people that produced the better types of Vedic literature could not fail, in the proper mood, to estimate at its right value the wretched hocus-pocus of the bhe ajani, though these were the best that the Vedic period had produced for the relief of bodily ailment. Yet the Veda without charms and sorcery would not be the Veda, and the frauta-texts are not in the position to throw stones at the Atharvan. Anyhow a sober survey of the position of the AV. in the Iruti shows that this Veda, while not within the proper sphere of the greater concerns of Vedic religion, is considered within its own sphere as a Veda in perfectly good standing, the question of its relative importance, its authority, and its canonicity is not discussed, nor even suggested

Accordingly the mythic personages Atharvan, Angiras, and Bhrgu, and their descendants (Atharvana etc.), whose proper names in the course of time are restricted more and more to the sphere of the Maryan, continue in the fruit to be designations of demigods and Rishist. These names are held in honor no more and no less than other eponyms, it being reserved for the later Atharvan writings to extol them beyond measure, and to claim for them a special position as typical Vedic saints. And this is true although the sruti occasionally feels the connection between these names and the sphere of the Atharvan, as when KS 16. 13 mentions a Rishi Bhisaj Atharvana, the KB. 30, 6 a Rishi Ghora Angurasa 13, or when PH, 12 8, 6 states that Dadhyaño Angirasa was the chaplain (puredhaniya) of the gods. Even more clearly does this appear from the way in which the hymns of the AV are alluded to in the fruti. Ordinarily the texts are preoccupied with the sacrificial literature in the narrower sense, and hence devote themselves to the mention and laudation of the trasi ridia. On the other hand, whenever the frautatexts mention, or draw upon other literary forms like itihasa, furana, gatha, stitra, upanisad, and many others, the Athanan is regularly included, and that too, almost invariably in the following order: the three Vedas occupy the first three places; the AV. holds the fourth place, and next follow in variable arrangement the types itihdia etc. Typical examples are: TS. 7. 5. 11. 2 - KS., Asyamedhagrantha, 5. 2 (angiras alone); SB 10. 5. 2. 10;

11. 5. 6. 4-8; 13. 4. 3. 3ff; TB. 3. 12. 8. 2; TA. 2. 9. 2; 10. 7. 8; 11. 2;

AS. 10. 7, 1 ff.; SS. 16. 2. 2 ff. . § 24. The Atharvan in the Upanisads .- The position of the AV. in the Upanisads is much the same as in the fruti in general. Aside from the Atharvan Upanisads, which refer more freely to the AV., it is introduced but rarely, and in the same way as in the Srauta texts, i. e., preceded by the trayi, and followed by a variable list of other types of composition: e. g. BrhU. 2. 4. 10; 4. 1. 2; 5. 11; ChU. 3. 1-4; 7. 1. 2, 4; 2. 1; 7. 1; MU. 6. 32 and 33. Very much more numerous are the cases in which the tray? alone occurs14, showing that the draughts upon the AV, and the subsequent literary compositions are, in general, made under the excitement of formulaic solemnity. Needless to say, the Upanisads with their eye aloft abke from hymn, sacrificial formula, and witcheraft-charm, have no occasion to condemn the AV., aside from that superior attitude of theirs which implies and diplomatically expresses condemnation of the entire Veda that is not brahmavid; a. Even the Atharvan Upanisads do not in general sound either the polemic or the apologetic note of the ritualistic writings of the AV. The late Pranava (Pranou) assumes the superiority of the AV.15, but the Pranava is a part of the Gopatha-Brahmana (\$ 68). Besides this there is only an interpolated passage in the Prasna that tries to secure at any cost the correlation of the Atharvan with the highest brahma 16. The authority of Atharvan teachers, Sanatkumara, Anguras, Paippalada, etc. is, of course, invoked, by way of imparting to those texts an esotenc school-character. But in general, all that may be said is, that these tracts mention the fourth Veda along with the other three more frequently than the Upanisads of other schools, that the AV, is quietly added to the trays, whether other literary forms like the ilihasapuranam, etc., appear in the sequel or not. Thus the four Vedas alone: Nrsimhapurvatānanī 1, 2 and 4, 2, 1; Atharvasiras 1; Muktikā 12-14; Mahā 3; the four Vedas with other literary categories. Mundaka 1. 1. 5, Nrsimhapurvatāpanī 5. 9. Even these Upanisads, however, occasionally larse into the more frequent habit of the bulk of the Vedic literature, and fail to refer to the AV., whether consciously or unconsciously, it seems impossible to say. Mundaka 2, 1, 6; Praina 2. 6; Nrsunhatāpani 5. 2, Brahmavidyā 5ff. As a class the Atharvan Upanisads are engaged neither in defending the AV, from attack, nor in securing for it any special degree of prominence.

§ 25. The Atharvan in the Grhya-sutras. - In the Grhyasutras one would expect a greater degree of intimacy with the Atharran, and hence a more frequent and less formulaic reference to its writings, since in more than one sense the Grhyasutras are themselves Atharvanic. Many of their mantras are identical with, or variants of those contained in the AV. But even the Gthya-rites, popular, nay vulgar, as they must have been in their untrammeled beginnings were, so to speak, Rishified, and in time passed through a process of school treatment which enstranged them from the Atharvan, and assimilated them, as far as possible, to the RV., SV., and YV., as the case may be. Thus the battle-charm AG. 3. 12, instead of drawing upon the very abundant mantras of this kind in the AV.17, is decked out with the scattering material of this class in the RV. Nowhere is there a marked degree of literary relationship with the AV. Sometimes the Atharvan is mentioned in the manner which is normal in the fruit, i.e., preceded by the traji, and followed by other varieties of composition: AG. 3.3. 1-3; SG. 1. 24, 8, HG. 2. 19. 16. But in SG 1. 16. 3 (frahmateda); PG. 2. 10. 7 (atharrateda); 2. 10. 21 (atharranam); HG. 2. 3. 9; 18. 3; 20. 9 (atharrareda) there is a distinct advance along the line of later development in the more distinct and familiar

25

mention of the fourth Veda; this is not balanced altogether by the cases of restriction to the trays (SG, 1. 22. 15; 24. 2; HG. 1. 5. 13; 2. 13. 1), or to two Vedas (AG. 1. 7. 6 - \$G. 1. 13. 4 - PG. 1. 6. 3; GG. 1. 6. 19; 3. 2. 48), because these passages are to a considerable extent quotations, or modified mantras from the sruti. The true value of this testimony is chronological, not sentimental: the Grhyasūtras, as much as their subject-matter is akin to the AV., are not imbued with a sense of its especial value and importance, any more than the fraula-texts. They handle their materials in a self-centred fashion; their reference to the AV, is formulaic in every single instance; and the greater frequency with which it is mentioned marks the later chronology of the composition of the Grhyasūtras into formal treatises 18. This is illustrated significantly by the list of Rishis at the tarpana in the Grhyasütras of the RV.19: here Sumantu whom very late tradition designates as the source of Atharvanlore 20, but who figures not at all in Atharvan texts, is given a prominent place. From such a late period of Atharvanic development do the Grhyasutras derive their consciousness of the AV.

\$ 26. The Atharvan in the law-literature (dharma) .- There is yet another field of literature whose roots also reach down to the Veda, namely that of the law-books (dharma): in the legal Sūtras, Sīstras, and Smrtis, especially in their so-called vyavahara-chapters, judgment must be finally passed on the unclean and sinister phases of Atharvanic activity. Here the golden rule must come up for consideration. The need of doing unto others what one would have others do unto oneself, and leaving the opposite undone, is sure to be felt and expressed. In the diarma-texts also the AV, retains in a measure its place by virtue of its profound hold upon popular beliefs, because indispensable sciences like medicine and astrology are Atharvanic by distinction, and because the Atharvan priest performs, especially for the king, mestimable services in the injury and overthrow of enemies. The king's chaplain (furchita) was in all probability as a rule an Atharvan priest (cp. Yājňav. 1. 312). But incantations, sorceries, love charms and the like do work mury, and the dharma-texts pronounce with no uncertain voice the judgment that the Atharvan, useful or indispensable as it is under certain circumstances, is on the whole inferior in character and position, that its practices are impure, and must be either strictly regulated, or prohibited by the proper nunishments.

The AV, is not mentioned by name very frequently in the Dharma-texts at. A number of times it presents itself in the normal and formal Vedic manner, i. e. preceded by the transacta, and followed by other literary types, especially the stiliasaturānam, e. g. \iDh 30 37, BDh 2 5 9.14, \alphajnav.1.44, Ausanasa 3. 44, Karmapradipa 2. 5. 10 A little less frequently it holds the position of the fourth Veda in cases where no subsidiary literature is mentioned. BDh. 3. 9. 4; 4. 5.,1, VaDh. 22. 9, Ausanasa 3 86, Arddha-Harita 3 45. The Atharvasiras (Siras), an Upanisad connected with the AV., is often mentioned, with respect of course, e. g. GDh. 19 12; VADh. 22 9; BDh. 4, r. 28; ViDh. 55, 9; Ausanasa 4, 5. More pointedly the sacred texts of the AV, are recommended as the true weapons with which the Brahmana may slay his enemies, Manu 11. 33 (śrutir atharcangirasih); the king must choose as his Purohita one skilled in the Atharvan and Anguras (atharvangurase), Yajuav. 1.312, the same behest is implied GDh. 11. 15, 17, where the king is enjoined to take heed of that which astrologers and interpreters of omens tell him, and to cause the Purohita to perform in his house-fre expiatory rites (santi), rites for prosperity (maisala), and witcheraft-practices against enemies (abhicara) 22. Such a Purohita is co 1500 an Atharvan priest. At BDh. 2. 8. 15. 4; ViDh.

73.11; 81.4 the demons called yātuāhāna are driven out by means of sesame, in perfect accord with AV. 1.7.2. In the Atri-Sanhūta (Jivānnanā) collection, vol. 1, p. 45) Atharvan priests skilled in astrology are recommended for the performance of śrāddhas and sacrifices. Cp. also ViDh. 3.75; 71.66;

Manu 7. 217; Yājāav. 1, 332. Thus far the dharma-texts express regard for the AV, and conscious dependence upon its literature and its practices. But the dubious quality of the fourth Veda sounds from notes pitched in a different key. In the first place the omission of the AV. from Vedic lists which characterizes the frantatexts, is continued in the dharma-texts, here as there without pronounced disapproval Thus notably in the prohibition of the other Vedas while the sound of the Samans is heard, only RV, and YV, are mentioned: GDh. 16, 21; VaDh. 13, 30; ViDh. 30, 26; Manu 4, 123, 124. Other cases in which the traividya is mentioned without reference to the AV. are BDh. 2, 8, 14, 4, 5; 4. 5. 29; Manu 1. 23; 3. 145, 11. 263-6; 12. 112; Yajnav. 2. 211. The inferiority of the AV. is stated outright at ApDh. 2. 11. 29. 10, 11, where it is said that the knowledge of women and Sudras forms a supplement to the AV.2, yet more brusquely ViDb, 5, 191 counts him that recites a deadly incantation from the AV as one of the seven kinds of assassins. More frequently performances which imply the use of the AV, are decried and punished, though the Veda is not mentioned by name. Magic rites with intent to harm enemies, and curses in general cause defilement; they are visited with severe penances, e. g ApDh 1 9 26. 7, BDh. 2 1. 2. 16; GDh. 25. 7; ViDh. 37. 26; Manu 9, 290; Yamav, 3, 289 (contraringse Manu 11, 33). The practice of medicine is emphatically described as impure here as in the Brahmanas 24, e.g. ApDh. 1, 6, 18, 20, Vibh 51, 10, GDh. 17, 17; VaDh 14, 2, 19; Manu 3. 152; Yājhas 1, 162 the charge, of course, reflects upon the AV. Astrology and fortune-telling are impure professions, e.g. BDh. 2. 1. 2. 16; ViDh. 82. 7; VaDh. 10, 21, Manu 3, 162 that these occupations were Atharvanic seems to follow from AV. 6 128, Kaus. 50. 15 (cp. Atri-sauthita, above). An especially pointed reflection against the AV, is implied in the prohibition of mulabriya or mulakarman, 'practises with roots', ViDh 25, 7, Many 0,:290; 11, 64: the brunt of this charge is without doubt directed against the AV. (see, e. g. 1. 34, 6. 138), though practices of this kind are not wanting outside of that Veda (cp. RV. 10. 145, and the Grhyasūtras). Finally, GDh. 15, 16; ViDh. 82. 12; Manu 3. 151; 4. 205, he that sacrifices for the common herd (gramayajaka) is impure: we may presume that this kind of activity was largely, if not entirely in the hands of Atharvan priests; cp. SBE XLII, p. xi., note.

S 27. The Athars an in the Mahabharata. — The position of the AV, in the Mahabharata is characterized by the single statement that its importance as a Veda, and its canonicity, are finally and completely established; that its practices are familiarly known and, in general, not subjected to any particular criticism. The great collection deals so largely with the interests of the Ksatriyas as to preclude any conscious discrimination against the AV, since this Veda also is largely engaged in the interest of the kings (rdjukarmani). Trequently, to be sure, the prevailing Vedic habs of speaking of the threefold Veda is commend mechanically. but the high regard for the Atharan and its unchallenced position in the canon are proved by the quisi-cosmogonic passages in which the four Vedas figure in close affinity with the personified creation. Thus, at 5, 108, 10 – 3770 Brahman is said to have first sing if e four Vedas, and at 3, 203, 15 — 13566 Brahman has the eryth Caurieda; and similarly much else of this sort? By itself the AV, is mentioned frequently either with direct practice, or in a position of

indisputable usefulness. E. g. at 2.11.19 - 437 the atharvaiigirasah persomified, are mentioned honorifically along with other Vedic Rishis; at 5. 18.5 = 548 ff. Angiras praises Indra with mantras of the AV,, hence Indra declures that this Veda shall thenceforth have the name atharvangirasa. At 12, 342, 99 == 13258 ff. Prajāpati declares that Atharvan sages fashioned him into an Atharvan priest devoted to the practice of the five kalpas (pañcakalpam atharvanam); at 3.305.20 = 17066 Kunti knows Atharvan mantras (atharvangirasi25 srutam) which compel the gods to appear; and so on 29. It is, of course, not to be expected that the Atharvan and its practices, notwithstanding their establishment in the good graces of the Epic writers, shall come off entirely without criticism; there must have been persons aching under its supposed inflictions, and moods alive to a full sense of its vulgarity. In such cases the Epic reflects entirely the spirit of the dharma-texts. Thus physicians are declared to be impure, 12. 36. 28 = 1322; 13. 90. 13 = 4282; or, practices of bad women with charms and roots are condemned, 3. 39. 6 = 2237 ff. Magic or sorcery is in general regarded as good, but yet it is possible in the view of the Epic to bewitch right so as to make it wrong, to be a dharmabhicarin (12, 140, 42 == 5288), or to use foul maja (7, 30, 15 == 1316 fl.): the Atharvan duplicity is ineradicable.

\$ 28. The Atharvan in Sanskrit, Jaina, and Bauddha literature .-In Sanskrit literature in general the AV., though not mentioned very frequently, is just as firmly established as in the Mahabharata. The Ramayana refers to the AV, but once (2, 26, 21); but the Dasakumaracarita (twice, chap. 2, p. 94, and chap, 3, p. 108), the Kirātīrjuniya 10. 10, and the proverbs here and there, exhibit it in undiminished usefulness and respect. Susruta's Ayurveda is naturally acquainted with the oldest source of Hindu medicine: 1. 89. 19; 122, 10. The Paranas always speak of the fourfold Veda, and present the AV, in the exalted position given it in its own ritualistic literature (see the next chapter), paurohilyam šantipaustikāni rajūam atharvavedena karayed brahmatram ca (Vișnu-Purana, Prasth mabheda, p. 16, l. 10). The Matsya, as quoted by Sayana in the introduction to the AV., p 6, orders that the Purohita shall compass the mantras and the Brihmana of the VV., and the Markandeya claims that the king consecrated with its mantras enjoys the earth and the ocean (Siyani, ibid) ... On the other hand the Jamist and Buddhist literatures naturally cap their small esteem of the Veda in general with occasional express condemnation of the practices of the AV. Thus the Sutrakṛtānga sūtra 2, 27 forbids the incantitions of the Atharvan (atharvani);

or the Atthakavagga 14.13 of the Sutta mp its forbids the practice of the Atthabbana-Veda. To the condemnation of practices essentially Atharvanic is devoted the Mthā Silam in the second chapter of the Tevija-sutta; similarly

type of priest, at the Vedic sacrifice, and the growingly frequent tenure of this office by Atharvan priests, accounts for the unusual attitude of Pataĥjali³s. Or we may bear in mind the special practical importance of this Atharvan stanza as a purificatory prayer in the morning, manifested by the fact that it is placed at the head of many Saunakiya-MSS, where it does not originally belongs³s. The Atharvanka are also cited respectfully in the Anupada (3, 12) and Nidāma Sūtnas (2, 12) of the SV.³¹ in connection with teachers of other Vede schools; similarly Sūyana to Mahānārāyana Up. (IS. II. 100, note 2). The Caranavyūhas treat the AV. as the fourth Veda, on the same plain as the other Vedas³¹; the Dṛbaddevatā 5, 15 knows the atharvāngiratāḥ mantriel in active practice. Of levical texts the Amarakoà does not mention the AV; in the Mednikoša Atharvan figures as the name of the Veda³². But a writer as late as Hemacandra, 249, may still describe the AV. as an extract (maldrit) from the traitaḥa⁴°.

1 This chapter is a reased abstract of the investigation on this subject printed by the arthor, SELL ALLIP, ANXIVIL—Utif the paragraph at the end, on the position of the AV, in the grammatical and kindred hierature, is added to the original teatment of the subject. ~ 2 MARIUSON, ANXIVILATION (AVI) AND ANXIVILATION (AVI) ANXIVILATION

G. THE ATHARVA-VEDA IN THE VIEW OF ITS RITUALISTIC

S 30. The attitude of the ritual texts towards the Atharvan and its priects.— From the preceding chapter we may gather that the Sambait of the AV, and the Atharvan Chamiads allude with preddection and in terms of probe to their own kind of compositions, to the mythical sages who are their reputed authors, and to Atharvanic priests. Of any systematic attempt to make battle against the ancient threefold Veda or it, adherents there is no sign Similarly the ritual texts of the AV, allude preferably, and

yet incidentally, to their own Veda, and as occasion offers, bring to the front the priests schooled in it: e. g. Kaus. 63. 3; 139. 6; Vait. 1. 5; Ath. Pans. 46. 2, etc. But over and above this the ritual texts raise certain special claims regarding the position of the AV. among the Vedas, and they demand with obvious polemic intention that certain offices shall be reserved for Atharvan priests. The position of these texts may be stated under three heads. First, they are not content with the rather vacillating attitude of the non-Atharvanic texts which restrict the honorific mention of the fourth Veda to more or less well-defined occasions, especially to moods when it appears desirable to call into requisition the entire range of Vedic literary compositions in addition to the trayī vidyā (e.g. itihāsa, purāņa, etc.). Secondly, the office of the Brahman, the fourth priest at the srauta ceremonies, is said by them to belong to an Atharvavedin, and Vait, and GB, in fact exhibit the bhrgvangirovid in possession of that office. Thirdly, a similar claim is advanced in respect to the office of the Purohita; the king must choose an Athan an priest conversant with the Atharvan writings as his chaplain or house-priest: purchita, guru, or brahman, as he is variously called. The latter claim is supported, as has been shown, to some extent by later Brahmanical treatises not derived from Atharvan schools 4.

\$ 31. Evaltation of the Atharvan, and the office of the Brahman in the ritual texts, - As regards the first point, the GB. 1. 1. 4-10 describes the cosmogonic origin of the universe and the Vedas from the lone brahma. Vedic texts in general ignore the AV. in these creative fancies, but in the GB, the Atharvan and Angiras texts are placed at the head; the other Vedic texts (1. 1. 6) as well as the subsidiary compositions (sarpareda etc., 1. 1. 10) are relegated to the rear. GB 1. 3. 4 lauds the AV. as the most important religious manifestation (etad var bhuyetham brahma yad bhrgyargirasah); GB. 1. 5. 25 ends with the assertion that the students of the travi reach, to be sure, the highest heaven, but that the Atharvans and Angiras go even beyond to the great worlds of Brahma (ata uttare brahmaloka mahantah), In fact the leading theme at the heart of the composers of the GB, is to carry to the front the AV, and its adherents, and only rarely, as if by mistake, does this text omit the AV. from its Vedic lists (above, \$ 22). And in the same way Vait 6. 1 places the AV. at the head of the four Vedas, not to speak of the even more energetic evaltation of the Atharvan in the Parisistas. As regards, secondly, the Brahman, the overseer of the irantasacrifices, Vait. 1, 1; 11, 2 (GB. 1, 2 16) state that he must be conversant with the Brahma-Veda, or Atharvangirasah, in the latter passage in expressed contrast with udgatar, hotar, and adhi aryu of the travi. At GB. 1.2.18 (end) the Brahman is described with the words, esa ha vai vidvan sarracid brahmā 3 ad bhrgvangtrovid, indicating the identification of the AV with the sarvazidya which stands above the trail (below, \$ 33), GB 1 3. 1, 2 describes vividly the futility of the sacrifice without a Brahman skilled in the bhrevangrasah; as a cow, horse, mule, or chariot cannot proceed with less than four feet, so the sacrifice must have four feet the four Vedas and the four priests. Cp. especially GB, 2, 2, 13 with its source, TS, 3, 5, 2, 1, where the GB. demurs at the statement of the TS., tasmad vāsistho brahmā karyah, the GB. being committed emphatically to the bhrgi angirovid

\$ 32 The office of the Purchita in the ritual texts.—Even more energetic are the demands of the liturgic texts in the matter of the office of the purchita (brahman, gurn): The king who rules the country shall seek a wise Brahman (brahmanam). He verily is wise that is skilled in the brigger and argifrary for the brigger and argifrary for the brigger and argifrary and the properties of the skilled in the skill

occurrences, and protect everything' (Kaus. 94, 2-4; cp. 126, 2). The equivalence of trahman, furuhita, and guru is guaranteed by comparing Ath. Paris, 3. 1, kulmam śrotriyam bhrgrangiroxidam . . . gurum erniyad bhupatih; and 3. 3, tasmad bhegt argirotidam ... kuryat purohitam. Cp. also 2. 2, trahma tasmad athariant. Conversely, 'The gods, the Fathers, and the twice-born (priests) do not receive the oblation of the king in whose house there is no guru skilled in the Atharvan' (2. 3)4. Kaus. 17. 14ff.; 140. 4ff. exhibit king and Purolita in active cooperation. The Parisistas are not content with such strong recommendations of the adherents of their Veda; they would have the adherents of the other Vedas, yea even of certain branches (fakha) of the AV. itself, excluded from the furchiti: 'The Atharvan keeps off terrible occurrences, and acts as a charm against pottentous ones ... not the Adhvaryu, not the Chandoga, and not the Bahyrea ... The Bahyrea destroys the Lingdom, the Admaryu destroys sons, the Chandoga dissipates wealth; hence the guru must be an Atharvan t ... A Paippalada as gurn increases happiness, sovereignty, health, and so does a Saunakin who understands the gods and the mantras ... The king whose furodha is in any way a Jalada or a Mauda is deposed from his kingdom within the year, (Ath. Paris. 2, 2-5)5. The last four names are alike representatives of Atharvan schools (above, p. 11 ff.): the passage shows how eager was the scramble for the office of Purohita. That the Atharvans finally succeeded in making heard their clamorous demand for this office (see below, § 34), is probably due to their superior, if not exclusive, knowledge of witchcraft, which was doubtless regarded in the long run as the most practical and trenchant instrument for the defence of king and people.

\$ 33. Causes leading up to the exaltation of the Atharvan and its priests - In order to estimate these claims of the Atharvanists at their correct value the following needs to be considered. In the Vedic religion, or perhaps better religious evolution, three literary forms and correspondingly three liturgic methods of application of these forms to the sacrifice, were evolved at a time prior to the recorded history of Hindu religious thought and action. They are the well-known types real, samani, and jajūnsi, and their priestly exponents, hotar, udgatar, and adhraryu. These literary forms taken individually are mere fragments of religious activity; these priests are only individual actors, not qualified each by himself to shoulder the burden of the literary knowledge or liturgic technique. The Hindus were at all times aware that these religious forms are fragmentary and phases of a whole. The RV. contains countless expressions indicating the insufficiency of the cah to fulfill alone the scheme of religious action: the absence of samani would in principle leave Vedic religion just as much mutilated as the absence of real; the categories are the three parts of a trio whose melody is carried by each in turn. A comprehensive vision was never wanting, though the search for a word for 'religion', or religious practice as a whole was at first not very successful. The Brahmana-texts6 use sarraridya for knowledge of all the Vedas, but the most successful attempt at describing the religious literature and action as a whole is the word brahma, and, correspondingly, he that knows the religion as a whole is a brahmán. Each of these words appears occasionally in the fourth place, bidhma after the trayi; brahman in company with the priests of the trajī. Thus the TS. (7. 3. 1. 4) can say: parimita zā reah, parimitāni sāmāni, farimitāni yajūmsi; athāi 'tasyai 'vā' nto na 'sti yad brahma. In a sense the brahma is a fourth Veda, but it is not coordinate with the other three: it embraces and comprehends them and much else besides; it is the religious expression and religious action as a whole, and the learned esoteric understanding of the nature of the gods and the mystery of the

sacrifice as a whole (brahma in brahmonya and brahmavádín). In the Upanisads this brahma, frequently contrasted with the ordinary Vedas, is extolled above all other knowledge, and in a way personified, becoming one of the main conceptions which finally precipitate themselves in the panthesite Irahman-Xitman. The knowledge of this brahma there constitutes the wbrahma-zudyān. Needless to say this fourth Veda, if we may so call it, in all its aspects has primarily no connection with the AV., not even in the Samphia (11.8.23) 15.3, 7; 6.3; cp. AB 1.22.15), nor in the Upanişads of that Veda (e.g., 'Nrsinhaptiratīpanī 5.2): the claim that the Atharvan is the Brahma-Veda belongs to the Atharvan ritual?

This broader religious knowledge exists again from earliest times, not only in the abstract, but centres in persons who grasped it as a whole, in distinction from the technically qualified priests devoted to some specialty, What the brahma is to the train, that the brahman is to hotar, udgatar, and adhtariu. RV. 10, 71, 11 depicts the activity of the four priests, the first three handling their respective literary productions (reali etc.). The fourth priest is described in the words, brahma radati jutaridjam8: he has no special Veda, certainly there is no allusion to the AV. In RV. 7. 7. 5 the god Agni appears chosen as a Brahman at a sacrifice which suggests the later sattra (agnir brahmå nrsådane vidhartå). In the expression, brahmå vadati jatavidi am, the 'own wisdom' is the brahma (neuter), and vadati jatarid am foreshadows the brahmodya 'the holy, or theological mystery, or riddle', as well as the ritualist refinements which the Stauta-texts introduce so often with the related expression, brahmat adino vadanti. In the non-Atharyanic Vedic texts it is never suggested that the Atharvan is of all other things the specific equipment which shapes the faculties of this all around Vedic theologian. On the contrary the KB, raises the one sided claim that a RV, scholar is the proper Brahman; Āpastamba, Yajñaparıbhāṣāsûtra 19, more liberally, permits the Brahman to perform with all three Vedas, yet even he ignores the AV.9 Vasistha was a celebrated Brahman and Purohita, and these qualifications were said for a time (probably by the descendants of Vasistha themselves) to be hereditary in this family. But the Brahmanas say explicitly that this is an obsolete custom, every one properly equipped may be a Brahman 10. Anyhow there is no original connection between Vasistha and the AV ", and it is not going too far to assume that the distinguished abilities demanded by the theory of this office were rare enough to admit everyone that had intrinsically valid claims upon it.

Doubtless the Atharvanists, plea that the Brahman must be one of themselves, and that, consequently, the AV was the Brahma-Veda was suggested by an obvious schematic proportion. As the Hotar, etc., is to the RV, etc., so the Brahman is to the fourth Veda, and as the AV. is the fourth Veda, or rather a fourth Veda, it required no too violent wrench to identify it with that other comprehensive fourth Veda, the knowledge of the bráhma. Thus the Atharvan became the Brahma-Veda, and GB, r. z. 18, end, can say eca ha vat vidi an sarravid brahmā jad bhrgvangirovid (cp. 1.5.11, 15, 19, always with the idea of the sarram). The fact that there was no systematic, sharply defined place for the Atharvanist in the scheme of the hieratic (frauta) religion -- witchcraft formulas at the sacrifice being handled as jajus by the Adhvaryu - must have been galling in the long run, until this arrangement was completed to their own satisfaction. They may have, though we do not know that they did, gathered courage for this tour de force from the frequent mention in the AV, itself of the word brihma in the sense of charm, prayer, e.g. 1. 10. 1; 14. 44; 23. 4, etc. If this was done it was a proceeding both

arbitrary and superficial: the word has in the AV, the meaning of charm only in so far as the hymns of that Veda happen to be charms; the RV, employs the term freely to designate its own stildini (e.g. 5, 85, 1; 7, 28, 1). One misses, too, in the AV, the plural brahmani as the true Vedic type of designation for a special class of compositions, on a level with realt, saimani, optimin, atharcaingiratani, or, atharcanah (bleagiani), and angiratan (abhicari-laini). The Atharcanists doubtless also remembered that the AV, of all Samhitas contains the largest collection of theosophic hymns which deal explicitly (10, 2), or implicitly (10, 7), with the divine Brahman and the brahmani; hence, to some extent at least, the later association of the superabundant Upanişads with Atharvan schools. In the Upanişads, as we have seen, the knowledge of just such theosophic relations is styled brahmanid.

\$34. Connection of the Purchita with the AV., and interrelation of Purchita and Brahman. - To these Atharvanic pretensions there came from another quarter a measure of substantiality, probably at a comparatively early time, in this instance with the passive support of all Vedic schools. The matter concerns the office of the Purohita, the spiritual and temporal aid of the king, his chaplain and chancellor. To be sure the non-Atharvanic Samhitas, Brāhmanas, and Sūtras do not mention the AV, in this connection any more than in connection with the office of the Brahman at the frauta-sacrifice. Yet it seems very unlikely that the knowledge of Atharvan practices should not at all times have been considered a very valuable adjunct, if not a conditio sine qua non, of the puroliti. Purohitas, whether they are formal adherents of the AV. or not, are always engaging in Atharvanic practices, even against one another 13. The interests of the king and his sovereignty (ksatri) a and ksatram) are too obviously dependent upon magic rites to admit the likelihood that the pretensions to this office on the part of him that knew them-should have been ignored. At all periods the safety of the king, the prosperity of his people, his ascendency over hostile neighbors, must have depended upon his Purohita's skill in magic. The description, AB 8. 24-28, of the Purohita, his functions, and his relation to the king, transfers the reader to the sphere and spirit of the AV.; the same text (8, 25) describes a magic rite, called brahmanah parimara, designed to kill hostile kings, which might be an extract from the ritual of the Atharvan 14. In later texts (GDh. 11. 15, 17; Yājñav. 1. 312; cp. also Manu 11. 33), as a matter of fact the rule is laid down formally that the Purchita should be an Atharvavedin. Savana, Introd. to AV., p. 5, 6, makes the same claim outright (paurohityam ca 'thart avidai 'va kār)am); he is able to cite in support not only the rather hysterical dicta of the Atharvan writings themselves, but also slokas from the Puranas, the Nītišāstra, etc.15. In the Dasakumāracarīta the marriage ceremony, as well as magic rites, are in fact performed at the court of a king with Atharvan rites, atharranena (atharvanikena) vidhina: the statement is the more valuable as it is incidental 16.

Not quite so well-defined are the practical realities in favor of the claim that the Brahman (fourth priest) must be an Atharvavedia, but we may assume that the growing affinity between the AV. and the pravibili contributed at least something to the partial success which doubtless attended that claim It is not necessary for the purpose in hand to establish at all points the original relation between the Purobita and the Brahman whose identity is baldly assumed in many passages of the earlier Hinda luterature 17. A complete survey of the character of each, as well as their respective names establishes a fortiori genume differences in their character. There is, however, one straking point of similarity between them, namely this, that they

have in charge, each in his own way, the general interests of their noble employers. On the other hand all priests having other names, at a very early period, certainly at a period prior to the Atharvanic assumption, had in ordinary practice only subordinate charges, because of the technical character of their knowledge and occupation. RV. 10. 71. 11 (cp. 7. 7. 5) expresses clearly the existence of broader theological interests than mere expertness in the recitation and chanting of hymns, and the mechanical service of the sacrifice (hotar, udgitar and adhvar)u). This is the Brahmanship which later forks into two directions, on one side the general knowledge of the procedures at the sacrifice (the Brahman as fourth priest), and the theological speculations attaching (brahmar ādin); on the other, the higher theosophy which ultimately leads to the brahmavidya of the Upanisads. It is natural that a divine thus qualified should at a very early time have assumed permanent and confidential relations to his noble rajanya-employer, in all matters that concerned his religious and sterificial interests. His functions are those of a high-priest. It seems unlikely that this Brahman was in all cases, too, competent to attend to those more secular and practical needs of the king connected with the security of his kingdom, the fealty of his people, and the suppression of his enemies. These activities, rajakarmani, as the Athanian writings call them19, must have called for different training and different talents - they represent rather the functions of a chancellor and fighting chaplain, than those of a high-priest - and there is no reason to believe that every Brahman possessed these necessary qualifications in addition to his expertness in systematic theology. On the other hand, conversely, there must have been Purohitas incapable of assuming intelligent charge of the more elaborate Vedic performances (srauta), unless we conceive that in such cases the Brahman was a mere figure-head and his office a sinecure. Yet precisely here is to be found the measure of truth which we may suspect in the Atharvanist claim that the supervising Brahman shall be an adherent of the AV. In many cases the tribal king, or rapa, mught have had but one bodypriest, well capable of attending to the kingdom's needs in all manner of charms and sorcers, and thus filling the paurohitra creditably with the entire armament of the Veda of charms and sorrery, himself an Atharvavedin, the king had about him no systematic theologian resplendent in his fatavidya, if there was no adept in that ideal fourth Veda, the sarvaridia that looms above the travi stdva, the remoter applicability of the trauta-practices to real life, or confidence in the ability of hotar, adhivaryu, etc., to perform their duties correctly of themselves, would lead him to entrust the general supervision of the frauta performances to his trusty Atharvan Purohita. Thus the sweeping claim of the Athars in priests may be founded at least upon a narrow margin of fact, later the Atharvan priests are likely to have equipped themselves with enough external and mechanical knowledge to perform the function of Brahman with a show of respectability, witness the activity of the Brahman in the Vait. In very life times the ability of the Atharvins to practise frauta-rites, and the canonicity of the Vait, were recognized by other Vedic schools, if the matter-of fact references to that Sutra on the part of the commentators to KS, be regarded as normal?. However the entire question of the relation of the AV, to sraula-practices is a rather obscure point in the history of Vedic literature, it being assumed generally that the AV. had originally nothing to do with the larger Vedic ritual. The assumption in this broad form is at any rate too sweeping. The existing Samhatas of the AV, contain mantras which could have had no sense and purpose except in connection with Irauta-performances. A series of formulas, e. g. like AV. Into-and he Philology of I I :

6. 47 and 48, has no meaning except in connection with the three daily savanast; Vatt. 21.7 exhibits them, properly no doubt, as part of the agnitoma. Or AV. 6. 114 is evidently an explainty formula for faults committed at the sacrifice. This last is the special sphere of the Brahman. The GB, more frequently than other Brahmanas, refers to defects in the sacrifice (riritga, than, jatayama) which are to be corrected (samadhana) by mantras (1. 1. 13 and 22), and there are mss. of the Vait, which add six prayatellta-chapters to the body of that text." Some knowledge of srauta-matters, though not very extensive perhaps, the Atharvans must have had at a very early tune, and thus the germs of the correlation of the Atharvan and Brahman may even rest upon some slender basis of very ancient tradition.

1 Abstract of the essay on this subject, SBE XLII, p. IXVIII. — 2 Cp. above, 26, and both v. 324. — 3 See below 8, 66, and note especially GB, 2.2. 14, where the adsormangement as a noted every time in Hurrgical formulas to the profit of t

PART II. THE REDACTION AND EXTERNAL FORM OF THE ATHARVA-VEDA IN THE SCHOOL OF SAUNAKA.

A. DIVISION AND ARRANGEMENT OF THE HYMNS.

\$ 35. The division of the Samhita into 20 books. - The AV. in the Saunakīya-śākhā is divided into 20 books, a number which is upheld to some extent by tradition. Thus GB. 1. 1. 5 and 8 speaks of 20 mythical sages descended from Atharvan and Anguras, and Patanjah in the Mahabhayya to Pan. 5. 2. 37, cites the example, zimino 'ngirasah'. General statements of this sort cannot, however, be regarded as conclusive for the original number of the Saunakiya-books, because AVP. also has 20 books whose genuineness is unimpeachable. Indeed it would seem as though the original collection of the Saunakins consisted of a lesser number of books, and that it was later swelled by the addition of a number of books sufficient to bring it into accord with the traditional number, that is, to assimilate it to AVP, or to some other redaction in 20 books. There is no doubt that the 20th book is a late addition compiled for the most part from the RV, for ritialistic purposes of an advanced character (see below, \$ 62). The concluding prayer at the end of book 19 (h)mn 72) shows in fact that the collection was at some time conceived as having come to a full stop with book 19. But the latter book is hardly less doubtfully a secondary appendage, for the following reasons: It is exceedingly corrupt; it does not harmonize with the plan of arrangement of the hymns in the first 18 books, which, in spite of certain

35

obscurities, or even puerilities, is on the whole a consistent one 3, AVP, contains the 72 hymns of book 19 (with the exception of about a dozen) scattered throughout that collection, making it seem probable that the supplementary book 19 of the . vulgata is in the main gathered from that very source+; AV, 10, 7 and 8 are repeated in full in Naksatrakalna 10 and 26, which would seem to show that at the time of the composition of that text these hymns did not belong to the vulgata, as in the contrary case they would have been quoted by their pratikas5, AV. 19. 22 and 23, a fanciful catalogue, or table of contents, of the Samhiti, are of obvious Parisista-characters, and certainly do not refer to book 197, although they seem to refer continously (19, 23, 23-28) to books 13-18; the Kaus, by quoting only a few mantras of book 19 by pratika, and presenting others in full (sakalapatha), seems to assign to it something like an intermediate position between a collection fully established within the Samhiti of its school, and a body of mantras conceived as belonging to another school8; finally both books 10 and 20 are not treated in the Pratisakhya of the AV., or included in the analysis of the Samhita as given by the Pancapatalika, a fact which is perhaps self-explanatory as regards book 20, but which stamps book 19 as a stranger at the time of the composition of the Pratisakhya. Remarkable but not finally significant is the reference of the Uttamapatala, Ath, Paris, 46, 4-6, to the constituency of the AV. According to this text the Sunakiya ended with book 16, unless it were assumed that reference is made in it to another sakhā1". Inasmuch however as this tract indulges in oddities in connection with the remaining Vedic Sarphitas, and because its account has in view specific ritualistic purposes, it is not necessary to assume that books 17 and 18 were added after the composition of this Parisista, or are in any sense the product of a later reduction than that of books 1-16. Book 18, to be sure, is wanting in AVP., book 17, however, is for the most part included in it 11.

The AV, is divided into Landa 'books', annuala 'lessons', and sukta 'hymns' Another continuous division into frafathaka extends in Rorit and WHITNEY'S edition through the first 18 books (38 m all), but does not continue through books 19 and 20. In addition to sukta there is also a parallel division of each kanda in arthasukta hymns divided off according to sense', and paryayasukta, briefer subdivisions into groups of verses, usually ten a group. The latter subdivision is to be compared with the Rigredic varya, beside the sukta. Beginning with book 7 SHANKAR PANDIT'S divisions in accordance with his authorities differ somewhat from those of the vulgate edition12. In Sayant's commentary the briefer hymns are combined into larger hymns in accordance with the traditional recitation, e g in the case of book 6 two hymns (trea) are tused into one 13. The Kaus is acquainted with the terms adhyaya (kanda), anuraka, sukta and paryaya 11. SB. 13. 4- 3- 7, 8 mentions the term farran in connection with the recital of sections from the athart anah on the one hand and the arginisah on the other, the statement is on the face of it exoteric and fanciful, similar to the loose use of farran in RV. 7, 103 5; PG. 2, 10, 2015. The entire collection as printed in the vulgate numbers 731 hymns, aggregating about 6000 stanzas

\$ 36 Arrangement of the book according to hymns of different length.—Leaving asde book 20 whose arrangement like that of the trauta-collections of the Yajus saiphilias is liturgical, and the supplementary book 10 whose arrangement is apparently hap-hazard, and at any rate not be discussed without reference to XVP., the first 18 books disclose the following reductorial scheme. The first seven books consist each of numerous hymns (respectively 35, 36, 31, 40, 31, 142, and 118) of hot very

many stanzas each, 18 at the utmost (5. 17). The hymns of each book are regulated by a lower limit in the number of their stanzas. First, ascendingly, the hymns of books 1-5, each containing about the same number of hymns. have respectively at least 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 stanzas; this ascending scale seems to be repeated in a way inside of book 5, the norm of whose first two anuvakas (hymns 1-10) seems to be 8 or 9 stanzas followed in the rest of the book by hymns consisting at least of 11, and rising as high as 18 stanzas (hymn 17). After book 5 there is an obvious break in the arrangement: the number of hymns increases vastly but the scale of stanzas descends; book 6 contains 142 hymns of at least 3 stanzas each; book 7 contains 118 hymns of two, or even as low as one stanza each. The lower limit of stanzas in the hymns of each book may therefore be regarded in a certain sense as the normal number of stanzas of that book 17, suggesting critical operations in connection with those hymns that have more than the normal number. In such cases the question arises whether stanzas were not added by a later hand. It is also possible to surmise that certain hymns which originally fell short of the normal number of a given book were brought up to the norm by later additions. Systematic investigations of this sort have been carried on by BERGAIGNE and OLDLINEERG on the RV. with interesting side-glimpses at the AV 18. Thus the normal number of stanzas in the first book is 4, interrupted only by hymns 3 (9 stanzas), 7 (7 stanzas), 11 (6 stanzas), 20 (6 stanzas), and 34 (5 stanzas). Hymn 3 suggests the throwing out of the liturgically repeated stanzas 2-5, leaving 5 stanzas, but there is no reason further to suspect any of the remaining. In hymn 7 the first four stanzas are anustubh, interrupted by a tristubh (stanza 5); it is therefore possible to imagine that the stanzas 5-7 were added later. Yet these stanzas are original, and there is no reason to suspect them on intrinsic grounds. In hymn 11 of 6 stanzas the last two may be suspected because they repeat the pada, ara jaroyu padyatâm, as a refrain. Hymn 29 suggests by comparison with RV. 10. 174 a critical manipulation which shall do justice to the original diaskeuastic intention of both collections By cutting out AV. 20 4 and 5 we have left 4 stanzas = RV 10. 174. 1-3 and 5, and if we assume that RV. 10 174.4 = 10.159.4 is also secondary we obtain the original 4 stanzas of the hymn in both RV. and AV 19. Finally AV. 1. 34 has 5 stanzas, of which the last may possibly be later, since its second hemistich is formulaic; then the first 4 stanzas might be looked upon as a debating charm, turned into a love charm by the appendage of stanza 520. Conversely it is no less easy to breed in one's mind distrust of certain final stanzas of the hymns of four stanzas as indeed of the final stanzas of many Vedic hymns in general. This is so not only because additions are naturally made at the end, but also because final stanzas frequently disclose the true point of a hymn to which the initial stanzas, themselves of a preparatory, or ornamental character, as it were, work their way. E. g in RV. 7. 103, a prayer to the frogs for rain, or AV. 4.16, an imprecation against an enemy, or AV. 3.13: a charm to conduct a river into a new channel, the initial stanzas work their way to the climax (or rather anti-climax) in the last stanza of each hymnar. Therefore there is quite frequently a break in the sense just before the last stanza. Thus, unless we be warned by these considerations, AV. 1. 2 may be plausibly regarded as a battle-charm consisting of the first 3 stanzas, but adapted later to a charm against diarrhoea by the added fourth verse22. Next AV. 1. 5 and 6 contain 4 stanzas each, together 8 stanzas; the corresponding hymn, RV. 10. 9, consists of 9 stanzas. Seven stanzas are common to both texts. Now the scheme of the RV. favors 7 stanzas for 10 9: shall

we say that RV. 10. 9. 8 and 9, as well as AV. 1. 6, 4 are later appendages, and that the last-mentioned stanza was added in the AV, to bring the hymn 1. 6 up to the normal number of 4 stanzas? The same question may be asked anent AV. 1. 17. 4 which looks a bit patchy (pada c=RV. 1. 191. 6°), and differs in metre from the rest. Certainly according to the ritual stanza 4 is important, and contains the very point of the practices connected with the hymn Then what is the meaning of the diaskenastic manceuvre in AV, 1. 20 and 21? The two hymns are patchwork: stanza 20, 1 = TB, 3, 7, 5, 12 (ApS. 2. 20. 6); stanza 20. 2 occurs with variants AS. 5. 3. 22; the next, stanza 20. 3, seems original. From that point on the two hymns (20. 4-21. 4) are the same as RV. 10. 152, in 5 stanzas. Shall we say that a single hymn of 5 stanzas was inflated by the Atharvanist into two hymns of 4 stanzas each, in order to fit it to the external scheme of his arrangement? This would accord in principle with the previous critical acts. Once again, 5 stanzas of the two hymns 1.23 and 24, dealing with the same subject (leprosy), are repeated TB. 2. 4. 4. 1-2. Has the AV. taken once more a hymn of 5 stanzas, and swelled it out to two of 4 stanzas each, in order to pamper its scheme? The danger and difficulty of such operations may be seen best in hymn 1.4-RV.1.23.16-19 According to OfDENDERG, l. c., p. 225, RV.1.23 consists of 6 treas (stanzas 1-18) which form a ritual series, stanzas 19-24 being an . appendix. Now, unless the AV. borrowed its four stanzas (- RV, 16-10) directly from the redactorially finished RV. - a very unlikely assumption these criteria lose something of their force as applied to the RV, itself; if their stringency in the RV. is not above suspicion, little confidence can be placed in similar operations in the AV., because they are in general dependant upon Rigvedic data. Without going so far as to say that the versenorms of the Atharvanic books should be ignored in judging the historical make-up of the hymns, extreme scepticism is for the present the proper frame of mind. I say, for the present, because the prospect of having the other Atharvan Samhiti, the Paippalada, in our hands soon is now very good: certainly even the most enthusiastic believer in these studies will be in favor of deferring them until the Saunakiva's sister-sainhita discloses its treasure of facts. Hence similar observations for the remaining books will not be here undertaken they would indeed require the freedom and space of an independent essay23. We must not, however, fail to draw attention to the fact that the ritual of the Atharvan, as that of the RV, generally recognizes hymns that are clearly composite by employing each part independently with a true sense of its proper value. HITTERANDI has discussed and illustrated this point for the RV.44, the present writer for the AV 25 Composite hymns of this sort are 4, 28 in two parts, 7, 56 in two parts, stanzas 5 ft, wanting in AVP,, and ribricated separately Kaus 139, 8, the two hymns 7, 74 and 7, 76 are tripartite and consist of heterogeneous stanzas which are employed properly in the Sütra-6; finally the checkered kuntapa-hymns are divided properly in the ritual tradition of all schools that take occasion to introduce them (see below, \$ 63).

We have seen that the first seven books are arranged on the hasis of the number of stanzas in the hymns; the numbers ascend from book 1—5, descend from book 6—7. Books 8—18, with the exception of 15 and 16, consist of long hymns; the shortest hymn (8, 1) in these is longer than the longest in the first seven books (hymn 5, 17 of 18 stanzas). We may note also that the shortest hymn, again barriag books 15 and 16, stands at the beginning of the entire series; the longest at the end (hymn 18.; 4 with 89 stanzas). I grifter, books 8—11 consist of 10 hymns each; after that the numbers

descend in book 12 (5. hymns), 13 (4 hymns); 14 (2 hymns), and 17 (one hymn); cf. the arrangement according to anuvakas, below. Again, book 8 has the shortest hymns with an average of 25. 8 stanzas to a hymn; next, book 9 with an average of 30. 5 stanzas to a hymn; next, book 10 with an average of 35 stanzas to a hymn. But book 11 interrupts this ascending scale with an average of 314 3 stanzas to a hymn, and after that this criterion, if it be more than an accident, is no longer applicable. Instead, another element of division rules the arrangement of the books, namely the division into anuvakas: the books are arranged from 8-17 according to the number of anuvakas m a descending scale. Books 8-13 have 5 anuvakas each; book 13 has 4; books 14-16 have two each; and book 17 has 1 anuvaka. Book 18, to be sure, again disturbs this descending scale with its 4 anuvikas. As far as books 13-18 are concerned, the prominence of the anuvāka-arrangement which plays no rôle in the first 7 books at all, is brought into view by the plural, duals, singular, and then again plural in the catalogue of these books, AV. 19. 23. 23—28: rehitebhyah svāhā, plural (book 13);
 suryabhyām svāha, dual (book 14); vrātyābhyam svahā, dual (book 15); prajapatyabhyam' straha (why this designation?), dual (book 16); zisāsahyas svaha, singular (book 17), and, mangalikebhyah svaha, plural (book 18)27.

\$ 37. Arrangement of the hymns within the books. -- Within a given book the hymns are on the whole not arranged at all, but seem to follow one upon the other pell-mell, with little reference to their subject matter, or any other discernible criterion. The numerical scheme of each book, to begin with, prevents the grouping of all related hymns. Thus 7. 65 is too short to take its place by the side of the hymns to the apamargaplant, 4. 17-19, the hymn 12 5, on the other hand, is too long to figure by the side of 5.17-19, though they are all curses against the oppressors of Brahmans. Similarly 5. 23 is prevented by its length from joining the charms against worms (2. 32, 33) Yet a considerable amount of the material is obviously arranged according to subject matter: two, three, four, and rising from that to as high as twelve hymns, dealing with quite or nearly the same theme, are grouped in the same place. The last mentioned number is the highest, being exhibited by 6. 110-121, all of which deal with expiation (prayascutta) of sins28. The next largest number, seven, is represented by the so-called mrgara-hymns, 4.23-29 (see \$\$ 45, 57), the group 2.18-23 contains 6 imprecations against enemies. Book 3 begins with an anuvaka in 5 hymns connected with royal practices (1-5); in a certain sense the five hymns of entire anuvaka 4.16-20, dealing with witchcraft, are made of the same stuff. Four groups of 4 hymns each are 7. 35-38 (women's charms), 7. 46-49 (divinities of the moon and heavenly wives); 19. 40-43 (brahma, tapas, diksa); and 19. 47-50 (Night). Very much more frequent are groups of three: 1. 4-6 (Water-charms); 1. 19-21 (battle-charms); 1. 22-24 (skindiseases); 2. 15-17 (prose-formulas to secure long life); 4 17-19 (chams with the apamarga-plant), 5-17-19 (against oppressors of Brahmans), 6. 22-24 (water); 6. 27-29 (ominous birds); 6. 34-36 (Agni hymns); 6. 39-41 (har is hymns: see \$ 60, end); 6. 65-67 (battle charms); 6. 86-88 (rulership); 6. 07-99 (battle-charms), 6. 130-132 (love-charms); 7. 14-16 (Savitar); 7. 17-19 (Dhatar); 7. 79-81 (lunar); 12. 3-5 (in the interest of Brahmans); 19.9-11 (fanta and fam); 19.14-16 (freedom from danger); 19.17-19 (prose hannes for protection); and 19. 28-30 (amulet of darbha). And then there are in addition some sixty or more pairs of hymns more or less allied in subject-matter: in general their connection is too obvious to require special statement; at times it needs to be watched for rather closely, as, e. g. in the

case of 2. 1, 2 (Vena and Gandharva); 4. 1, 2 (brahma, and ka); 7. 1, 2 (manas and vac); 7. 8, 9 (journey and road); 6. 47, 48 (the three savanas); 7. 27, 28 and 98, 99 (ritualistic pairs); 7. 76 (end) and 77 (Indra and Maxuts); 11. 7, 8 (both theosophic, sharing the padas; 7. 25ab = 8..4ab, 263b, and 7. 2616 = S. 2476). Of special importance for the future final history of the Samhita is the undoubted prehistoric and organic connection of some of the groups; they appear together many times not only because they deal with the same theme, but because a given group in an earlier period of mantraproduction made up one and the same hymn, or, two or more hymns bearing upon the same theme and the same occasion. Thus the mgara-group (4. 23-29) is undoubtedly built up, on a rather slender tradition of yajusmaterials; the first and last stanzas are almost always directly derived from some Yajus-collection, the interior five stanzas are the original product of the Atharvanist's muse2). Of the group 2, 19-23 the first seems also originally jajus-matter (MS. 1. 5. 2; ApS. 6, 21. 1): out of these passages the entire litany is produced by a kind of Atharvanic inflation.". There is a similar historic and organic connection between the four hymns 7. 46-49; the three hymns 1. 4-6; 6, 27-29; 7. 39-41; and 7. 79-81; the two hymns 1. 20, 21; 24, 25; 2, 32, 33; 4, 31, 32; 6, 87, 88; 125, 126; 9, 9, 10; 19, 7, 8; 22, 23 and 60, 61. A more complete sifting of the mantras will disclose other groups of this kind, and on the other hand the record of some connections of this sort is sure to have perished (e. g. perhaps, in the case of 1, 7, 8; 6, 103, 104; 10.7, S; 11.9, 10, etc). Not infrequently the hymns are grouped for reasons that are far more superficial and hap-hazard than those just mentioned. The present writer drew attention to facts of this sort in connection with the first book, as did later Hrags in connection with the seventh book ": they appear sporadically throughout the Samhita. In the first place, just as the introductory hymn 1. 1 and the closing hymn of book 19 hold their places because of their subject-matter, so there is design in the opening-hymns of books 2, 4, 5, and 7, all of which begin with a theosophic or brahmodya-hymn m loftier diction. The grouping of 9 5-7 seems to be due to the large patch of brahmana prose contained in them. Hymns 1, 2, 3 and 7, 6, 7 (Aditi and Diti) deal in each pair with opposite rather than same themes. Above all verbal correspondences, at times so vague as to cast doubt upon one or the other of the following observations seem to be the sole cause of the juxtaposition of hymns. Thus 1. 9, 10 are not connected by theme, but each contains the word carried in the opening hemistich. Hymns 11-13 are linked by subtle correspondences hymn 11 is a charm for easy delivery in childhed, ending with the refrain, at a jaratu patyatam, hymn 12 begins with the word jarayujah, its theme being the 'cloud placenta born' lightning. then follows hymn 13 also addressed to lightning (root stan in 12, 1 and 13. 1)2. The word tayra links externally 0 134 and 135, the words stana and stangging 7, 10 and 11, ata mry and aga mry 7 64 and 65, prajatantah and prajacatih 7.74 and 75, rekau and rekkau 7 95 and 96, stem ka, felt to be the same as pragapate, 7. 100 and 101, stem rakschan S. 2. 28 and 3. 1; stem frana 11. 3. 54 ff and 11. 4, sam and sam (as occasionall) in parallel versions of the same mantra) 19 1, 2, santa and sam 19.9-11. The group 7. 88-90 seems to be linked by a cumulation of verbal correspon lences: they begin respectively with the words are, are, and are, 88 and So I contain the root pre, and sepe in So 3 is perhaps correlated with sepe in 90. 3. In the group 7. 113-115 such an external correspondence can be made critically helpful. 7, 113 and 114, 1 obviously treat the same subject, whereas 114, 2 is foreign to their theme, and may have gotten this berth

40 II, LITTERATUR U. GESCHICHTE. 12. ATHARVA-VEDA AND GOPATHA-BRĀHMAŅA.

because its words preto yantu, as well as its sense, concatenate plans bly with 7, 115, 1 which begins, pra patetal; cp. below, § 40.

The Samhita-text of the AV. in the Saunakiya-school was published in 1855 by R. ROTH and W. D. WHITNEY under the title, Atharva Veda Sanhita: the edition is occasionally spoken of as the sulgate by the present and other writers. This was followed by a number of subsidiary publications by WHITNIY: an alphabetic list of frailar, or verse-beginnings, in IS, IV, 9 ff.; the edition of the Atharva-Veda-Pratisakhya, or Saunakiya Caturadhyayika in JAOS. VII. 333-615 (addenda, JAOS. X, 156 ff.), and an Index Verborum to the published text which at the same time reproduces the readings of the pada text (JAOS, XII) WHITNEY'S posthumous work, containing other indexes, critical notes to the text, and a translation is now in the press of the Harvard Oriental Series (ed. C. R. LAMAN): see JAOS. XV. CLYNIE. Another edition of the same Veda in both samhita and pada-form, with a fragmentary commentary ascribed to Sayana, is purtly published and wholly in the press, under the title Atharvavedasamhita (Bombay 1895); it is from the pen of the late SHANDAR PANDLRANG PANDIT. The value of the commentary is stated critically by WHITNEY, Festgruss an Rudolf von Roth, p. 89 ff. For the Kashmirian version of the AV., the so-called Pappalada Sakha (above p. 11), see ROTH, Der Atharva-Veda in Kaschmir (Tubingen 1875): it is now proposed by the present author to publish a photographic reproduction of the unique manuscript of that Veda; see the circular to that effect issued by the Johns Hopkins University in 1898, and IAOS XX 184 ff.

Weber, IS XIII 433, WL.2, p. 161, note. The statements of both GB. and Mahabhasya refer in this matter to an Atharvanic tradition broader than that of the Saunaktya, since both texts present sam no detf (1. 1. 6) as the initial stanza-This probably suits the AVP, see Kausika, Introduction, p. XXXVIII. — 2 The beginnings of the books of AVP, and their relation in general to the books of the Saunaktya are stated above, p. 14, on the authority of ROTH, Der AV. in Kaschmir, p. 15 ff., 18 - 3 See below, \$\$ 36 and 37. - 4 Rortt, that p. 18. AV. 19. 56-58 are directly designated as eargea@damantran, Ath. Paris. 8. 2: see above p. 12. 5 Wider, 15. IV. 433, note 2. - 6 They occur, in fact, in full text, at the end of the Uttamapatala, Ath Paris 46, op Wierr, ib 433. - 7 The expression, brahmane se Ind., which Webra, ib 433, note 3, suspects as referring to book 19, is a mere general ending to both lists 19 22, 20 and 23, 29; it invokes brahma, prayer, in general; po the metrical sts, at the end of each chapter, — 8 See Kas., Introd. p xL, and ep. Pischel, GGA, April 1891, p 283. — 9 Whittery, JAOS. VII. 334-581; SHANKAR PANDIT'S edulon, vol. I, Critical Notice, p. 24. — 10 Weber, IS. IV. 432. — 11 ROTH, ibid p 18. — 12 SHANKAR PANDIT, ibid p. 16 ff — 13 asmin kānde prāyena sareāni siktāni treitmakiny eva tathāts adhyīpakasamprodūyīnurodhena treadtayam elikrtya siiktattena zyatahrnate (Sayana, vol. 11, p. 2) - 11 See Indexes, p. 382. The propaga are also alluded to in the late Parisista-hymn 19, 22, 7. The date of AV, 19 22 and 23 can be fixed as later than the Kausika. In 22.11-13 the formulas ufottamethyak svākā, ut'amethyak svākā, and uttarethyak scākā aliade without doubt to the frequent citations in Kaus, of hymns and stanzas which have been Without robust to the requester creations in causes in symme and starlars where are previously stated in groups. See for prefuting, Kauls 26, 34; for nitamo, Kauls 26, 49; 41, 15; 50, 14, for nitamo, Kauls 48, 36, —15 Differently Webers, 18, L 295; 11, 396, 11, 434; V, 22, 77; SIAW, 1891, p. 790, note 1, WL 2, 137, 165; ep. SIE, XLII, p. XXI.—6 Ch. Pengarata. Packagas and Physical Colleges and 16 Cp. Bergarove, Recherches sur l'histoire de la Samhua du Rig-Veda, I, p. 4 and 75 (JA. 1886,; Weper, IS. XVII. 178; XVIII. 1, 154 - 17 The Anukramani of the AV. designates this lower limit as the norm (prairie) of the books, the deviations AV. designates this lower limit as the norm [prastri] of the books, the decisions as velyri, see Wirel, E. c. = 18 Breauforse, L. c. | Oldryberge, Del Hymner des Rig-Veda, p. 243f. — 19 Oldryberge, I. c. — 29 Cp. SHE, XLII, 274f. — 21 As Hoodyright, JAOS, XVII, 178; Wilers, JS, XVIII, 66; SHE, XLII, 348 — 22 Cp. SHE, XLII, 233f. — 21 As further specimens of hymns that invite such ²³ Cp. SH. M.II. 233 B. — ²³ As turther specimens of hymns that navite succincism we may mention 2, 3.4 (last sty), 2. 10 (cp. THz, 2, 5.6 1; HIG. 2, 3. 10; ApMB. 2, 12 0/2; x. 12 (last sty sts.); cp. SHL XLII. 297); z. 14 (st.4; cp. lidd, 301); 3. (5, 9), 2. (10, 10); d. 14 (last sty); d. 16 (last two sts.); d. 17 (last sty); d. 16 (last two sts.); d. 17 (last sty); d. 16 (last two sts.); d. 17 (last sty); d. 16 (last two sts.); d. 17 (last sty); d. 16 (last two sts.); d. 17 (last sty); d. 18 (last sty); d. 18 (last sty); d. 18 (last sty); d. 18 (last sty); d. 19 (last sty) group of 14 hymns. -- 29 See below, \$3.45 and 57. - 30 In accordance with notions very prominent in GB. The Rig-Vedic cosmic triad, Agni, Vayu, Sarya, is there

swelled out to a tetral by the addition of Candra and Apah (the moon with the waters) to provide for the AV, the other three being correlated with the members of the tray? supp?; see § 45.— 3" Novem Hymns of the AV, APIA, M. L. 1908. Le live VII de l'AV, p. 1V.— 2" The first 13 hymns of book 1 seem to be arranged continuously in groups; after that the regularity of the scheme breaks down; ep. Seen Hymns, the

B THE METRES OF THE ATHARVAN AND THEIR RELATION TO THE CRITICAL RESTORATION OF THE TEXT.

\$ 38. The metres, with special reference to the Atharvanic (popular) anustubh - The metres of the original parts of the AV, are in the main still the common Vedic metres, gayatri, anustubh, pankti, in short metre; tristubh, and jagati, in long metre. A considerable part of the Saunaklya-text, notably book 15 and most of book 16, are in prose1; metrical and prose matter are a good deal mixed up2, so that it is frequently difficult to determine whether a passage is merely cadenced prose, or doggerel metre. or originally good metre spoiled by interpolations and additions which at times distinctly betray themselves as glosses. The Brhatsarvanukramani, in the course of its struggles with these defective metres, enriches the metrical terminology with new varieties: the words, nicrt, bhury, -garbha (e.g. viradgarbha bhurik), arai, etc. figure frequently3. The metres vary in the same hymn more than is customary in the RV., it would seem as though this variation was in a measure felt to be a stylistic or literary device. L. g. it is hardly mere accident that many hymns open with a (to our feeling) livelier anustubh stanza (short metre), and continue with tristabh-stanzas (long metre); see 1. 13; 1. 18; 2 29; 4. 16; 6. 3 12b, 6. 49, 7. 68, 7. 72 (cp. RV. 7. 103). Occasionally an anustubh-hymn opens in still livelier gayatri 2, 32, 4, 12, Conversely some effect, perhaps the opposite effect of solemnity, seems also to be intended when a short metre hymn is introduced by a tristuble 2. 4; 3. 5; 5. 7, 6 III. It is perhaps no accident that the Vedic weddingstanzas are prevailingly anustubhs, the funeral-stanzis tristubhs. The metres of the AV, are on a level with those of the Grhyasutras. Aside from the greater freedom and pregularity of all types this is shown by applying Olden-BERG's test of the anustubh5 The original Atharvanic anustubh stanzas, i. e. those that do not coincide with the hieratic RV, stinzas, differ from the Rig-Vedic anustubh on the one hand, and from the Epic and Buddhistic sloka on the other. Whereas in the RV the first pida of the anustubh hemistich regularly ends in a diambus or second pion (\(\sigma = \sigma^\frac{\sigma}{2}\), and in the Epic and Buddhistic sloka still more regularly in a first epitrite or antispast (- - - x), the first pada of the Atharvan and Grhya hemistich permits these as well as all other possible feet of four syllables. The hymns that were tested for this purpose are 1. 1, 1 2, 1. 7, 1. 8, 5. 19, and 12. 4. 1-20, in addition to single stanzas of many other hymns. The Atharvanic and Grhya anustuble may be designated as the popular anustuble in distinction from the hieratic anustuble of the soma-hymns in the RV, a hymn like RV. 10. S5 is, of course; in popular anustubh. Considering the absolute quantitative freedom of the eight-syllable line of the Younger Avesta6, we have reason to assume that the popular and freer anustubh is structurally and chronologically earlier than the better regulated hieratic (somic) anustubh, an assumption which is no wise disproved by the parallel grammatical and lexical criteria of the two types of literature (see below, \$ 42). The theory that the development of the popular foot took place from v -vx, through vx wx to - - s is on its face implausable: in the light of the trend of all Hindu

metrical development the change from the regulated type $\sim - \sim > 10$ to the free type $\sim < \sim > 10$ is unparalleled and unintelligible. Instead we may assume that the Aryan free octosyllabic hnes, grouped into two hemistichs of 16 syllables developed the fambic cadence at the end of each hemistich in pre-historic Vedic times, and continued so in the more oppular poetry until the beginnings of the Epic and Buddhistic sloka. At the same time a more exquisite treatment at the bands of the hieratic poets developed the Rig-Vedic anustubh on a parallel line, by repeating the final cadence of the hemistich before the consura in the middle of it?

\$ 39. On the critical restoration of Atharvan metres. - Atharvan metres are so generally capable of improvement that we are in danger of singing our own rather than Atharvan hymns, when we apply ourselves to the task of improving them. An uneasy sense is left that we all know how to make better verse lines than those that have somehow got to be in vogue among the Atharvan writers, carried out to its full consequences this would eliminate one of the more marked peculiarities that render the Atharvan what it is8. Yet it is impossible to abstain entirely: such abstemiousness would suggest the equally mistaken view that all Atharvan stanzas are before us in the form in which they were originally composed. Great tact and a keen sense of where to draw the line are particularly required in amending the text in any case the critic upon whom this task is forced may not hope to escape error altogether. In the very opening hymn (1, 1, 4b) it seems necessary to throw out the entirely unmetrical hogi atam, although what remains is by no means perfect. But there are far more certain cases: in 2. 3 6d raksasam is surely a gloss, so also krimīn in 2. 31. 4c. In 2. 33. 5cd bhāsadam is certainly superfluous, being derived from the parallel version, RV. 10 163-4-Quite certain are the following cases of interpolations and glosses: deran in 3. 15 5d, brahmaj asya in 5 19 7d, takmams in 5. 22. 5c; havisa in 6. 40. 1d, svasti in 6 40 2d, diso in 6 98. 3b (cf. TS 2. 4. 14. 1; MS. 4. 12. 2), dantau m 6. 140. 3°, puru m 7 21. 1°, deva m 7. 70. 2° (cf. TB, 2. 4. 2. 2); amitrà in 8. 8. 2°d, arsanīr m 9. 8 21°, visvasya m 9 10 14° (cf. RV. 1. 164. 35b; VS. 23. 62b; LS 9 10 14b), duskrte in 10. 1 23c; var ugram in 10 4 3d, 4d (cf 7 56 3d, 7d), mātarisvā m 10 9. 26c; enther ubhe or ime m 11. 5. 8; prthizyam in 11. 5 12rd, catasrah in 19. 1 3°. Less certain is the disposition of pater in 3. 4 1b, grhandm in 3. 12 6, gandharvan in 4. 37. 8c; amum in 5. 8. 8c, sacetasah in 6 68 1cd, manasa in 6. 83. 4c; adharo in 6. 134 22; kalasah in 9. 1 6b, bliksam in 11. 5. 9b. And there are, of course, cases in which corrections of other sorts strengthen the impression that the metres may be improved by critical restorations. Thus the defective pada 9. 2. 4d is followed in the same hymn, pada 9d, by what reads like its own correction; or, the temptation to make over into good metre 9. 5. 1 ab so as to read, a naya etam anv a rabhasza, sukrtam lokam gachatu prajanan, is very great. Similarly 11, 2, 2 may be much improved by transferring arrayavah at the end of the first hemistich (so also AVP.) to the second hemistich? On the other hand there are not wanting instances in which inferior metre is on second thought proved to be just what the Atharvavedin wrote. Thus 9. 4. 22°d consists of two defective padas; the first being short, the second ending in a wrong cadence: ayur asmabhyam dadhat prajam ca, rayas ca posair abhi nah sacatam. All attempts to better this hemistich are wasted, because it is nothing but a secondary transfer from the plural to the singular of the perfectly good hemistich 18. 4. 62, asur asmably am dadhatah prajam ca, rayas ca fosair abhi nah sacadhi am. Or, one might be tempted to amend the short pada 2. 4 6b, atho aratiduith, especially as it is repeated in a

metrically preferable form in 19. 34. 4b, atho arātidusanah. But the entire character of the last hymn is inferior and its version of the pada is probably in the nature of a secondary lectro facilior: the catalectic line, 2, 4, 66, had best be left undisturbed, as such lines must be in many other instances to. Anyway there are almost countless cases in the AV, in which corrections at the hand of the metre would really amount to independent composition, cases like 3. 28. 1; 4. 11. 4b, 6d; 4. 17. 3cd; 5. 7. 1cd; 6 134. 3; 7. 76. 5a; they not only point out the need of extreme caution in general, but tend to shake confidence in all but the most unavoidable emendations.

\$ 40. Order of stanzas and concatenation as critical aids. -Of distinct strophic arrangement of stanzas there is little or nothing in the AV.; the arrangement of the hymns in the various books according to the number of their stanzas is also carried out in a very crude and superficial manner 11. Hence there is very little basis for a critique of the arrangement of the stanzas in a given hymn, or the distinction between original stanzas and such as may have been added by a later hand. Nevertheless the existing text is open to improvement in these respects, although subjective impression is here more easily than in other forms of criticism confounded with historical reality. To begin with, some hymns are certainly composite, as may be readily gathered from their context and their employment in the ritual books; e. g. 4. 38; 7. 74; 7. 7612. For no visible reason, but yet certainly, 6. 48. 2 and 3 have changed places Vait 17, 10 and the parallel versions have them in the right order 17. The long hymn 12 4 shows traces of confusion in the order of its stanzas: the fourth may be suspected of having stood originally after the fifth, because the second hemistich of st. 4 seems to summarize the statements made in the remaining three hemistichs of the two stanzas. Similarly there seems to be considerable confusion in the order of stanzas 43-47. cf. the rearrangement proposed by the author 14. When we find in the midst of the wedding-stanzas of the surja-sukta (14 1, 1 ft = RV, 10 85, 1 ft.) the stanza 14. 1. 17, which is evidently RV 7. 59 12 in a form adapted to the marringe-rites (ar) amanam substituted for to ambakam), we may judge at least that it did not belong to the original stock of the wedding-stanzas, on the other hand the concatenation of its second hemistich with 18ab would seem to show that it was adapted to serve in the very place where it occurs in the AV Similar considerations will show that the stanza 14 1 43, in concatenation with 44, although also of secondary workminship - it occurs nowhere else - yet holds its place by rights in the AV. Concatenation is a very common feature in the AV 15, and to a certain extent guarantees the order of stanzas in the Sainhita. Thus all three stanzas of 6 42 and 6 118 concatenate, so that we may feel furly sure that the Atharvan composer placed them in this order, whatever may have been their pre history, whether they were original with the Atharvanist, or first composed in another quarter of Vedic activity. So also the first two stanzas of 6 118 occur again MS 4 14. 17; TA. 2. 4 1 (cp. TB. 3. 7 12. 3), the third seems original with the Atharvanist; yet it is not therefore in any sense a stranger to the Atharvan redaction. The same rhetorical device of concatenation may at times point to the exclusion of one of the connected stanzas, e. g. the irregular stanza 5. 14 11, containing the expression mrgita, may have slipped in because of mrgam it a in stanza 12, or 5, 4, 6, also irregular, containing the words a tales, may have been placed where it is because of nertivahan in stanza 5. Again, a verse that interrupts two concatenating stanzas may be suspected; e. g 3. 30. 6, interrupting stanzas 5 and 7; or 2. 3 4, interrupting stanzas 3 and 5; cp. also our remark on 7, 114.2, above, \$ 37, end. In the commentary to

our translation, SBE. XLII, we have never treated such cases as anything more than possibilaties: such criteria rarely seem coercive, as we have no reason to rely much on the conscious directness of purpose, or certainty of touch of the Atharvan poets in any such matters.

C. THE RELATION OF THE ATHARVA-VEDA TO THE REMAINING HYMN-COLLECTIONS.

\$ 41., Special features of the Atharvan collection; its connection with ApS, and TB. - The relation of the text of the AV, in the Saunakīya-version to the other collections of Vedic mantras is both intimate and intricate. A complete survey of these relations can be obtained only by means of such a concordance of these mantras as has been gathered up by WHITNEY for his posthumous work on the AV., now passing through the press in the Harvard Oriental Series. A yet more perfect insight into the relationships of the Atharvan mantras will result from the pada-concordance of the entire body of Vedic mantras which is being compiled by the present writer. The Atharvan is very reminiscent in unexpected places, often in hymns that are on the whole original with the AV, a shorter or longer passage, frequently only a single pada, appears to have been borrowed from another connection, or, to state it more cautiously, to coincide with another collection. Thus in the very first hymn pada 3b = RV. 10. 166. 3b; in the second hymn padas 2ab are variants of RV. 6. 75. 12ab, pada 2d = RV. 3. 16. 5d. Other instances of fragmentary correspondences are AV. 1, 17, 45 = RV. 1, 191,6°; AV. 1, 26, 1° = RV. 1, 172, 2°; AV. 2, 2, 2^b = RV. 8, 48, 2^b; AV. 2, 12, 4^b = RV. 7-44. 4d; AV. 4. 4. 4 = RV. 10. 97. 8a (et al.); AV. 4. 5. 7c = RV. 10. 166. 2b; AV. 4. 10. 6c (formulaic appendage = 2. 4. 6d; 12. 2. 13d; 14. 2. 674) is common in the entire mantra-literature; AV. 5. 20. 86 = RV. 10. 103-10a (cp. 10b); AV. 5. 21. 11ab (= 13. 1. 3ab) = TB. 2. 5. 2. 3ab; AV. 6. 10° (cp. 10°); Av. 5° 21° 11° (= 13° 1, 3°) **a, 11.1, 2°, 2°, 3°, 3°, 18° a, 1 RV. 4. 50, 10d; AV. 13. 1, 11d = RV. 10. 123, 8d; and many other instances. These correspondences fade out into mere reminiscences or echoes, as when, e. g., AV. 3. 1.2 is made up from a variety of RV. motives (AUFRICHT, KZ. XXVII. 219; BLOOMFILLD, SBE, XLII. 326). Similarly AV. 3. 3. 2b, 5d reminds one of RV. 10. 103. 6; or AV. 4. 7. 2, 3 of RV. 10. 187. 10; or AV. 5, 23 of RV. 10. 191; or AV. 1. 26 of RV. 1. 172; or AV. 2. 3 of TB. 2. 5. 6. 4-

In this connection are to be mentioned a number of interesting or curious points of contact of the Atharvan mantras with the remaining body of the Vedic hymns and formulas. AV. 1. 17. 1 is quoted by Yiska, Nirukta 3. 4. in a variant form which is not derived from AVP.; Durga to Nir. 6.12 quotes AV. t2. 2. 28 with variants (perhaps those of AVP.): see ROTH, Erlduterungen, p. So. The JB. quotes in full AV. 5. 19. 1 with variants. AV. 4. 16 is unknown in the other collections, except that its sixth stanza is modulated interestingly SS. r. 6, 3. A formula LS. 3, 5, 15 reproduces AV. 9, r. 9, and a mantra in Vait, 36, 27 contains marked features derived from AV. 31, 12, 3. The prose formula AV. 19, 55, 5^a is a variant form of MS. 3, 9, 4 (p. 129, 1, 17), and the formulas AV. 20. 2 are somewhat independent versions of ApS. 11. 9. 8; KS. 9. 8. 9 ff. The fifth st. of AV. 3. 4 is quoted with a variant pratika, a trehi paramas, ali paravata (iti yaj, anuvak, e) at MS, 2, 2, 11 (p. 24. 1, 3), but no such two stanzas are quotable elsewhere in the Maitrivani, or anywhere else in the literature: the pratika at MS, is employed in connection with a royal rite similar to that at the base of the Atharvan hymn. Ouite a number of those sts, of the SV, which are not derived from the RV, occur also in the AV., as may be seen readily in AURRECHT's list of these sts. in the Introduction to the second edition of »Die Hymnen des Rig-Vedan, p, xLV ff. The correspondences of Atharvan and Grhya-stanzas are very extensive, and often disguised by corruptions and secondary manipulations of the original text; both varieties of mantras perform their share of these processes, but the mantras of the Grhyasūtras are even more corrupt than those of the AV. Cp. as examples AV. 5. 25. 8 with SG. 1, 19. 11; AV. 3, 10, 1 with SMB. 2. S. 1; or AV. S. 6 26 with HG, 1, 19. 7. Noteworthy, above all, are the special correspondences of the ApS, with the AV, showing dependence of the Sutra upon the AV: the variants of the ApS, are generally of inferior quality. Thus AV, 2. 7 1 is reproduced in a corrupt form ApS.6. 21. 2: the two sts. AV. 1.7 1. 2 appear, with interior variants, Ans. 12.7.16. the opening sts. of AV 5.6 recur secondarily ApS. 16. 18. 7, part of the formula AV. 5. 9. 8 occurs at the beginning of ApS 6 21; the st AV. 7. 27 recurs with variants ApS 4.13.4; AV 8 5 19 appears with essential differences in ApS, 16, 19, 1, and similarly AV 9 5 2 in ApS 7 17, 2, or AV, 12 1 55 in ApS, 5, 9 11; the two sts. AV 12 2 13, 14 are varied even more ApS, 9. 3. 22, especially noteworthy is the reproduction of the obscure formulas AV. 16, 2, 4 in ApS, 6 20 2 (end) We may also note that ApS 16, 16 1 reproduces with variants some of the slokas in Kaus 97. 8, as a sign of connection between the two spheres of mintra tradition. Quite a considerable number of Atharvan hymns and stanz is recur in TB, and, apparently, nowhere chee: e. g., AV. 1. 23, 24 in TB 2 4 4 1, 2, AV 2. 10 in TB 2, 5, 6.1 ff, AV, 4.8 in TB 2 7, 15 and 16, AV 4 22 in TB 2 4 7 7ff, AV 6.38 in TB 2.7, 7.1 ff; AV, 6.75 in TB 3 3.11 3 (ApS, 3.14 2), AV, 7.70 in TB. 2. 4. 2. 1 ff., AV. 7. 99 in TB. 3. 7 6. 1, AV. 7 110 in TB. 2. 4 5. 7; AV. 13. 1 (in part) in TB. 2. 5 2. 1 ff. Since the TB is the Brahmana of ApS, this marked relationship of both these texts with the AV, may possibly not be without significance; it seems to reach decidedly beyond that general connection which may be expected in all mantra-collections; no such degree of intimacy exists, e. g., between the AV. and the corresponding texts attaching themselves to the White Vajur-Veda, namely the SB. and KS.

S 42. Relation of the language of the AV, to that of the RV.—
All discussions of the relation of the Saunaliya-Samhita to the other Vedic
collections must be regarded as provisional as long as the text of the AVP,
remains inaccessible. Still certain general statements as to the relationship of

the Saunakiya-collection with the RV, on the one hand, and the Yajur-Vedas on the other, are not likely to be subject to great change upon the accession of new materials. With the RV, the Saunakiya shares about one seventh of its material, if we leave aside the hymns of book 20 that are borrowed directly from the RV.3. Considerably more than one half of the RV. sts which correspond to the AV, belong to the tenth book of the RV., largely the last anuvaka of that book; about one sixth to the first book; about one tenth to the seventh book; about one eighth to all the other books put together. In the overwhelming number of cases, aside from the wedding stanzas (AV, 14), and the funeral stanzas (AV, 18), the material common to the two Samhutas is Atharvanic in character, i. e. it consists of charms friendly or hostile; on the other hand the more general prayers which have for their theme the adoration of a given divinity, rather than the attainment of a specific object, are rarely shared by the two collections. The two styles of hymns may be conveniently designated as popular or Atharvanic on the one hand, and hieratic or ritualistic on the other. The language of the former class is related more closely to that dialect or dialects which are at the base of the language of the Brāhmanas, Sūtras, and the later laterary forms in general. For reasons that are nearly always one-sided and subjective, sometimes patently erroneous, the language of the popular or Atharvanic hymns is generally regarded as chronologically later than that of the hieratic hymns, and thus every Vedic hymn that deals with popular matters is condemned on account of its language to a berth in the 'later Vedic period'. The scope of the present work does not permit the full discussion of this important question suffice it to say that many linguistic forms that are looked upon as indications of late date are in reality as old, sometimes older, than the entire individual period of the Aryan language in India. Thus the dual in -au, and the instrumental plural in-ars, though dealt with in such discussions as signs of a later time are Indo European forms (Goth. altau; Lith. vilkais); similarly the 'late' forms, stems, or roots heavami, karomi-kuru, panthanam, sarva (as compared with zisva), rajju, lubh, stap for sas, which have been regarded as signs of late date are each of them prehistorics. The question is therefore largely one of degree of closeness to the popular dialect or style of diction: this is the primary point of view from which the language of what we have called Atharvanic, or popular hymns can be compared with the hieratic hymns. A given form is not necessarily of recent origin because it begins to crop out in the tenth book of the RV., appears still more frequently in the popular Vedic collection of the AV., and is the regular form of the post-mantric language; nor, consequently, are hymns necessarily late because they abound in words and forms that are strangers to the diction of the hieratic hymns. A necessary preliminary to a final study of the relative chronology of the Vedic hymns is their separation into at least two classes which grew up along parallel lines, the hymns connected with the somaworship and the hymns connected with popular practices. They are largely synchronous: each is addicted to its own dialect, differing from the other in lexicon, grammar, style, and metrical habits6.

That the purely linguistic data alone are a broken reed in support of the chronological fixation of a given hymn may be illustrated by a comparison of AV, 3: 18 with RV. to 1:45. The second st. of the RV, ends in Avru for which AV. reads kṛdhi: now haru is 'late', and kṛdhi is 'old', i. e. it belongs to the hieratic sphere of diction. To suppose therefore that the Atharvan form of the hymn is older than that of the RV, would at first sight he the orthodox conclusion, but in st. 5 AV. reads 'late' bháta for RV. bhítif, and the RV.

readings, parå dhama for parå juda (st. 2); athå sapatnī (RV. 3) for adhāḥ sapatnī (AV. 4); grbhṇdmi (RV. 4) for jagrīha (AV. 3); atha tram for athō tram (st. 2) make a better impression, and a decidedly strong case for the RV., rather than for the AV., as representing the original version of the hymn at the back of both redactions. The AV. has slipped into the hieratic diction in the case of krdhī, whereas kurw was quite natural in a popular hymn from the very earliest times, although, of course, it is also possible that kuru in the RV. was substituted in a popular mood for krdhī. All this is as though a preacher in the pulpit glided in and out of biblical diction in the course of his semion; at one moment he may be employing the language of Isiah or the Psalms, at another the most forceful popular speech of the day. The

preacher in the pulpit glided in and out of biblical diction in the course of this setmon: at one moment he may be employing the language of Isaalh or the Psalms, at another the most forceful popular speech of the day. The proximity of the language of the genuine Atharvanic hymns to that of the Drālmanas and Classical Literature is no chronological criterion: only when what we have called hieratic hymns are modulated over into Atharvanic diction does the presumption of late date stand justified. Especially desirable is cumulative evidence: bad metre, obvious adaptation to secondary purposes, disjointedness of stanzas, and the like: they are the true Atharvan characteristics.

With a view to all these considerations there can be no doubt that the redaction of the AV. is of later date than that of the RV., that its external presentation by the diaskenastae is less scholarly, or rather more ignorant than that of the RV.; that the secondary application of old hymnal material - a kind of popular etymology exercised upon the stanzas, as it were is more common than in the RV., that possibly some materials are directly derived from the ready made Rig-Vedic collection, and, finally, that a good part of the AV, was composed at a very late time. On the other hand it is equally certain that the main current of Atharvan tradition, the Atharvanic or popular hymns by distinction, goes back to a head-spring quite as far away and as high up in antiquity - if not more so - than the hieratic or ritualistic hymns. A hymn like AV, 4, 16, representing the better type of Atharvanic effort, is quite as archaic as any RV. hymn of related character, a hymn like AV. 4.12 seems even to be rooted in prehistoric antiquity. The assumption, e. g., that none of the numerous medicinal charms of the AV., notably the charms against takman (fever), existed prior to the reduction of the RV. is not warranted, as we have seen, by linguistic criteria, and contrary to sound ethnological consideration. Precisely these and kindred hymns contain most abundantly the evidence of prehistoric origin see, e.g., AV. 7, 116 (SBE, XLII, p. 4 and 565). That the RV. picked up quite a considerable number of Atharvanic charms (see Aufsecht's edition, vol. II, p. 670 ff) and passed by others, is due to its prevailing character of a Stauta Mantrapatha, so to say. The hieratic hymns were the theme that really concerned the Righedin's interest: of other material he took what was conveniently at hand, without aiming at and without being able to reach exhaustiveness.

\$43 The various readings of the RV, and the AV.—The following selection of examples may illustrate the interrelation of the materials common to RV, and AV. In AV. 1.4 4 the fourth påda is an Atharamic addendum as compared with RV, 1.23, 19, and more particularly the other versions VS 9.6, etc. the Atharamist cliniches the statement of påda c, afia bhazatha vipinah, by adding the otherwise unknown påda, govo bhazatha vipinah, In 1.29 manned for hazata in RV, 10, 174, and the substitution of abhi varible for abhi varib for abhi varib seem to represent later Atharamic intensity. In 2.12.6 athar is inferior to atti v.d., RV, 6.52.2. In 2.33.54 the metincally superfluous \$4.8tandam is certainly a gloos derived from the RV, version, 10, 163.4.

AV. 6. 91. 3° as compared with RV. 10. 137. 6° has the variant vitrasya for sarrasja: it is possible therefore to conceive of the Atharvan version of the st. as the older, though a 'hieratic' reminiscence may be all there is at the bottom of vistasya. But what is truly significant for the date of the Atharvan reduction is the occurrence of the same stanza in AV. 3.7.5 with the last pada altered from, tās te kravantu bhesajam, to, tās trā muncantu ksetriyāt, indicating the adaptation of the stanza at an unquestionably later time to a secondary purpose. In AV. 3, 11, 3cd, 4cd we may safely assume a later handling of the padas that appear RV, 10, 161, 3cd, 4cd; especially AV, 4c, satam ta indro agnih savitā brhaspatih, must be later than RV. 4°, satam indragnī savitā brhaspatih. AV. 3. 15. 3 occurs identically in its proper connection RV. 3. 18. 3: the word ichamano suggested its adaptability for the Atharvanic mixtum compositum; the following hemistich of AV, is also secondary (RV. 1. 31. 162b), gathered in by the Atharvanist because it speaks of the 'far road which we have travelled', an expression too suitable to a merchant's charm not to be recruited into it8. In RV. 7. 41 we have pada 1ª hatamahe, but in pidas 1d, 2ª huvema; AV. 3. 16. 1, 2 flattens them all out to hatamahe, without any prejudice against the stem hava- as 'later' it may be safely assumed that this old hieratic hymn was by these changes brought nearer to the popular diction at a later time. In 3. 17. 2cd virajah for gira ca, and, a yavan for ayat or eyat, RV. 10. 101. 3 and the Yajurversions, are both odd and secondary. AV. 3.31.5 is surely a mere mouthing over of RV. 10. 17. 1 (cp. AV. 18. 1. 53) The theosophic hymn (hiranyagarbha) AV.4.2 is described by Wiber, IS. XVIII. 9, as a disguised, altered, and mutilated version of RV. 10. 121 (et al.), adapted by the Atharvanist to the very use in which it appears Kaus 45. 1ff: when a vasa, i. e., a supposedly sterile cow, is slain, and it turns out that she was pregnant, then this hymn is employed and adapted as a prayascitta. Similarly a comparison of AV. 5. 2 with RV. 10. 120, of AV. 5. 3 with RV. 10. 128; of AV. 6. 126 with RV. 6. 47. 29-31 will reveal every time better tradition on the part of RV. AV. 4, 9, 4 is an evident adaptation of st. 12 of the ogadhistutt, RV. 10, 97 (et al.). In AV. 4, 21, 5° ichād is a modern corruption of achan (3ª sg. of s-aorist from root chand), RV. 6, 28, 5; cp. 'snute for RV. asnute in st. 4. The three hymns AV. 6. 27-29 represent RV. 10. 165 with interpolations and corruptions. AV. 27. 3° 15 metrically inferior to RV. 3°; AV. 29. 1° to RV. 4 (va in AV. 1s superfluous); AV. 28. 1d has prá padát páthisthah for RV. 5d, pra patat patisthah: the false accent of AV. pathisthah (it should be pathi-sthah, if it meant 'standing upon the road') betrays the secondary character of the Atharvan version; cp. also AV. 28.16, samlobhayanto, popular, for RV. 5° samy opay anto, hieratic, which are illustrated interestingly by the relation of AV. 12. 1. 29^d (110) to Kaus, 71. 19 (110). In AV. 6.92. 3^b dhat atu for dhatu, RV. 10. 56. 2^b, 1s a sign of secondary adaptation: the Atharvan version is part of a charm to endow a horse with swiftness. AV. 7. 39, as compared with RV. 1. 164, 52; TS. 3. 1. 11. 3 (op also Supant-khyāna 17.4), is secondary in it readings, and in pīda d exhibits adaptation to a practical purpose. The pada, AV. 7. 89. 12 (10. 5. 462), apo diend acayisam, is scarcely to be recognized as the opening of that well known stanza which begins in other Vedic texts (RV. 1. 23. 232 et al.) with the padas, ato (or, ato) adjano acarisam, and, apo ano acarisam: there can be no doubt about the secondary character of the AV, reading. In AV, 7.91.10 nak is metrically superfluous, and wanting in all other versions of the st. RV. 6. 47. 12; 10. 131. 6, AV. 20. 125. 51, etc. The st. AV. 7. 97. 2 is full of changes from the hieratic to popular diction as compared with RV. 5.42.41 and partly also with the Yajur-versions (VS. 8. 15, et al.): no for no; nesa for nesi; harivan for harivah; svastya for svasti; cp, also the corrupt brahmanam in pada c, assimilated to devanam in pada d, for the correct brahmana of the parallel texts. AV.8.7.28 is a secondary working over of RV.10.97.16, notwithstanding that AV, has in pida d the more hieratic visiasmad for RV. sarrasmad. To these examples may be added those pointed out by OLDEN-BERG, especially from the 14th and 18th books of the AV.9; they also show the constant removal of the Atharvan stanzas from the more archaic hieratic form and thought sphere to the planer habits of speech and thought of the people. Of especial significance for the chronology of the AV, seem to me to be certain cases in which that Veda employs one or more stanzas that coincide with the RV, as a theme which is expanded or beaten out, either into several stanzas, or into an entire hymn. Thus the two sts AV. 1. 19.3, 4 seem to be nothing more than RV. 6. 75. 19 spun out, and rendered more typically Atharvanic by introducing Rudra and his arrows, AV, 1, 22 corresponds in its first and fourth stanzas to RV. 1. 50.11, 12. the interior stanzas, absolutely original, seem to modulate and expand the theme set by sts. I and 4.. Similarly AV. 3. 24. 1 == 10, 17. 14 (et al.) looks as though it had been picked out as the theme which a later poet might fitly develop into a song of the harvest. Again AV. 14. 2. 17, representing RV. 10. 85. 44, is followed by the similar st. 18 which is original with the Atharvanist, is linked verbally with 17, but does not add anything of consequence to the thought. A diaskenastic performance like AV. 7. 50 in which the anustubh stanzas are original, the tristubhs common property, with distinct signs of adaptation to the aim in hand (gambling-charm), lends support to such analyses. The force of these necessarily subjective observations is increased a good deal by the unquestionable fact that the AV, handles stanzas seemingly original in the Yajus-Samhitas in the same way, the most notable instances being the two chains of hymns 2, 19-23, and 4, 23-29 (the so-called mreara-hymns). See below, \$ 45.

\$ 44. Traces of superior tradition in the AV as compared with the RV. - As regards direct evidence that the AV. reaches in some respects behind the tradition of the RV, to that floating mass of mantramaterials from which all reductions flowed, it must be admitted to be weak in force in comparison with the evidence just presented. BERGAIGNE and OLDENBURG have assumed that such evidence may be gathered from certain groupings of stanzas, and arrangements of hymns in both le lic schools, and we have felt compelled, without denying the possible force of their observations, to suspend judgment upon them, at least until the evidence of the Paippalada is in 10. Such inferences as may be gathered from the metres, sense, and linguistic forms are rarely of such a nature as to prove the superior tradition of the AV. Occasional instances like AV. krdhi for RV. kuru, AV. vista for RV. sarva, noted above, are almost torceless. An archaism (attraction) such as is contained in AV. 14. 1 46d, march patibles janage parinaje, where RV. 10. 40. 10d reads janayah, looks a little affected, because the plural to match patibly a seems really to be demanded by the sense, and the general form of the obscure stanza seems more trustworthy in RV, than AV. In AV. 6. 27. 36 the form detrī (padap. detrī ti), for astryam, RV. 10. 165. 3, is an archaic locative (Ath. Prat. I. 74) which seems to indicate a certain superiority of the Atharvan text: this is, however, not borne out by padas 26 and 36 whose Rigyedic form is metrically preferable. Again AV. S. 3. 725, utarabdhan sprnuhi jata: ala utarebhanam retiblir jatudhanan, as computed with RV. 10. 87, 745, utalaldham sprnuhi jatareda alebhanad refibhir yatu-

dhānat, contains twice the 'old' form rabh where the RV, has 'late' labh, but pada b is metrically superior in RV., and the sense of the RV. is also more compact (see Lupwic's translation, nr. 430). Less assailable is the superiority of the reading AV. 3. 1. 4°, visvaksatsam krnuhi cittam esam, 'deprive their schemes of fulfilment', for the senseless version, RV. 3. 30. 6d, rist am satyam krnuhi vistam astu, even though pada a in RV, is distinctly superior to AV.11. And so the reading avidvarinnam, in contrast to vidvavidam, AV. 9. 9. 10d, is not only superior to avisvaminvam, RV. 1. 164. 10: it is of the kind that cannot be explained as due to later correction, but represents the original tradition of the stanza 12. Cp. also parisks ta, AV. 14. 1. 7d, better than pariskstam, RV. 10. 85. 6d; janam anu, AV. 14. 2. 10b, for the senseless janad anu, RV. 10. 85. 31b. Occasionally, too, there are to be found here, as in the inter-relation of all Sambitas, independent variants whose language and general impression seems equally good in both sources. Thus the little hymn AV. 6. 2 is related to RV. 7. 32. 6, 8, but its character is so independent and archaic, as to suggest original workmanship of no mean quality and age. Or the subtle modulation of RV. 6. 11. 4 (MS. 4 14. 15) in AV. 3. 3. 1, in spite of certain adaptive traits in AV. (amum naya, in pada d), is not easily accounted for as a working over of the RV, form; it represents rather an independent effort in the same sphere of ideas, whose language and date as compared with RV. cannot be said to be inferior. And there are, of course, throughout the AV., hymns and stanzas of a totally independent character that suggest very old workmanship, not only such as are of purely Atharvanic character and popular diction, but such as are written in good hieratic language. Thus the hymn to the battle-drum, 5. 20, may be later than the oldest parts of the RV., but there is in it nothing that suggests late composition, later, e. g., than the stanzas addressed to the drum, RV. 6. 47. 29-31 (AV. 6. 126, et al). Or the language of the theosophic hymn 5, 1, which is original with the exception of stanza 6 = RV. 10. 5. 6, is not of such a character as to justify the belief that a later versifex might have so completely immersed himself in the style and mode of thought of the early Rishis as to be able to produce stanzas as good - or as bad, according to the point of view. Cf. also such a hymn as 6, 61. On the whole therefore the AV, is the bearer of old tradition not only in the line of the popular charms; but also to some extent, albeit slight, its hieratic materials are likely to be the product of independent tradition that has eluded the collectors of the other Vedas, the RV, not excepted 13.

^{\$ 45.} Adaptation and expansion of Yajus-themes for Atharvanic purposes.— The preceding analysis of the relation of the AV, to the RV, has been on the whole in the nature of support to existing news on the subject, it does not seem at all likely that these will ever be materially changed As regards the relation of the AV, to the Yajus-Samhillas the impression exists among scholars—to what extent it is not easy to say, because of the absence of definitive statements— that the AV, is entitled to a prior

position and date of final reduction as compared with the collections of mantras in the YV. Such a view, if it exists at all 1, is not sustained by the facts in the case: on the contrary an extended comparison of the two classes goes to show that the redaction of the AV. holds much the same place compared with the redactions of the YV, as when compared with the RV. The materials common to the two Vedas appear in the main in better form and more original application in the Yajus than in the AV.; the special habit of the AV, to adapt and to group stan/as for secondary purposes is fully in evidence. Here again the Atharvan reductors may, in fact are likely to have gathered up some materials that escaped the scrutiny of the Yaiusreductors, or that had passed out of active use before the conclusion of these reductions, but the Atharvan reduction cannot well be assumed to have preceded the Yajus. The best evidence for this is not so much the general superiority of the readings of the Yaius as certain drastic methods by which the AV, forces purely liturgical stanzas into its own service of low folk-lore and witch-craft. The Atharvan in such cases has not in view incidental features of larger aims and performances, as is the case with the sorcery stanzas and formulas of the Yajus, but it makes the Yajus-stanzas in question the basis of an independent, self-centred act of the usual Atharvanic sort It will be worth while to dwell in detail upon a few cases of this kind by way of establishing the presumption that this habit exists in the AV, on a larger scale.

In MS, 1, 5, 2: ApS, 6, 21, 1 we have five formulas addressed to Agni in which he is implored to attack with his manifold hery qualities 'him that hates us and whom we hate' These same five formulas appear AV. 2, 19. but this is followed by four other hymns in which Vayu, Sūrya, Candra, and Apah (the waters) are addressed in the same terms. To speak of the fiery qualities, tapas, haras, arcis, tocis, tejas, of Vayu or the waters is of course possible in the course of the development of the later liturgic litanies, where everything is possible on a pinch. Yet it may not be doubted that the restriction in the traula-texts of these tive appeals to Agni marks the original status; out of this the AV, has developed a formidable pentad in the second degree (25 formulas) which are prescribed, correctly no doubt, Kaus. 47, at the introductory oblations in angirasa practices (hostile sorcery) But further, the pentad of divinities is most characteristically Atharvanic. Whereas the Brāhmanical texts in general present times without end a cosmic-Vedic triad. Agni-Prthivi-Rigveda, etc., Vayu-Antariksa Yajurveda, etc., Sūrya-Dyu Sāmaveda, etc, the Atharyan writings, craving a cosmic base for their Veda, expand this into a tetrad or pentad, by the addition of Candramas, or Candramas and the waters2: Kaus. 119. 2, 3, GB 1 1 17-20, 29, 2 16, 24; 3. 24, etc. There can be no doubt that the old fire formulas were an original stock of Yajus, and that the AV. has expanded them to accord with notions of its own whose date cannot have been as early as that of the existing Yajus-collections.

Closely and characteristically similar is the history of the so-called mrgar-suklini, AV. 4. 23—29. In the first place we may note that this litany consists of seven hymno of seven stanzas cach, i. e, a heptad in the second degree; this numerical symmetry, in itself suspicious, points to second-ary handling, just as in the preceding pentads. The entire thany aims, a its refrain-padas clearly show, to drive out calamity (muc anhanal). The structure of these hymns is as follows. barmey 4.28, the first and last stanza of each hymn consists of Yajur-mantras which are employed in the Yaju-samhitis as yājyāµronucābjās, TS. 4.7. 15; MS. 5. 16. 5; KS. 22. 15; on the other hand the intervening stanzas in the AV. are in the main original. Now

it were possible to imagine that the Yajus-stanzas were picked out of longer hymns, though there is no special reason for such a supposition. That they were not gathered from the Atharvan hymns in question may be regarded as certain; why should the choice have fallen every time upon stanzas 1 and 7? . More important, nay conclusive, however, is the fact that the intervening stanzas (2-6) in the AV. are obviously secondary padding. This may be seen best in 4, 29, stanzas 1 and 7 are of ordinary mantra-character, praising Mitra and Varuna in language that does not arrest attention. Stanza 2, on the other hand, in its first hemistich chews over padas b and d of stanza I, adding nothing but the beginning of a list of old Rishis whom Mitra and Varuna are supposed to have helped out of difficulties in the days of yore: they are the traditional worthies Babhru, Angiras, Agasti, Jamadagni, Atri, etc. The dulness of the epigonal author betrays itself throughout: in stanzas 3 and 4 he repeats Atri's name, the whole is veritable clap-trap. It will not require close inspection of the intermediate stanzas of the remaining hymns to convince one that there also the outer stanzas are the 'leitmotiv', the rest the muse of a late author who relies in the main upon his own mediocrity, but occasionally gathers in some existing mantra or pada. On the other hand the one altogether original hymn of the series, 4. 28, is addressed to Bhava and Sarva: these popular divinities must not be wanting in the AV, in any continuous invitation of nivid-character, addressed to the Vedic pantheon (cf. AV. 11. 2). Along with its originality 4. 28 exhibits also its particular Atharvanic character (krtyakrt and mulakrt in stanza 6: kimīdin in stanza 7), the hynn is clearly an intruder in the sphere of ideas from which these mrgara-stanzas are derived, lending itself conveniently to the building out of the numerical scheme, as well as to the Atharvanic idea of the proper way of making an exhaustive appeal to the most important members of the pantheon of that time.

We may in fact safely present the statement as the outcome of the preceding analysis, that the AV. handles the prose formulas of the YV, in a decidedly secondary fashion, and that both Yajus-formulas and Yajus-stanzas are frequently used as themes to which the Athan anist has added new matter to suit his own devices. For instance 10, 5 is an incantation to the waters, being a long and weary litany, partly in Yajus-prose, partly in poor metre. In it occur as themes that are beaten out beyond endurance such formulas as, indrasyauja stha (VS. 37. 6), or visnoh kramo 'si (TS. 4. 2. 1). There is not the slightest chance that these formulas were borrowed by the YV, from the AV., nor can there be any doubt that the AV. got hold of these themes at a time when they were already perfectly familiar in their hturgical application to special acts of the srauta sacrifice. Similary AV. 5. 24 is an overlong litany engaging the help of the pantheon, asmin brahmany asmin karmany asyam purodhayam etc.: according to Kaus. 17. 30 the piece is recited on entering upon the duties of the purchiti, a rather secondary and Atharvanic restriction. Anyhow, the Atharvanic handling of this theme is secondary to that of the YV.: TS. 3. 4. 5; MS. 2. 6. 6; TB. 3 II. 4; SS. 4. 10. 1-3; PG. 1. 5. 10; HG. 1. 3. 10, 11; if nothing else, asmin brahmany of the AV., as against asmin brahmann of the YV., points to later reduction and the passage out of the hieratic sphere to the popular. Similarly a prose hymn like AV. 2. 17, consisting of formulas of the type, ojo 'si, ojo me dah sraha, presupposes existing Yajus-formulas, developed into a litany for specific purposes; cf. VS. 19 9; TB. 2. 6. 1. 5, etc. As regards the use of one or two Yajus-stanzas as a theme for an entire hymn there are quite a number of unquestionable cases. Thus AV. 1. 31 begins with the well-known stanza,

āšānām ašāpālibh) ah, TB. 2. 5. 3. 3; 3. 7. 5. 8; AS. 2. 10. 18; ApS 4. 11. 1, etc., but the remaining stanzas of the hymn do .not occur outside of AV, One needs but look at the stanza 226 to eliminate the possibility that the YV. horrowed its stanzas from the AV: it is the latter that beats out the theme of the first stanza in good stupid Atharvan fashion. And the others are no better: the case is most convincing. Similar, though not quite so certain, is the structure of AV. 1. 35, addressed to the daksayana-amulet: the first two stanzas occur VS. 34. 51, 52; RV. Khila 10. 128. 8, 9; the last two are original, except that 4cd is formulaic (AV. 8, 2, 21). Here also it would seem as though the Atharvanist had borrowed an existing theme in order to build up a more substantial hymn suitable to his own devices. Again AV. 3. 19 begins with a stanza that occurs also VS. 11. 81; TS. 4. 1. 10. 3; MS. 2. 7. 7 (et al.), followed in the sequel, stanza 3rd, by two padas that represent the continuation of the Yajus-texts. The very mixed character of the Atharvan stanzas makes at once for the supposition that the hymn is a mere elaboration of a pair of well-connected Yajus-stanzas: stanza 2 is hackneyed; stanza 3ab substitutes a tristubh, whose metre disturbs, and whose tone is secondarily Atharvanic, for the regular anustubh Yajus-padas; above all the introduction of tdam in pada 14 which makes the pada falsely regular, ending in -, and changes the sense (idam brahma 'this charm', for brahma 'brahmanhood') -- all these points are well accounted for if we regard the AV. hymn as a compilation, with the Yajus-stanzas as the main motive; it would be a total perversion to assume that the Yajus-texts selected and varied the two stanzas 1 and 3. The same kind of theory is applicable to AV. 6, 62 whose first stanza = MS. 3 11, 10, TB, 1, 4, 8, 3, may have served as the theme: the other two stanzas seem to occur nowhere else, and they are not of such a kind as to exclude the notion of late origin by way of variations to the theme, even though they fall very well into the tone of the hieratic language. Or again, AV. 7. 20 begins with two anustubh stanzas that are familiar in the Yajus, 78, 3 3 11, MS 3 16. 4, the hymn continues with four tristubh stanzas that are original. Again it would seem as though the Yajus-stanzas were the theme the variant mama in AV, 14 for mayah of the Yajus favors the assumption A little different is the case of AV. 1. 20 and 21, two battle charms which are built up in the main upon mantra-stock that occurs also RV. 10 152. The carcumstances are as follows the two hymns making together 8 stanzas coincide in their last 5 stanzas with the RV, hymn. The first three stanzas are patchwork, stanza i = 1B. 3 7 5 12. ApS, 2, 20 6, stanza 2 - Ab 5, 3 22, one can not say here exactly that the first stanza is the theme of the entire hymn, but certainly when the Atharvan compilation took place its first stanza existed as a Yajus, whencesoever the Yajus-text derived it, it was not borrowed from Atharvan sources, the reverse is altogether more likely.

\$ 46. The various readings of the AV, and the Yajus-Samhitas.—Extensic comparison of the readings of AV, as compared with the Yajus show the former to be on the whole inferior to the latter; the tradition of the AV, is less pure in every way. The metres are more irregular, the grammatical forms and constructions less intelligible, the adaptive touches very frequent. Thus AV, 2.6, recurs VS, 27, 16, TS, 4, 1, 7, 16, MS, 2, 12, 5, on the whole with insignificant variants, until we arrive at st. 4. Here AV, has in the middle of a trigulable the non-descript pida c, saptisfinal madhyametha, for which MS, has, saptisfinare madhyametha, in good metre and excellent sense; the AV, in addition to the metrical irregularity, places madhyametha, and agreement with Agin whom it does not fit nearly as

54 II. LITTERATUR U. GESCHICHTE. 1 B. ATHARVA-VEDA AND GOPATRA-BRAHMANA.

well as the person making the prayer: it is he that wishes to stand (superior) in the midst of his rivals. The other Vajur-versions have sajatānām madhyamastled cally which is also possible metre, though not absolutely consincing; pada b is superior in all the Yajus. In AV. 2 28. 5 naya for krdhi of all nation is superior in an interpolation and the superior in all the popular strain: see, TS. 2, 3, 10, 3; MS 2, 3, 4; TB, 2, 7, 7, 5; TA, 2, 5, 1. The st. AV, 2, 29, 3, quoted Vait, 22, 16, is repeated with variants MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5, 3, repeated with variants MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5, 3, repeated with variants MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5, 3, repeated with variants MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5, 3, repeated with variants MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5, 3, repeated with variants MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5, 3, repeated with variants MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5, 3, repeated with variants MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 8, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 2, 3, 2; KS, 10, 3; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 3; KS, 5, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 3, 2, 3; KS, 5, 5; KS, 10, 5; MS. 4, 12, 3; KS, 5, 2; TS, 10, 3; MS, 10, 3; dadhatu and savarcasam (KS. suvarcasam); and they all regard asir as the nom, sg. of the stem dsir 'milk added to soma': see especially Vait, and KS. (āsiram). This construction fails in the AV, where asir is the nom. sg. of asts 'prayer'. The dual dhattam in pada b seems to refer proleptically to dyavapy that in st. 4, as Sayana assumes unhesitatingly. Note also sauprajastiam (AV.) for suprajastiam (YV); the former is a monstrosity. The entire st, of AV, is adapted secondarily to two persons who are engaged in the practice of transmitting disease one from the other: the Yajur-version has nothing of the kind, and its originality is not to be doubted 4. In AV. 2. 34-1, va īše pašupatih pašūnām is metrically inferior to yeşām īše, TS. 3. 1. 4. 1, as also in st. 2, pramuñcanto bhui anasya reto, to TS., pramuñcamanah etc. AV 3, 13 adapts a number of fanciful stanzas to the practice of conducting a river into a new channel the first six sts. recur in TS. 5. 6. 1. 2-4; MS 2. 13 1 in connection with certain oblations of water (kumbhesfakah, or apam grahah), being evidently at home in the Yajur-ritual. As frequently elsewhere in the AV., the body of the hymn is mere ornamentation or solemn verbiage, the seventh and last st is original, turning forth the point of the hymn and the practice. Accordingly pada 5d, à ma pranena saha varcasa gamet, a jagati in the midst of tristubhs, is more modern and inferior to a ma pranena saha varcasa gan (varcasagan) of the Yajus. The version of AV. 3. 14. 2, 3 seems inferior to that of MS 4. 2. 10, where the gayatri-form instead of the anustublis of AV and sundry readings, poså for pusa, avihrutah for abibhyusih, and purisinih for karisinih, make a better impression. No hymn illustrates better the impurity, one might say the stupidity, of the rendering of Yajusmaterial in the AV. than the apri-hymn, 5 27, which is repeated in all Vajussamhitās, VS. 27 11-22, TS. 4 1. 8; MS. 2. 12. 6; KS 18 17; KapS. 29. 5 Whereas these texts agree in the main, the Atharvan version is verbally and metrically so corrupt as to be scarcely translatable. Notably the first pada of the second st. appears in the AV. as the fourth pada of the first st, thus depriving the second st. of its necessary apri-divinity, tanunapat, and overloading st. 1 with two, the samidh and tanunapat; sts. 10-12 are mere hodgepodge as regards metrical arrangement. The version of AV. 6. 38 in TB. 2. 7.7.1 presents on the whole more primary readings in TB.; agan for clu in the refrain; krandye for vaje in 4b, the former being the archaic lectio difficilior, and in close parallelism to majau; AV. 26, tvişir apsu gosu ya purusegu, is an obvious verbal and metrical corruption as compared with TB, tvisir asvesu purusesu gosu: to be sure contrariwise AV. 4ª, rajanie seems better than 1ª rajanye of TB. The sense and the wording of AV, 6 74.3 is inferior to TS. 2. 1. 11. 3: the entire AV. hymn is patchwork; especially 3d exhibits the usual adaptive touches, to make the st. directly and practically serviceable. AV. 6, 98, 32 reads, prácsā disas tram indrasi rajolo-dícya diso vytraham chatruho si, for TS. 2, 4, 14, 1; MS. 4, 12, 2, prácyan disi tvam indrāsi rājotodiojām vetrahan vetrahasi; not only is diso in AV, pada b, metrically superfluous, but the AV. version is altogether slipshod. AV. 7. 3. 14, svajā tamā tanvam airajat, is a miserable pada in a tristubh

st.; all other versions, TS. 1. 7. 12. 2; MS. 1. 10. 3; AS. 2. 19. 32; SS. 3. 17. 1; KS. 25. 6. 10, are better. In AV. 7. 4 suhute is inferior to svabhute of all other versions, and especially viyugbhir, in the sense of 'unhitching', replacing ni) udbhir, and coined for the nonce to match vi muñca, exhibits the stanza in a corrupt and adapted form: see VS. 27. 33; MS. 4. 6. 2; SB. 4. 4. 1. 15; TA. 1. 11. 8; AS. 5. 18. 5; SS. 8. 3. 10. In the tristubh st. AV. 7. 6. 2 pada b is a jagati, because AV. has substituted popular haramahe for hieratic hurema of all other versions: VS. 21. 5; TS. 1. 5. 11. 5; MS. 4. 10. 1; AS. 2. 1.29; SS. 2. 2. 14. The fondness of the AV. for havamake in place of hutema has beguiled it elsewhere into the same irregular proceeding: e.g. in 7. 40. 1d, compared with TS. 3, 1. 11. 3; MS. 4. 10. 1 (cp. also RV. 1. 164. 52d); or, compare AV. 7. 63. 1b, ukthair haramahe paramat sadhastat, with the parallel padas, agnim huzema etc., TA. 10 2. 1 (68)b, and ugram huzema etc., Mahanarayana Up. 6. 6.6. In AV. 7. 14 2b the reading kroat of both published editions and the mss in saightta and padapatha is nonsensical-Siyana reads and comments upon kred in accordance with all the other numerous versions, SV. 1. 464; VS. 4, 25, VSK. 4 8. 3; TS 1. 2. 6. 1; MS. 1. 2. 5; SB. 3. 3. 2. 12; AS. 4. 6. 3; SS. 5. 9. 7. The comparison of AV. 7.15 with the parallel versions, MS. 2. 10. 6, et al, reveals secondary readings and adaptation in AV.; in 7. 16 the AV, reads zardhava for bodhava of the other texts (MS. 2. 12. 5, et al.), and to bodhaya, curiously enough, the ritual, Kaus. 59. 18, Vait. 5, 9, responds with its verb bodhavatts; in AV, 7, 47, 16 suhava is inferior to suharam of the other versions, MS. 4. 12. 6, et al. That the AV. version of the robita-stanzas at the beginning of book 13 is inferior to that of TB, 2, 5 2, and the result of adaptation has been shown by the writer, Contributions, Fourth Series, AJPh xii, 430 ff.

\$ 47. The various readings of the AV. and the Srautasutras. -The comparisons just stated have shown incidentally that the mantras in the Stauty-sutras share in general the superiority of the Yajus-samhitas as compared with the AV. This seems to be true also of those cases in which AV. and Stauta-sūtras present materials of the hieratic quality which do not occur in either RV, or Yajus-saiphitas. As early as 1856 Rotit did not shrink from exposing the secondary manipulations and inferior readings of AV. 2, 5 as compared with AS, 6 3 10, he might have added, if the text had been accessible, SS. 9. 5. 2. The liturgical form of these sts. in the Srauta-sutras, manifest from the insertion of secondary phrases, is disregarded and corrupted in the AV to such an extent that the sts, are in reality untranslatable in its version. A detailed comparison of AV. 6 1 with AS 8. 1 18 (- SV. 1. 177; AB 5 13.8) exhibits the little hymn in what may be regarded as at least a more original form in AS both in sense and metre. The hymn begins AS, doto azad brhad gaya, 'now evening hath arrived, sing thou merrily', for this AV. reads, dow gata brhad guya, 'now sing in the evening, sing thou merrily': the first gaja seems a mere repetition of the second. Pada 22 in AS., tam u study antahsindhum, is corrupted metrically in AV., tam u study so antah sin thau; and pada 36 in As., suresast vasuratih, is also more trustworthy than AV., sacisal amrtani bhari. Again AV, 6 33 whose first two sts. are scarcely translatable in our version appears SS, 18, 3, 2 in a form which at least makes a show of intelligibility; cf. also AA. 5. 2. 1. 2-4; ArS 1. 3. Similarly compare AV. 6. 35 with AS. S. 11. 4; SS. 10. 9. 17, especially the senseless pada 2°, agmr ukthere amhasu, with, agnir ukthena tahasa of the Srauta-The distinction between hieratic and popular mantras is to be observed here as elsewhere: we may expect popular stanzas in as good or better form in the AV.; see especially the parallels between ApS. and

56 II. LITTERATUR U. GESCHICHTE. I B. ATHARVA-VI DA AND GOPATHA-BRAHMANA.

AV. grouped together above, \$ 41; or cf. the corrupt version of AV. 10. 3. 5 in TA. 6. 9. 2.

\$ 48. Traces of superior tradition in the AV. as compared with the Yajus-texts. - Here and there, but rarely, the AV. seems to present superior readings; more frequently, the Atharvan readings seem no better and no worse than those of the Yajus-texts. Piscurt, bas made out a good case for the superiority of AV. 6. 22. 3 over TS. 3. 1. 11. 7: especially pada b in AV. is superior to TS. which seems to be borrowed from RV. 5. 58. 3. In AV. 3. 4. 2 the first pada, tram više vrnatam rajyaya, is almost certainly superior to the adapted, tvam gave 'vrnata raijaya, TS. 3. 3. 9. 2; MS, 2, 5, 10, although the Vajur-version of the remaining padas is at least as good as the Atharvan. In AV. 6. 5. 32 kramo is an instance of an occasional hieratic form for popular kurmo of the Yajus (VS. 17, 52; TS. 4. 1. 1. 2; MS. 2, 10, 45), but this instance of superiority is at once reduced to the proper proportion on observing that pada 2td in AV., jīvatave jarase naja, is unmetrical, and the result of adaptation to ayusta-purposes, as compared with the Yayus-pada, sajātānām asad rasī. AV. 2. 10, notwithstanding the secondarily introduced refrain, is based upon a quite as good or better source than TB. 2. 5 6. 1 ff.: see padas 12, 32, and pada 26, sam somah sahausadhībhih, which is also better than TB., sam djavaprthivi sahausadhībhih; in the latter dyavaprthmi is out of keeping, borrowed from the preceding stanza, apparently to equalize the metre. AV. 3. 10, 1 impresses one as at least as good as its parallel, TS. 4. 3. 11. 5; in fact duhâm in pada 3 is an archaism. as compared with dhuksva in TS. Nevertheless the entire hymn in the AV. is a mixtum compositum, as may be seen especially in st. 7 which consists of an original gayatrī-pada, followed by a rather formulaic, imitative tristubhpada, and concludes with a common anustubh-hemistich (VS. 3, 49; TS. 1. 8. 4. 1, MS. 1, 10. 2). Instances in which the quality of the readings seems equally good as those of the Yajus are AV, 6, 47, 1, as compared with TS. 3. 1. 9. 1, KS. 9. 3 21 (MS 1. 3. 36 is inferior); or AV. 6. 55. 1, as compared with TS. 5. 7. 2. 3, where indeed pada c in AV. is smoother, though probably not more original than TS And in a case like the little hymn AV. 6. 79, as compared with TS. 3. 3 8. 2, it seems equally impossible to establish chronological relation on the ground of the variants. Neither these nor other sporadic instances of intelligent handling of mantra-themes are likely ever to change the main proposition, namely that the collection and reduction of the AV, took place at a time when the main body of Yajus stanzas and formulas were in existence in essentially their present forms and present application. The fuller knowledge in the future of the Yajus-tradition likely to come especially with the publication of the Kathaka and Kapisthala Samhitas will not change this, even granted that here and there an inferior reading regarded at present as of Atharvanic origin may in reality have come from a source outside of that Veda; in the majority of cases the Atharvan variants of the hieratic mantras are original with that Veda and inferior to the tradition of the Yajus, no less than to that of the Rig-Veda.

2 Cp. WHITNEY, JAOS XII, p. 3, bottom. — In the latter case Candramas is the dummity, corresponding as go to Again; the waters are the element, corresponding e. g. to Pythist, 5 and 5, 57, — 4 Cp. SIII. XII. 505. — 5 Cp. HENNY, Le Lavre VII, p. 58. — 6 Abhandling ideer den Alhara-Veda, p. 11 ff. — 7 Ved. Stud. I. 8 tff. — 8 Cp. also 6 pdf., AV. at. 2°, for ray in YV. — 9 Cp. AV. 6, 47, 24] TS, 3, 1, 9, 24

PART III. CONTENTS OF THE ATHARVA-VEDA • IN THE ŚAUNAKĪYA-SCHOOL.

\$ 49. Classification of the hymns. - The classification of a body of 731 Vedic hymns is not an altogether easy matter. The question what a given hymn is about is not always to be answered in certain tones, even in the case of Atharvan hymns, although prayer and action are more closely allied in this than in any other Veda. All scholars are agreed now that the Sûtra of Kausika frequently furnishes valuable hints towards making out the situation within which many hymns were conceived, by furnishing the miseen scène, as it were, of a given hymn; but all scholars are also agreed that the Sūtra draws in a large measure upon the independent tradition of folkcustoms and practices in general, interweaving the Atharvan hymns as prayers applicable to the situation with more or less fitness. The Atharvan hymns themselves, as the hymns of the Veda in general, are open to the charge of secondary adartation of the floating body of Vedic stanzas to purroses different from those which were in the mind of the original composers; see SBE, XLII, Introduction, p. 1XIII ff, and the Index under, 'adaptation of mantras'. In such cases, of course, the Atharvanic view is the one that primarily concerns the interpreter of the AV. A large number of hymns are hard to classify because of the variety of themes and objects presented in them. The arrangement of the hymns into ten classes, attempted for the first time by the author in his 'Hymns of the Atharva-Veda', SBE, XLII, has upon closer inspection proved quite tenable; it is made the basis of the following analysis, But in addition the materials that did not come within the scope of that volume had to be taken into account here; the result altogether is stated under 14 heads: 1) Charms to cure diseases and possession by demons (bharsayrans). - 2) Prayers for long life and health (ayun) ans). - 3) Imprecations against demons, sorcerers, and enemies (abhuarikani and lit) arratiharanani). - 4) Charms pertaining to women (strikarmani). - 5) Charms to secure harmony, influence in the assembly, and the like (sammanasiani, etc.\ - 6) Charms pertaining to royalty (ra,akarmani) -- 7) Prayers and imprecations in the interest of Brahmins - 8) Charms to secure prosperity and freedom from danger (faustkant - 9) Charms in expiation of sin and deblement (projascettant), - 10) Cosmosome and theosophic hymns. -11) Ritualistic and general hymns - 121 The books dealing with individual themes (books 13-18), - 13) The twenteth book. - 14) The kuntapahymns. -

The only complete translation of the W is that of the Anglo Indian scholar R. I. H. Chartriff, entated, Hymns of the Albrian Yelds, itelherare, Lazarus & Co.) MHTV-V's posthamous transition of the entire collect on is going through the previous to be published in the Harvard Oriental Sense (ed. C. R. Livian. V. Varge number of hymns have been translated by I. I. 10006 in the third volume of his great work, Der Rig-edit, p. 415 ff., as vito scatteringly through the same volume, sp. the Index to the entire work, oil VI p. 57 ff. A hundred selected hymns were translated by JiLius Guitt under the healing, 'Handhert Lieder dea Atharva-Vedt', in the 'Programm des Seminars Mulliformi, Tübingen 1859, published in a second ed tion via in independent volume, 'Libingen 1859, published in a second ed tion via in independent volume, 'Libingen 1858. About one that of the hymns were selected by the present author for his volume 'Himms of the Atharva-Vedt, together with Livitatio from the Rutul Books and the Commentaries', SBL, MIII (ed. Mix VILLIER) A considerable quantity of Atharvan mutter is treated by Konia. Althridial gaber den Atharval-Vedt's, and it is the "evigrums on Otto von Bühtlings', p. 95 ff.; by J. Mix in ONT. (see the indexes to vol.), vand VI) by H. L'AMBER, Mildediscles Leben (see the indexes p. 433); by 'Schre-

MN, Ibilosophische Hymnen aus der Rig. und Atharva-Veda-Samlata, p. 41 ff., by Hisomyphin, in "Seven Hyens of the AV", and the six series of "Contributions to the Interpretation of the Veda" (the places of publication are stated Afth XVIII. p. 399, note); by Patt Driver, Allgemeine Geschichte der Philosophia part 1; and scatteringly by many authors throughout the Oriental and the Grant Journals and treatises. Many translations of individual books erfort Dooks 1-50 W NIER, IS, IV, 393 ff. MII. 129 ff.; book 17 - 25 W NIER, IS, IV. 393 ff. MII. 129 ff.; book 17 - 25 W NIER, IS, IV. 393 ff. MII. 129 ff.; book 17 - 25 M NIERON HENRY (Plant Wassonneure, 1891—96]; book 14 by Wierk, 1897, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997,

\$ 50. Class 1). Charms to cure diseases and possession by demons (bhaisajyani). - The medicinal charms of the AV. go by the name of bhesajam 'remedy', the healing plant is bhesaji, the waters are bhesajih. The term is not restricted altogether to medicine; it includes also exorcism of demons, and approaches closely to the conception of santi in distinction from abhicara, embracing thus everything that comes under negative, defensive, pious magic1, The derivative bhaisajva occurs neither in RV, nor AV; it appears later in connection with the more advanced and technical medicinal practices as laid down in the bhaisan a-chapters of the Kausika-sūtra (25-32), and found scatteringly in the Brahmanas and Sutras?. The practices there involve a more extensive materia medica and more elaborate therapeutics, but it is difficult to define in detail the extent to which practices similar to those of the Surras must be presupposed from the start with the charms of the AV. Action of some sort is likely to have accompanied nearly all of them, frequently otherwise unintelligible statements of the hymns are clarified by the practices in the Sūtras3. At any rate the charms of the AV. along with such practices as went with them represent quite the most complete account of primitive medicine preserved in any literature. The limited number of RV, hymns which deal with the same subjects are of essentially the same character and period (RV. 10. 137, 161, 163; cp. also 1. 191; 7. 50; 8. 91; 10. 57-60, and other sporadic utterances). The existence of such charms and practices is guaranteed moreover at least as early as the Indo-Iranian (Aryan) period by the stems baesaza and baesazya (manthra baesaza, and baesazya; haoma baesazya), and by the preeminent position of water and plants in all prayers for health and long life4. ADAIBERT KUHN has pointed out some interesting and striking resemblances between Teutonic and Vedic medicinal charms, especially in connection with cures for worms and fractures. These may perhaps be mere anthropological coincidences, due to the similar mental endowment of the two peoples. But it is no less likely that some of these folk-notions had crystallized in prehistoric times, and that these parallels reflect the continuation of a crude Indo European folk-lore that had survived among the Teutons and Hindus. The opposite view is now ordinarily asserted with a degree of dogmatism not at all warranted by the evidence 5.

The connection of the Atharvanic medical charms with the later Hindu medicine of classical times (Āyur-teda) has never failed to impress the both upon the Hindus themselves who regard the Āyur-teda as an upareda ('after-Veda') of the Atharvan; Western scholars also were not slow to correlate the two strata of medical literature — to the advantage of the understanding of both. The diagnosis of fever (takman in the AV., jrara in the later medicine), especially of intermittent fever; of wasting pulmonary diseases

(saksma), and of a considerable number of other diseases is almost the same in both. The present author has more recently identified the asriva of the AV, with later atisara 'diarrhua'; the aracit of the AV, with later apaci 'scrofulous sores', and the Atharvanic disease which is described (AV. 6. 25) as manya and skandhya with the 'Manskunder' (also a scrofulous affection), as reported upon the basis of the classical Sastras by Wise, Hindu Medicine, p. 3166. From the other side the gap between classical and Atharvanic medicine, still a wide one, has also narrowed very miterally. The early views of European scholars as to the fabulous antiquity of the Ayur-veda (1000 B. C.) was criticized especially by HAAS and ZIMMER, but their disposition of the chronology of classical medicine seems to have erred almost as much in the direction of too great a modernness as the earlier view in the direction of an impossible antiquity7. The recent discovery of the Bower ms. exhibits medical science at about the the fifth century A. D. in much the same condition and the same degree of development as in the medical Sastras of Susruta and Caraka, presupposing an evolution which must have lasted some centuries when compared with even the later Atharvanic medicine of the Kausikas. The presence of foreign, especially Greek, influence in Hindu medicine has also been assumed on the ground of rather sparse points of resemblance9, whereas on the other hand the influence of Hindu medicine upon early Arabic medicine, and through it upon European medicine in general, is guaranteed beyond peradventure. JOLLY'S forthcoming elaboration of Hindu Medicin in this Encyclopedia will doubtless throw additional light on these and kindred questions 10.

The classification of the medical hymns of the AV, is difficult for various reasons: the meaning of the names of the diseases is often obscure; a great variety of unrelated diseases are often grouped in the same charm; the line between disease and possession by demons and demoniac influences is not drawn sharply, and the curative influences that are employed are either of the symbolic order, or consist of amulets instead of healing substances. These amulets are lurgely derived from the vegetable kingdom, the designation of the plants being again generally quite obscure. I Good illustrations of the symbolic treatment are offered by the charm to cure jaundice (1, 22), and the brief charm against takman 'tever' (7, 116). In the former the yellow color of the patient is sent where it naturally belongs, to the yellow sun and yellow birds, the red color of the cow being substituted for the yellow; in the latter the hot lever is sent to the cool frog who may be supposed to find it enjoyable. On the other hand the kusha plant that is implored to help against fever (5 4, 19 39), or the pepper-corn that he employed in the quaint charm against wounds (6, 109) cannot be supposed to owe their presence in these surroundings to any real medicinal properties. For all that a classification of the hymns yields a picture in vague outline of that same Hindu medicine that is treated so bulkily in the Sastras and their descendant works. (Clearest in expression are the hymns against takman, or fever, the jzara of the later medicine. The word takman does not occur outside of the AV. Four hymns, 1. 25; 5. 22; 6. 20; and 7.116, are devoted to its cure; two others, 5.4 and 19.39, are addressed to the plant kurtha with special reference to the cure of this disease. (Just as Susruta designates fever as 'the king of diseases' so the takman seems in Atharvanic times to have been the most dreaded ailment.) Its diagnosis seems to have been fairly searching and exact: the chief symptom is the alternation between heat and chills; it is intermittent, arriving either every day at the same time, every third day, or omitting every third day; and it is accompanied by jaundice which suggests true malarial fever, especially during the rainy season. It is associated with a variety of other diseases, headache, cough, balasa, udyuga, and pāman 'itch' (also in the Avesta), the takman's brother's son'. Its most salient symptom, heat, suggests Agni 'fire' as the cause. It is generally cured by prayer and conjutation to which the Kaušika adds symbolic practices; the plant knufha and the tree jaigstafa furnish amulets against it. Once (7. 116.2) the cooling frog is suggested in the mantra, and applied in the corresponding practice of the Sütra'i, (Closely associated with the takman-hymns is 1.12, an interesting charm addressed to lightning (Agni) conceived as the cause of fever, headache, and cough'. To the cure of jaundice, frequently mentioned along with fever, 1.22 is devoted independently; the symbolic proceedings indicated above are executed energetically in the practices of the Sūtra'i).

The ancient Vedic disease dropsy (jalodara, 'water-belly'), the infliction of Varuna in punishment of moral delinquency (anita), is represented by three lymns,)1. 10; 7. 83; and 6. 24. In the latter (it seems coupled with heart-disease, Jan instance of good diagnosis. (The cure indicated both in the hymns and sutras is water which is used symbolically and with a touch of homotopathy 14.) Again, in another disease which suggests the presence of overabundant humors, water and water-procuring ants ('piss-ants') figure as the remedy, in the same symbolic-homocopathic way (attractio similium) as in the case of dropsy. The disease in question, asrava, is treated in 1. 2; 2, 3; and 6, 44, the commentators define it as atisara 'diarrhoca' which is correct in the main, although perhaps excessive micturation and other excessive discharges may have been included primarily. One of these charms (1. 2) seems to have been originally a battle-charm, adapted by adding st. 4 to its present use 15. Another (6. 44) appeals for help to an object called tişânakâ, either a plant or a horn (at any rate with punning intention: ti så loosen')16. The converse of excessive discharges, namely constitution and retention of urine, is cured by 1. 3, and is accompanied by an interesting medical practice in Kaus. 25. 10-19. A disease whose vague description suggests either rheumatism or colic, due to the missiles of Rudra, is driven out with 6. 90; to this Kaus, 31. 7 adds homocopathically a spear-amulet to counteract the pains that seem as if from a spear, (Diseases of the pulmonary order are exorcised) in 6. 14, addressed to balasa 17, and to cough (kāsa) in 6. 105; 7. 107. The terms jaksma, rājajaksma, and ajnātajaksma (also parajakima in TS) are in the later medicine applied to pulmonary diseases 15, for the Vedic period this definition seems too narrow: AV. 2. 33 (RV. 10. 163); 3. 11; 9. 8; 19. 36, 44, etc. point to the more general meaning 'wasting disease', or 'disease in general'. Very curious and problematic is 6. 80, accompanying an oblation that is in the technical sense: see below, § 60, end) to the sun, conceived as one of the two heavenly dogs. In the ritual this is treated as a cure for paralysis (paksahata, hemiplegia) 19. Three charms are directed against keetrija 'inherited disease' (2, 8; 10; 3, 7); the symptoms of the Asdrija are not described: the disease may have been of the scrofulous or syphilitic order. Other internal diseases are alluded to incidentally, or grouped in the panaceas (sarralhaisaj) a of the commentators), especially in 2. 33; 9. 8; and 19. 44 cf. for these ZIMMER, p. 378 ff., and the item 'diseases' in the Index to SBI. XLII. 607.

To the cure of ills of a more external character, especially skin diseases, a considerable number of charms address themselves. Leprosy (kilàsa) is cured in 1.23 and 24 by applying black plants, rajani and jāma, (alloputhic symbolism); abcesses (tiliradha) are mentioned with other diseases in 6.127.11

9. 8. 20 2. Of particular interest are the charms directed against scrofulous sores called apacit (later apaci), and related diseases: 6, 25; 6, 57; 7.74-1, 2; 7. 76. 1, 2; 7. 76. 3. Their character was misunderstood prior to the author's essay on this theme 21. The sores, tumors, and pustules apparent in this disease are conjured in the hymns themselves to fall off, or fly away, because in the naive view of the folk they were supposed to have settled like birds upon the afflicted person.' The Sutra, however, treats the disease by drastic applications, and in one instance (6.57) the famous remedy of Rudra, the falasa (urine), is indicated as the remedy by the mantras themselves 21. (The cure of wounds and fractures is accomplished by two hymns (4, 12; 5, 5) which appeal to the plant called variously arundhati, lakia, or silaci: the name arundhati points towards a punning symbolic connection between the disease (arus 'wound') and the simple. The first of these two hymns has been compared by A. Kunn with the Merseburg charm, and many other similar productions from various Teutonic and Slavic sources 3.) The peppercorn (pippah) curiously figures in 6, 109 as a cure or preventive of the same trouble. Flow of blood is charmed to a stop by the pretty hymn 1.17 which seems (st. 4) to indicate the use of a bandage or compress filled with sand 2. The Brahmanas and Sutras mention types of Vedic lore designated as sarpasidya and visatidya science of serpents and of poisons 25. These so-called sciences similarly to yaturidya, raksovidya, etc., are referable in the main to the AV, which presents many charms not only to keep serpents from the premises (below, \$ 57), but also for the cure of their poisonous bites) Such charms are 5, 13; 5, 16; 6 12; 7 56; and 7, 88, of these 5, 13 is of especial interest as containing many words founded upon the bed-rock of folk-lore, among others the word tuburam which Wener has identified with 'taboo', not, however, failing to recognize the attendant difficulties 16. The importance of this particular phase of witchcraft is shown by the existence of the Garuda-Upanisad which is nothing more than a charm against snake bites, put into the mouth of Brahman, and elevated to the position of brahmavidya27. In the Bengal Presidency alone the number of deaths from serpents bites in 1876 amounted to 11 41628. And there are also charms directed against poison not derived from serpents, 4.6 (poisonous arrows), 47, and 6.100. In these cases water seems to be depended upon as a cure, lof especial interest is 6. 100 m which the water-producing ants (upajika) yield the healing fluid23. The ritual reinforces these poison-charms with appeals to Taksaka (Vaisaleya), the serpent-good 10. To the cure of worms (krimi) three charms are devoted 2. 31 (worms in general), 2. 32 (worms in cattle), and 5. 33 (worms in children). Identical, or similar stanzis appear in many other texts, especially TA. and SV. Mantrabrahmann: (A. Kunn has shown that the greatest variety of diseases are regarded in the naive view of folk-medicine as due to the presence of worms. This accounts for 'worms in the head' (2 31. 4) 'the variegated worm, the four-eyed' (2. 32. 2), and the like31.) The Satra presents complicated practices. The charm 6.16, addressed to a substance called abaya, which Kausika identifies with mustard, is directed against ophthalmia, a disease of the eye, alaji, known in the later medicine 12, is mentioned 9. 8. 20. In the same hymn and elsewhere diseases of the ear are also mentioned (9. 8. 1, 2). Three lively, picturesque charms, 6. 21; 136; 137, cause hair to grow luxuriantly: With reins they had to be measured, with outstretched arms they had to be measured out. May thy hair grow as reeds, may it (cluster), black, about thy head!' (6. 137. 3). One of these charms (6. 136) is performed with the otherwise unknown plant nitatni, 'she that takes root'; all three are accompanied in the Sûtra by fanciful symbolic

practices. (Three charms, 4.4; 6.72; and 6.101, in language not at all

veiled, profess to promote virility (sepaharsana).

A maniac 'who, bound and well-secured, loudly jabbers' is exorcised in 6.111. Insanity is due to possession by demons, especially the mind-bewildering Gandharvas' and Apsaras 33. At this point especially Atharvanic medicine passes over into demonology: the boundary-line between the two is rarely well-defined34. This class of hymns, therefore, is in close contact with those treated here in the third category. The rather romantic charm 4. 37 appeals to the plant 'goats-horn' (ajaśrigi: comms., mesaśrigi, and visani) to drive Raksas, Apsaras, and Gandharvas out of a possessed person. The choice of this particular plant is probably due in part or entirely to its meaning (etymological symbolism). Raksas and fits (grahi) are driven out in 2.9 with an amulet made from ten different kinds of holy (fanta) wood; demons and diseases in 19, 36 with another composite hundred-fold amulet. The last three charms have suggested analogies from Teutonic folk-lore 35. Raksas and Pisacas are also exorcised in 4. 36 and 6. 32. The plant priniparna is conjured, 2, 25, against the demon of disease called kanva, conceived especially as the devourer of the embryo in the womb Susruta still recommends the priniparni as a preventive against miscarriage (garbhasrave)36. Three charms, 2, 4, 19, 34, 35, addressed to an amulet derived from the jangida-tree, are directed against diseases and demons,

At another point the remedial charms pass over by imperceptible degrees into the class of the 'hife-giving' charms (ayusyani) of our second category-Special substances, or water and the plants in general, are implored for complete exemption from sickness (san grogabhaisaiva, i.e., panaceas).) Thus the varana-tree (rar 'protect') in 6. 25; the plant kustha in 6. 95; the cipudru-tree in 6. 127; bdellium in 19. 38, barley and water in 6. 91; an amulet of salve (anjana) in 19. 44. Or Soma and Rudra are appealed to in 7. 42 (RV 6.74.2,3), Vata, the wind, in 4. 13 (RV,10,137), the so-called samtatiya-hymn. Hymns and stanzas addressed to the waters, often not original in the AV, are used as panaceas (1 4-6), or against some special disease, e. g., 6. 22, which the Sutra prescribes against dropsy. Plants are similarly appealed to m 6. 96, and especially in the long hymn 8. 7 which counts upon the virtue of all possible magic and medicinal plants (analogous to the so-called osadhi-stuti, RV. 10 97). Or, again, a hymn or stanza of general character is adapted as a bhaisaijam, as e. g. 7. 10 (RV. 1. 164. 49) which is prescribed for a child seized by the demon Jambha (convuisions) as it is being nursed by its mother (note the word stana in the st.). And there are hymns which aim to secure immunity from all diseases, real or fanciful, by simply driving them out by conjuration, naming them one after another, until the list is exhausted: 2. 33 (RV. 10. 163 = AV. 20. 96) and 9. 8 (cp. also 19. 44). We may finally note 2, 29, a charm for securing long life (ajusja), which Kaus 27.9-13 employs in a practice designed to transmit the disease of one seized by thirst (trsnagrhita) upon another person. St. 6 seems to accord with this transference, vaguely suggesting modern transfusion 37,

ROTH, Zur I siteratur und Geschichte des Weda, p. 37; GROIMANN, IS IX. GSt ff.: W.L. 33 (cp. 253 ff.) Wr.L. De Griechen in Indian, SPAM, 1590, p. 924 ff.; LIDMUR, D. 1874 ff. (cp. Katel, Jahbbechef et classische Philologie, 1880, p. 464 ff.; Ricouriett, SDL, M. II, p. 1—48, and the notes correponding; Wysterstrz, Foll-Medicine in Ancient India, Nature, vol. 1.3 III, p. 233 ff. [11], p. 1—48, and the notes correponding; Wysterstrz, Foll-Medicine in Ancient India, Nature, vol. 1.3 III, p. 233 ff.

This definition touches upon the important division of the Atharvan into two Vedas, Atharvana (iānia, thesaja), and Angirasa (ghera, āthicārska); see above, p. Sfi.:

SBE, NLH, p. viiiff.; Hillibrandt, Riturl-Litteratur, p. 169, 177. Good and evil magic are distinguished at all times, e. g., in the Mahabharata: Hopkins, JAOS. XIII. 312, 365. By the side of this stands the three-fold distinction of the titualistic Janan Ved. / Janan 'tich' is the apparently soliting instance of the identical nomenclature of a disease. - \$ A. Kiris, KZ XIII, pp. 49-74, and 113-157; L. V. Schnorder, Indien's Interatin, p. 175 ff. SBE, M. II, p. 313, 386, 484; Lev. Schrofder, Indica's Litteratur, p. 175 ff; SIE: All I, p. 343, 386, 454; cp. 10cttp, i.k. V. 337 (antiquated). The use of the fing against fester may also reach back to prehistone beginnings; see Grottman, 18. 1, 386, 444; SEE AMI 565 ff; 1.05, AVII, 173 — 6, 19th, VII, 486, ff; N. I, 306, f. -7 flax, S.DOM, XXX. 017 ff; AXXI. 647 ff; Zhiner, 374 ff; VI. 2, 286 (and, Nachtrag, p. 13 ff); L. V. Ollo, I. V. Ollo, S.D. Ollo, J. O of Hindu Medicine based upon native literature is still, Wise, Commentary on the Hindu System of Medicine², 1860 (regretiably without an index). For purposes of comparison see the list of diseases and plants (minv medicinal) in the index of SBE. MII, p. 697 and 703.—11 Wriggs, IS IV. 119, GROMANN, IS, IA, 381 ft.; SHE, M.H., p. 607 and 702. — 11 Witner, IS IV. 119, Grounders, IS, IX, 351 ff.; Yunur, p. 379 ff.; Harry, IN: Vedecke In-thirmunische Periode, p. 198, IV rousheted, SHE, XLH, p. 444 ff., 565 ff., ep. Wiss, p. 219 ff. — 12 QFb. VII., p. 469 ff.; SLE, M.L. 17, 246 ff. — 12 SHE, XLH, 265 ff. — 14 SHE, XLH, 163, 244, 474, 462, and ep. Index, under stateactio similari, and, home opathy. — 15 SHE, XLH, 253 ff. and 19 Grant of the state in SBE, XIII. - 32 Contributions Fourth Series, APh 425 ff., SBE XI IL 489. in SUE, XIII. -- 22 Contributions Fourth Series, WIPh 425 ft, SBE, MIII. 459, -- 27 See, note 5, -- 44 St. in with interesting signalist occurs in Vasida's Ninita's 3, 4 -- 23 MI 10 5, 2 20, 13, 4 3, 9, 85 10 2 15, V 10 7 5, Chand Up 7 1, 2 ft, C. R.V. 7 50, and, R. g. vidalism 1 2, 5, 2 -- 2 ft, V 10 7 5, Chand Up 7 1, 2 ft, C. R.V. 7 50, and, R. g. vidalism 1 2, 5, 2 ft, V 10 7 5, Chand Up 7 1, 2 ft, C. R.V. 7 5, C. R.V. 10 7 5, Chand Up 7 1, 2 ft, C. R.V. 10 7 5, Chand Up 7 1, 2 ft, C. R.V. 10 7 5, C. R.V. 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 10 1, 1 - 36 Ibid. 302 - 37 Ibid. 308, 310.

\$ 51. Class 2). Prayers for long lite and health (ayaŋān). —The second class of hymns to which tradition intagh assigns the name ajaŋān (se nikhtani) is not separited by hard and fist lines from the medicinal charms. Thus 19, 44, though it exhibits in the main the characteristics of an ajanan, mentions specifically a considerable into diseases, or 3 tri which also mentions diseases is employed, Kaus 27 32—33, in an interesting symbolic practice directed against gramma 29 achi: the commentation pretty consistently explain this as senered disease. Throughout the traut and grafial tests are found in enormous quantity formulas and stinais which pay for life (ayan), lafe's breath (prina, etc.), and incidentally for all other desiderati, such as tareas, hala, jaias, kira (strength and glory), cattle and other property. In the forthcoming Vedic Concordance the formulas and padiss beginning alone with the world arus and directa (ajun), or derivatives from

64 II. Litteratur u. Geschichte. 1 B. Atharva-Veda and Gopatha-Brähmana.

these words, number 200 or more3. The characteristic feature of such formulas, as also of the dyusyani in the AV., is not so much prayer for the cure of disease as the eager endeavor to secure life unto the ideal old age of a hundred years for a person, either a sacrificer, or still more frequently, a boy entering adult life through the various ceremonial stages attendant upon the development of the young Hindu from birth to confirmation (upanayana). Hence these hymns are employed very properly in the Atharvan ritual under the rubric of aus; ani (sc. karmani), beginning Kaus, 52, 18, and containing the ceremonies of tonsure (cudakarman), shearing of the beard (godana), and the upanayana. The Grhya-sutras exhibit them in much the same way on the same occasions: the Atharvan materials show little originality and frequently coincide with the mantras of the other Samhitas. A survey of one or two of these charms, e.g. 2.28 or 3.11, discloses at once the very familiar catch-words of the entire class: they ask for life (dyus, fivana, asu, pranapānau); it shall last a hundred years (satasārada, satam himāh, satāyus); death shall not come until old age (jaras, jara, jariman, jaramṛtyu, jaradasti); the charm shall protect against the regrettably large number of deaths, 100 or more (mrtyavo ... satam ye, 2. 28, 1; anye mrtyavo yan ahur itaram chalam, 3, 11. 7; ekasalam, 8, 2, 27): 101 deaths are still remembered by Susruta (Avur-veda 1, 122, 10) as a characteristic conception of the AV, ekoltaram mrtyusatam atharvanah pracaksate (cp. SBE, XLII, p. 307); finally, Yama, Mrtvu, Antaka, Nirrti, etc. are cajoled with politenesses and obeisance. Of course this technique is by no means restricted to the aiusyani; not only is it exhibited a fortione by the medicinal charms, but also the charms for prosperity in general and the witchcraft-charms naturally avail themselves of Yet it is unmistakably the specialty of this class: the Sütra and the Atharvan scholiasts deserve credit for defining it schematically and presenting the employment of the hymns in question in the very situations to which they owed their composition at least in a large measure. A noteworthy characteristic of these hymns is the special prominence of

Agni, whereby hangs a bit of ancient mythological history. Agni himself is Ayu 'alive'; the personal Ayu of the myths and legends, notably Ayu, the son of Pururavas and Urvasi, is not likely ever to divulge his true nature without the same naturalistic, back-grounds. Hence the ayuga-hymns place life in the special charge of Agni, without, of course, excluding other divinities. In the Yajus-texts, Grhya-sūtras, and Upanisads also Agni is frequently associated with life's breaths6. Typical for the AV. is 7. 53. 6, aver no viscato dadhad ayam agnir varen; ah. The hymns 2. 13; 28; 29; 7. 32 and other scattered stanzas furnish illustrations of this point. Otherwise the absence of salience of these hymns is relieved only by the association with them of life-bestowing, and life-protecting amulets which are in the main truly Atharvanic. golden amulet which the Daksayanas, rich in the possession of gold, fastened upon Satānika (1. 35) is such a one?; an amulet of gold is used also with 19. 26. Salve (anjana) figures in 4. 9, 19. 44; 45; the pearl and its shell in 4. 10; the puludru tree in 8 2; an amulet addressed as astria 'unconquered' 5 in 19. 46. Similarly the sacred girdle (mekhala) furnishes the basis of an ayunam in 6:133; and the shearing of the beard is turned into a life-giving ceremony in 6.68. Quaintly does the hymn 3.31 solder together a mixtum compositum to the same end out of a variety of psycho-physical and mythological reminiscences?. The hymns 8. 1 and 2, employed Kaus, 58. 3, 11 in special ceremonies called brahmanoktam and rsihasta (parts of the upana) ana), are Atharvanesque by virtue of their impassioned fervor and great length. The relationship of this type with the prose formulas of the Yajus and the Grhyas bettays itself in the so-called hymn, 2.15—17; 5.9; the prose formulas that go to make up 19, 51; 60; 61; 67 occur in these texts also 9. The remaining dynga-hymns are devoid of either mythological or antiquarian interest (1. 30; 3.11; 5.28; 30; 6. 41; 53; 19. 24; 27; 58; 70), and glide over imperceptibly into a style of hymn which is not strictly dynga, being regarded otherwise (pangithae our class 8, \$57) by the Sötta. They are in reality not different in their general purpose (1. 31; 4. 13; 6. 5; 13; 16. 4; 19, 30; 32; 33; see also the long so-called visitable-hymn, book 17).

1 Sec SIF A.III. 49 ff. and notes; Kauisia. Index B. p. 383? a.v. ūrupyūn. cg.-MENER. Rigovaldam. Introduction, p. XII. under the heading 'longs atta'; Saith. 2. i; FC. b. 16. 5 ff. — 2 SIE. XIII. 341. — 3 We refer to the formulas of the f. ppc, time in educity judy prigam met abstract judy interference by spure me plat, attractive party interference and interf

\$ 52. Class 3). Imprecations against demons, sorcerers, and enemies (abhicarikani and krtyapratiharanani). - The third class of hymns is directed against demons, sorcerers, and enemies "between hostile human sorcerers and dangerous demons the Atharvanic Hindu knows no distinction, as may be seen from 2.18, where the bhratraya, sapatna, ardya, fisher, and sadding are successively placed under the same ban. The delimitation of this class is again somewhat uncertain and subjective. On the side of demonology it touches upon the first class, because the remedial charms are not unfrequently directed against po-session by demons. In so for as it is composed of imprecations and sorceries against enemies it conciterates with the royal rites (class 6, \$ 55) whose bittle-charms assail the enemy in essentially identical terms with the imprecations of Brahmans against those that neglect and oppress them (class 7, \$ 56); with the love-practices of women, in so far as they are calculated to undermine rivals (class 4, \$ 53); and with the charms designed to secure influential position in the community and superiority in general (class 5, \$ 54), inasmich as here also maley is expressed or implied. The present class covers however the central ground of what is known as abdicara and jaturidia (raksoridia), the temble side (ghera) of this Veda. A wide-spread tradition reaching back to the Vedic hymns themselves associates this part of the Veda with the semi-divine race of the Angiras; such charms are known as the argirasah, the second part of the old designation of the AV. (athurangurasah); the term Angirasa-veda also occurs'. All this in distinction from the atherianah (Athaniana-veda) which refers to the auspicious charms and practices (bheyaja, santa, and favitika)3. The term achicara is genetic; the Atharvan and other Vedic texts know in addition letta 'spell', ralaya 'secret spell', and milla-karman (-krind) 'practices with the roots of plants', performances undertaken more drastically with tangible objects; magic or spells are placed or hidden in places where they may most injure. The hymn to, t represents the krha

Indo-ar sche Philologic. Il. 18

same notion is present vividly in the conflict of sacrifices called samsata, or tigaratha³. An especially Atharvanic flavor attaches to 7.5 which is devoted to the extravagant praise of this same (vihar)a) hymn. The gods performed the human sacrifice therapamsahab, but mighter than that is the viharya-sacrifice: in vain 4 dd they sacrifice a dog, in vain the limbs of a cow, the tiharya alone availed them (8t. 4, 5). Very characteristic, too, is the blend of witchcraft with original theosophic conceptions in the hymn to Kāma, 'the buil that slays the enemy', 9.2: kāma 'thote' is originally a cosmic force (RV. 10. 129. 4 = AV. 19. 52. 1), but its personification as a supreme being suggests very soon his power to protect those who worship him, and to destroy

the enemies of the worshipper (cp. below, \$ 59). (The class of 'counter-witchcraft' charms (krty.apratikarana) 15 is characterized especially by the word brati and derivatives and compounds from it, e. g. pratiabilicarana 2.11.2; pratisara and praticarta, 8.5.1,4; pratyane and praticina 10.1.6. The persons against whom these defensive charms are directed are designated, 5. 31. 12, a kṛṇākṛi 'he that fishions spells', calagin 'he that digs for magic purposes', millin 'he that digs after roots', and safathey) a 'he that emits curses' (cp. 4. 9. 5). A good specimen of the style of these defensive charms is 10. 1. 6: 'Praticina ('Back-hurler'), the descendant of Angiras, is our overseer and Purohita: do thou drive back (praticit) the spells, and yonder fashioners of the spells!' Two of these charms (2.11; 8.5) are undertaken with the sraktya-amulet, derived from the sraktya-tree (schol. tilala)25; another (5 14) is addressed to a plant whose name is not stated; yet another, 2 24, names four male kimīdin and four female kimīdinī with curious, at times euphemistic designations, and calls upon their sorceries to turn against themselves, that is, against the perpetrators of these sorceries. Especially forceful is 5 31, containing a long catalogue of homely animate or in mimate objects within which spells were instituted: an unburned vessel, grain, raw meat; the cock, goat, and other animals; the garhapatya-fire, house-fire, house, assemblyhall, gaming-place, the army, the drum, the arrow, and the weapon; the well, and the burial-place. A spell in the nature of a terrifying, evil-working figure (bug-bear, bogey, bogle, German popanz) 'endowed with nose, endowed with ears, and multiform', 'prepared by a Raja, prepared by a woman, prepared by Brahmans', is driven out, under protestation of great loathing and fear, in 10 1. Prose formulas similar to those enumerated, Kaus. 49. 7 ff. are bunded together as hymns for the same purposes in 4, 40 and 5, 10. Curse personified is invoked against him that curses in 6, 37 and 7, 59.

1 LUDWE, Der Rig-Vedt, III. 338 ff.; BURDLL, Stmaudhana-Irahmana, Introduction, p. XXXIII.; MFYRE, Rigevoldma, Introduction, p. XIII.; HARDY, Die Vederlagen, p. XXIII.; MFYRE, Rigevoldma, Introduction, p. XIII.; HARDY, Die Vederlagen, p. 250 ff.; 476 ff.; Illustration, F. 189 ff. CHINNERS, Die Religion des Vederlagen, p. 250 ff.; 476 ff.; Illustration, F. 189 ff.; Die Religion des Vederlagen, p. 250 ff.; A. VIII.; p. 64 ff. and notes; MAGOUN, Alfin. A. p. 67 ff. West Minner Minner and Antical Minner and Antical Religion of this view, SBL. XLII., p. 64 ff., and notes; MAGOUN, Alfin. A. p. 67 ff. West Minner and the Adulty as in connection with scoreful performances, generally not on Ab. 6.34 ff.) represent the Adultyas as the poors, Blimandy successful secrificers, whereas the adult, i.e. they are worsted in the he Austrain in the occilled during time (as 24b) ff. 189 ff.; Fish III. Sila on Fisher and Correspondingly, the connection of holy rites with the Addityas Capital and correspondingly the connection of holy rites with the Addityas Capital ff. III. Sila of the Advises are wearted in the Bulling paging — 260 ff. in the SB (Writes, J. e. p. 812, note 2). In the Yugu-formulva, however, both Angiras and Adultyas are exemptalle; SF 1, 6. 1 (8.6. 7); i. 6. 2. (8.7. 3); Aly S. 5. 11, 7; Kaud 76 f. — 4 See Radike, Affection, p. xxxx, v. and Addition (preaduly), and SBIL XLII., index, under efficiency of the Advise are exemptalle; the second of the Capital Religion.

\$ 53. Class 4). Charms pertaining to women (strikarmani). -The scheme of the Atharyan ritual makes provision for a class of practices aptly designated as 'womens' rites' (strikarmanı) they are treated connectedly in the second half of the fourth book of Kausika (32, 28 to the end of the book). The practices there work up very intelligently a quite con-(siderable number of hymns scattered through the first seven books of the AV. (in addition only 8, 6); these are sufficiently marked to entitle them to treatment in a class by themselves". In the centre of these hymns stand the wedding stanzas of the surva-sukta (RV, 10 85) whose superior length and importance, however, calls for a separate presentation and a separate account of the practices connected with them, in the 14th book of the AV, and the toth book of Kausika. The eventual life of women before, during, and after marriage has given rise to many practices and charms which are naturally ignored by the main current of Vedic tradition, the Stanta-literature, but are fitly embodied in the Atharvan and the Grhya-sūtras. The RV, in addition to the wedding-stanzas (10. 85, also 10. 40, and elsewhere) has a number of such charms in the 10th book (145, 159, 162, 183); in the Srauta-literature passages like TS. 2. 3. 9. 1; MS. 2. 3 2, Th. 2 3. 10 (love-charms), or SB. 14. 9. 4 - Brh. Ar. Up. 6. 4, devoted to women, attract attention by their rareness and comparative incompatibility with their surroundings. The Grhya-rites are also restricted in the main to the more normal auspicious feminine practices: wedding ceremonies, and the holy performances (samskaras) connected with pregnancy and child-birth. A sorcery-practice like ArG. 3. 9. 4 ff. (Mantrapatha 1, 15 - RV. 10, 145 - AV. 3, 18), designed to cut out the co-wives or rivals of a woman, is rare and has quite the Atharvanic flavor. Similarly HG, 1. 14. 7; ApG. S. 23. 3. The later Vidhana-literature, on the other hand, reproduces and systematices the socreptates of women, dualities in direct conincuron of practices expectably common in the Athan an echolic Panini 44, 90 will describe as pract, it is not Veile, the kind of mentra which he calls he had, ascerting to the scholars in the sense of heliquery landliness, because the heart, in subtransmantal, doubtes he has in view both the larged aims of the present enterony, and the more general conclusions hymns of the following classe. The Reyndian regions the tendlardy hymns of the following classe. The Reyndian temploys the tendlardy hymns of the following classe. The Reyndian temploys the tendtardy hymnson r. and randamic for both these kinds of charms is 2, 51 2, 55, 21 J. 15, 2 ft. 19, 3 ft. 21, t. etc. 5 the Samariah and bathrana treats the consequency matter in 2, 5 and 6, where Sayana has also frequent occasion to employ the verb raidlers they are also known in the Tantre sorcery-books (through, patheraly), etc.).

The majorny of the hymns in question are devoted to the relation of the two series. Especially common are philtres or love charms performed by men as well as nomen: 1. 34; 2. 30; 3. 25; 6. S; 9; 89; 102; 129; 130-132; 139; 7. 38; in these various plants and other substances, herbs, hourice, salve, Autha, spikenard, etc., are invoked to lend charm to the person performing the philite. Or the activities of animal life and nature in general that are analogous to the emotions of love, are called upon to reproduce themselves in the beloved object: I draw to myself thy mind as the leading stallion the female side horse' (6, 102, 2); 'the cows who lick their young, in whose heart love is planted, shall make yonder woman bestow love upon me (6. 9 3); 'as the wind texts this grass from the surface of the earth, thus do I tear thy soul' (2, 30, 1); 'as the creeper embraces the tree on all sides, thus do thou embrace me' (6. 8 1); 'thy heart shall parch with love of me, and thy mouth shall parch with love of me' (6, 139 2); 'we inflame thy heart, we inflame thy mind' (6 89. 2). With the last passage of, 'ut ferialis et incendiatis cor et mentem N. in amorem meum". The arrow of the god of love figures 3 25. 2: 'the arrow, winged with longing, barbed with love, whose shaft is unswerving desire, with that, well-aimed, Kama shall pierce thee in the heart'? The formulaic hemistich, or its second pada, satha mama kratav are, mama cittam upajass, 'm order that thou shalt be at my bidding. shilt follow my thought, recurs frequently: 1. 34. 2; 3. 25. 5; 6. 42. 3; 43. 3; similarly, satha mam kaminy asa, satha man nafaga asah, 1. 34. 5; 2. 30.1; These expressions are indicative of a certain mechanical technique in the production of these plultres: they must have had a considerable market, but they certainly are not the worst that the Atharran has preserved. Closely related with these philtres is another group aiming at the discomfiture or destruction of rivals in love, or co-wives (safatnil adhana, Rvidh. 4. 12. 1, 3). Two hymns of this sort are found RV. 10 145 (AV. 3. 18; ApMB. 1. 15); and 10. 159 (ApMB, 1, 16)8. The Atharvan has-1, 14 (misunderstood by the earlier interpreters as a marriage hymn?); 3. 18; 7. 35; 113; and 114; with herce denunciation and uncanny practices one woman endeavors to rob another of her attractiveness, her bhaga (luck in love), or her fecundity. Still more drastic are two charms to deprive men of their virility, 6, 138 and 7. 90: 'O herb, turn this man for me to-day into a cunuch that wears his hair dressed . . ., that wears a hood! Then Indra with a pair of stones shall break his testicles both! . . . A hood upon his head and a hair-net do we place' (6. 138). The converse of the preceding hymns are the charms to allay jealousy, aiming to quench the fire, the heart-burning of love: 6.18; 7.45; and 7.74.3. To the domain of romantic love belongs also 6.77, a charm to cause the return of a truant woman, furnished with significant symbolie tractices, Kaus. 36. 5-9; and 4. 5, a sleeping-charm preparatory to an assignation, working up (perhaps with secondary adaptation) a number of stanzas of RV. 7. 55.

The remaining hymns of this class belong to the calmer sphere of conjugal life. The charm 1. 18 (cp. 7. 115) aims to remove evil bodily characteristics and to drive out Arāti from a woman, apparently that she may afterwards bear offspring (st. 1d). These evil characteristics suggest those that are to be avoided when choosing a bride, in the Grhya-sūtras and Dharmaśāstras 10: the present hymn seems to be in the nature of a prāyaściita calculated to render such a woman fit for marriage. Next come the so-called talizedanāni, 'charms to obtam a husband (or wife)': 2. 36; 6. 60; and 6.82. Here the serene, auspicious gods Aryaman and Bhaga figure especially: the coloring is that of the wedding-stanzas and ceremonies Similar is the position of 6, 78, a blessing upon a married (royal) couple; of 7, 37, a stanza in which the bride says to the bridegroom. I envelop thee in my garment that was produced by Manu, that thou shalt be mine alone, shalt not even discourse of other women"; and 7. 36, a love-charm spoken by the bridal couple: "The eyes of us two shine like honey, our foreheads gleam like ointment. Place me within thy heart, may one mind be in common to us!" Finally the post-marital interests of conception, child-birth, and child life are represented by a sufficient number of hymns they are in close touch with the corresponding samskaras of the Grhya sutras, which reproduce with more or less variation a considerable number of the stanzas of these hymns. The four lunar divinities Anumati, Rākā, Smivāli, and Kubū are invoked with great predilection; Prajapati, Trastar, Dhatar figure trequently. The garbhadhana is represented by the robust epithalamium 5 25, and by the more peculiarly Atharvanic charm 6. St, in which a bracelet, 'a holder', called parihasta, is invoked to secure conception 'The bracelet that Adıtı wore, when she desired a son, god Tvastar shall fasten upon this woman, intending that she shall bear a son'. To obviate sterility Kaus 34 t ff. prescribes a practice centering about AV. 1. 32, an original cosmic hymn in praise of heaven, earth, and especially the atmosphere (antank a) the relation of the hymn to the practice is obscure. The protection of the embryo in the womb, i. e., the prevention of miscarriage, is secured by the recital of 6 17, along with 5. 1. 1, and the hymn contained in Kaus 98 211 The elaborate conjuration of evil demons with the greatest variety of unheard of names, 8 6, is used, Kaus, 35.20, in a rite which Kesava describes is the simanta-karma 12. Charms to secure the birth of a son (pumsarana) are 3. 23, 6. 11, 7. 17, and 7. 19. A clap-trap god Sūṣan, made from the root su 'beget' atter the pattern of Pusan, is invoked in the lively hymn 1.11 to secure safe delivery it belongs to the sphere of the soyanti-karma, as it is called in the Grhya-sutras and elsewhere. If the child is born under an unlucky star the expiatory charm 6.110 is recited: "Him that hath been born under (the constellation) yetha-Shiii ('she that slays the oldest'), or under the tiertau (they that uproot'), sive thou (O Agni) from being torn up by the root by Yama . . . On a tigerlike day the hero was born . . Let him not slay, when he grows up, his father, let him not injure the mother that hath begotten hims. Finally the appearance, perhaps the irregular appearance, of the first pair of teeth the two tigers' calls for the expiatory hymn, 6. 140.

18 V. 247. — 7 N.E. M.H. 350. — * They are employed A₃G. 3, 0, 6 and 0. 5 See, Seven Hymno, Allh. VII. 427.—6; SDF. M.H. 35 ff. — 12 AG. 1, 5; SG. 1, 5, 5 ff. Kash. 37, 7 ff. 5 GG. 2, 1, 1 ff. 3, 6, 7 ff. 1; H.G. 1, 1) 4 ff.; Ghystan graha. 2 11—23 (afradslands). Manu 3, 4 ff. Yaplan, 1, 52. Cp. Heys, IS. V. 288; Bloomitti, ZDMG, XXXV, 573; Wixtexita, AWW., 1892, vol. Nl. 33 ff. 285; Bloomitti, ZDMG, XXXV, 573; Wixtexita, AWW., 1892, vol. Nl. 33 ff. Hinterson, Rhushlitterson, p. 64.—11 Their hymro are called parthastrikariae, Kaul. 35, 12; the one whose grantal is agart (haus 98, 2) is stad by Darils to be from another 1343 (1344 matrianilland); we may expect it in AVI. Cp. 5G· 1, 21; AG. 1, 13, 1, —12 Witter, 18 5, 23 ff., 11 powto, Der Roy-Veda, III. (5, 35 ff.) Havas, Les Livres VIII et IX, p. 17, 24 ff.—13 See SEE M.H. 09, 242 ff.

\$ 54. Class 53. Charms to secure harmony, influence in the assembly, and the like (sammanas) ani, etc.). - The present class of hymns' exhibits many points of contact with the preceding, differing from it most conspicuously by the absence of the element of romantic, sexual love. The boundary between the two classes is hard to cet; a conciliatory charm like 1, 34 may be, and is employed to secure the love of a person of the opposite sex (Kaus, 76, 8, 9, 79, 10), or it may be recited by one who wishes to gain the ear of the sabha, the village assembly (Kaus, 38, 17). Or, the hymn 6.42 aims to appease anger without specifying the enraged person (cp. HG. 1, 15, 3); it may however have been constructed originally to end the conflict between a woman and her husband or paramour (Kaus. 36, 28-31) The later tradition harbors both classes of charms and practices within the terms hrdva, samtanana, and rafikarana (see above, \$ 53), blending the two in its literary presentations?. The Stauta-texts are also acquainted with practices of this sort which figure among the kāmya istayah; e. g. SS. 3. 6. 1, and the so-called mitratinda, 55, 3, 7; AS, 2, 11; KS, 5, 12, 13. The keynote of the present class is harmony (sammanas, sammanasya, saumanasa, samjaana), harmony, of course, from the point of view of the person practising the charm; harmony that agrees with his personal views and desires, and leaves him in the position of arbiter and superior. The Brahmana-story of Cyavana the Bhargava, or Angerasa, vividly portrays family dissensions as a curse 'Father fought with son, and brother with brother'. 'Then neither did mother know son, nor son mother's. Quarrels in the family (kule kalahini; jatraitat kulam kalahi bhavati) are regarded as portentous, the family has been attacked by Nirth they require elaborate expiation. This, then, is the theme of one group of hymns in this class: the stilling of wrath and discord, and the establishment of harmony between contending persons or parties. The other group, more subjectively, aims at success, prominence, or superiority in social and political life: favor among men, influence in the assembly, success in debate, and subordination to one's will. None of these themes are entirely unknown in Vedic literature in general, but nowhere are they treated as prominently or distinctly as in the Atharvan. The RV, has two hymns of this sort, 10. 166 and 191 (cp. RV. Khila 10. 191); similar stanzas occur MS. 2. 6. 6; TB. 2. 4. 4. 4. ff.; cp. also VS. 7. 25; SB. 4. 2. 4. 23-Practices bearing upon such hymns are described Kaus, 12. 5 ff.; 38. 12 ff.; 97. 1 ff.; HG. 1. 13. 19 ff.; 15. 2 ff.; ApG. 8, 22. 19 ff.; Svidh. 2. 5; Rvidh 4. 20. 3; 24. 4

Two hymns, 6 42 and 43, are constructed to appease anger; the latter charm is reinforced by the use of darkbargnas, which for the nonce wears the tule 'appeaser of wrath' (mony utamana). They are the converse of the two hymns 4, 31 and 32 (~ kV. 10, 84 and 83) in which Wrath personified is conjured to destroy enemies? Tour hymns, 6, 64; 73; 74; 75, allay disord, suspend strife and bloodshed. Especially fervent and picturesque is the appeal for harmony in the family, 3, 30: 'Unity of heart, and unity of

bespoken for a king, 6, 39, as also for the Brahmans themselves (6, 58; 69). And 6.61 seems to be a piece of self glorification on the part of a king (or Brahman): it sounds as though it were adapted from, or patterned after a hymn representing Indra engaged in self-praise; but it does not occur elsewhere. The hymns to Rohita and Rohini (book 13) are at least related to the present class; they represent this pair of divinities allegorically as king and queen (see below, \$61), and the terms in which they are evalted reflect the praise and adulation of terrestrial monarchs. The ritual connected with the royal hymns, as also the rajasuya in the Srauta-texts, represents the king and his Purohita in mutual interdependence in: without successful purohiti there can be no sound ksatra. With pardonable self-consciousness, considering the temper of the times, the Purohita assumes responsibility for the king's success in 3, 19: 'Keen (sharpened) is this prayer of mine, keen my manly force and strength; keen therefore shall be the rule, and imperishable, of him whose victorious Purohita I am'. Similarly the stanza 7, 16. In humbler spirit the prose liting 5, 24 contains prayers addressed to the pantheon for success in the function of Purohita; and 19. 63 (RV. 1. 40. 1) is adapted as an exhortation addressed to a Purohita (Brahmanispati), to captivate the gods and

make powerful the king (yajamāna).

In their extent and vigor, and in their eager exploitation of details elsewhere unknown, the war and battle charms of the Atharvan constitute also a decided peculiarity of that Veda". The armunent of weapons, snares, traps, nets12, and the stench produced by a rotten rope burned in the fire, in order to bewilder and bring to full the enemy (8. 8), bear the earmarks of the Veda of the ghoram. The battle-charms appear generally in pairs, or groups of three: 1. 19 is a charm against arrow-wounds, followed by 1. 20 and 21, battle charms in general. The group 6, 65-67 is of similar import; in the first two the words nirhasta and nairhasta figure prominently handless the scheming enemy shall be, his arms shall be broken. Still other groups are 3. 1, 2, battle songs addressed to Agni to confuse the enemy, 4. 31, 32 (RV. 10. 84, 83) addressed to Manyu, 'Wrath', as the victorious spirit of battle (cp. 6. 65); 6. 97-99, prayers of the king to Agni, Indra, &c. upon the eve of battle; 6. 103-104 charms to paralyse the enemy (samdana, adana). Especially fierce and prolongued is the attack upon the foe undertaken with 11 9 and 10, addressed to the battle demons Arbudi, Narbudi, and Trisamdhi, who are regurded as allies of Indra. The god Frisamdhi, Threejoints, is evidently a personnecation of the three pointed bolt of Indra (11 10.3); Arbudi and Nyarbudi are pretty certainly derived from the myth of the demon Arbuda, the cloud-serpent, whom Indra slays Nyarbuda seems to owe its intrinsically meaningless prefix m to verbal juxtapositions such as, ny arbudam retreshano attah, RV. 2. 11. 2019. HENRY regards Arbuda as originally a mythic double of Indra; we may preferably suppose that the friendly relation of Indra and these secondary precipitates from Arbuda are due to a later view of Arbudi and Nyarbudi as serpent-gods who are by these very terms auspicious. The eather import of the myth has passed out of sight. Another pair of hymns, 5,20 and 21, representing the better grade of Atharvanic hterary endeavor, are addressed to the battle-drum, the terror of the enemy: When the wife of the enemy hears the voice of the drum that speaks to a far distance, may she, starting up at the sound, distressed, snatch ber child to her arms and run, frightened at the clish of arms'. Cp also 6, 126 (RV. 6. 47. 29-31). Still other specialties are dealt with in 19. 20, the prayer of a king while putting on his armor (cp. the st. 7. 118 = RV. 6, 75, 18); in 6, 125 (RV. 6, 47, 26-28) and 7, 3, prayers of a king as he mounts he war-chariot. Finally there belong to this class the Atharvan version of the famous afratiratha-sikka, 19. 13 (RV. 10 103), and the hymns more vaguely redolent of war, 6. 13; 7. 86; 91; 92; 93; 108; and 110.

1 See above, \$26, SED. M.H., p. M. note, 11 — 2 Above, \$33; SBL. M.H., p. NNH, 181f.— 1 Weter, 5-P.W., 1891, p. 755—7; ATAW., 1895, p. 4.25 note, 143; Bloowritto, SBE. M.H., p. NNH; above, p. 10. — 4 SBH. X.H., p. xvf., 1800, p. 10. — 4 SBH. X.H., p. xvf., 1801, p. 1806. p. 1806. p. 1807. p. 4.25 note, 183; Bloowritto, SBE. M.H., p. Nytl., 1857. Cp. the rigarage-registrous, Kauc, p. 290, note 7. — 9 Cp. also the Parisiga like in the mendational, Kauchter, Angeley, 1801, p. 1807. p. 185 ft. Cp. the rigarage-registrous, Kauc, p. 290, note 7. — 9 Cp. also the Parisiga like in the mendational, Kauchter, 1801, p. Nytl., 1 — 5 See X. V. 10. 123, and cp. Lowner, ibid, p. 250; Zhutta, 165 ft.; SBL XIII, 231, — 18 Kart., 17. 6, 7; Werrs, Rayanja (APAW., 1893); p. 4, 140—143. — 4 The Kwisha designates the battle charms as âmprâmbare 14. 17) special varieties are known as aforméanian (14, 14), and exchanic (14, 17). The Gauss ally, Ath. Paris, § 13, presents in the optimizary gray a similar collection. Cp. vielb 3. 6, and the terms awhere and tenticulakan in Tantric tents: Willer, Verl. 1, 270, with AV. & 5 5–8. — 2 Cp. also Kv. 1, 51, 6; z. 14, 4; 8, 5z. 3. — 4 SBL. M.H. AV. (25) ft. H.F.X. Je 11, p. 104. ft.

\$ 56. Class 7). Prayers and imprecations in the interest of Brahmans. - The claims and prerogatives of the Brahmans' have reached in the AV, their highest pitch, excepting that the hyperbolic amounts of live stock and other property, reputed to have been given them as daksing in the danastutis, nardiaristab, and the mudental reports of the Stanta-literature, is restricted within more reasonable bounds in the numerous dal and-hymns of the AV. The Brahmans bestow upon themselves freely the epithet dat 'god', which is after all perhaps, at least in the beginning, nothing more thin a bit of ankward logic, derived from the perception that the sicrifice and the triestly reward inti-parture) are both sauctified acts which therefore must each address themselves to a dir ne being. They are further fully conscrous of being in charge by virtue of their function as Purohitas of the sovereighty of kings and the safety of countries, in addition, all blessings of the I mate fook flow from the Prabmans as the middle men between the human race and the gods. The social and economic conditions of the Bral manas in the AV, are very much the same as those elicited from statements in the brighted terature such as have been gathered by Willie in his 'Collectanes on the Cotes, IS, X. 1-160. Especially pronounced is their claim of 'inviolability' (any mata, alachinata') and the still exorbitant demand of dakund, moderate as it seems compared with the less of the danastudis. The Athorvanic element appears in the former class in the shape of imprecations, fierce beyond anything known elsewhere in the literature; oppression and murder of lital mans is the sin against the holy thoir. The lymns describing the be towal of dolored are quite unit et the performances are treated, sometimes at great length, as independent sociateial nots del reared with the mo t purs-taking detail, they are correlated with all that is hely or mystic in rature and therecastic speculation threatmen. This is one of the marked specialies of these hymrs; when a goat total is the first de rélatarer of a deduced it is both goat and the divine his Chapad; when a weer, it is both samical animal and darre steer foradrons. Thus it is different in individual saves to decide whether a theorophic chain — often a jumble — of states ments has been a layed to the dol fra, or wheter the daleien is the or; and theme, he on merely decked out with the farm an mock profundnes of the 1-25- 1.

The involationy of Endinans and their belongings in the subject of four of the rest and in hymra of the AV. (5. 12-19, 12. 3). The free of these

occurs fragmentarily in RV.10.109, which presents only 7 stanzas, but occurs in a position in the RV, reduction pointing to a fuller version of 11 sts., perhaps identical with the first 11 sts. of AV. The last 7 sts. of AV, may therefore, as also for intrinsic reasons, be regarded as later than the first II's. The entire hymn is an imprecation against the violator of the wife of a Brahman: kings or arrogant nobles (sts 3, 10) seem to be the culprits in the mind of the poet. Even king Soma ceded his mythical prerogative as the first husband of every woman in favor of the Brahmans, the gods themselves conducted the wedding ceremonies. Woe betide the king and country where a Brahman's spouse is injured. Prosperity vanishes, children are not born, portentous occurrences terrify the people. The other three hymns? are directed against the oppressors of Brahmans, especially against those that rob (withhold) their cattles: 'That kingdom verily she (the cow) swamps, as water a leaking ship; misfortune smites that kingdom in which they injure a Brahmana. The trees chase away with the words: "do not come within our shade", him that covets the wealth that belongs to a Brahmana, O Narada' (5. 19. 8, 9). The vials of the Brahman's wrath are not thus emptied; fiercely they consign their oppressor to death, accentuating their theme by suggestive references to the harrowing details of the funeral-practices: 'The kudi-plant that wipes away the track (of death)9, which they fasten to the dead, that very one, O oppressor of Brahmans, the gods did declare thy couch' ... "The water with which they bathe the dead, with which they moisten his beard, that very water, O oppressor of Brahmans, the gods did assign to thee as thy share of water' (5. 19. 12, 14).

On the positive side the Brahmans assert the importance and power of the furchiti (3. 19; 5. 24, 7. 16, 19. 63, above, p. 75) But above all the AV., as almost every other phase of Vedic hterature;, pleads not at all shame-facedly but with the wholesome courage of its convictions for the perquisites of the Brahmans. The danastutt which narrates fabulous feats of giving, doubtless by way of stimulus to future givers, is to be sure almost entirely absent". In this matter the attitude of the Atharvan is rather prescriptive or didactic than quasi-historical. Or, the witchery of magic formula and prayer is applied, to exorcise avarice and conjure liberality. The pretty hymn 5. 7, addressed to the demon 'Grudge' (aritt) cycles her, though she be 'golden-complexioned, lovely, resting upon golden cushions', quite an Apsaras or 'schoene Teufelinne' in her fascinations, to go away. Instead, 'him whom I implore with Vac Sarawati (holy speech), the yoke-fellow of thought, Staddha (fault that expresses itself in works, so in dakund) shall find to-day, bestowed by the brown Soma (st 5) 1. The same result is craved in the following rather plaintine ejaculation, showing that even a Brahman might be χρησισσίνη τ είκον και στορτρή πίνη. What king, desirous of more possessions, will get us out of this wretched misery? Who is desirous of sacrifice, and who of (getting the heavenly reward of) presents (to the Brahmans)? Who seeks for long life from the gods? (7, 103; cp. 7, 104) 13. Within this sphere belongs also 5, 11, a conversation between Varuna and Atharian (the typical priest) about a wonderful cow bestowed by the former upon the latter. Varuna tries to take it back, but is induced by Atharvan to desist. Aside from the regular dakting the thrifty Brahmans stood ready to gather in all sorts of odds and ends. Thus the birth of tun-calves (3, 28), contrary to modern superstition which regards animals born in pairs as auspicious, is made to appear as a portentious occurrence requiring expiation, part of which consists in making over 'the growing and cross cow that injureth the cattle' to the Brahmans 15. A cow which after a certain time is discovered to be sterile (tafa) is viewed in a similar light: the long anustubhhymn 12.4 recounts in picturesque language, accompanied by fierce threats, urgent reasons for passing her on to the Brahmans whom nothing hurts. But the brunt of the Atharvan's activity in this line is directed towards the composition of elaborate hymns which are obviously intended to accompany the bestowal of substantial daksinas, and, what is more singular, to elevate the ceremonies connected therewith to the position of independent sacral acts of great formality and dignity. These daktinas are designated by Kausika as sata (sarán da 'to give sa:as') - the word sata in this sense does not occur in the text of the Samhita; see, however, RV. 1. 126. 1. The Stantatexts are acquainted with savas which are accounted as forms of the ekaha; they are solemn consecrations (abhischa) for special ceremonies of considerable variety. TB. 2. 7 recounts seven of these, one being styled odanasava (2.7.7), performed by an annud akama: Kauśika specializes this idea altogether in the direction of the bestowal of daksina, devoting to his sacas the entire eighth adhyaya. Two versions of the savas are there presented, one 60-66, the other in the nature of a Parisista, 67-68. Both the sacrificer and his wife (fatnI) participate in the ceremonious details of these performances as Lartarau; special utensils (savikah sambharah, 67. 1) are employed Kesava, p. 364, summarizes these saras, stating their number as 22. The most pronounced type of these appears in the two hymns 11.1 and 12.3 which Kes. designates respectively as brahmaudana and svargaudana. They represent the stanzas chanted at a solemn combination of a soma-sacrifice with the preparation of porridge for the Brahmans' dakura. Presents of gold and clothes 11. 1. 28; 12 3. 51) are also hinted at; nevertheless there is something real and homely about these performances in which the wife takes part, and which are spoken of (11.1.19) as a practice of long standing in the family: 'Grandfathers, fathers, children, grandchildren - I am the fifteenth that did cook thee (O porridge)" They are far removed from the suspicious bluster of the danastuti. Albed to these is 11. 3, an allegoric liturgic exaltation of the edana, in Brahmann-prose in sts. 50 ff. the porridge is assimilated to the sun. A goat (oja, assimilated, as a matter of course, to Aja Ekapad), garnished with five edanas (aja fai.caudana) is the subject of 9.5 (cp. 4. 14); a con. accompanied by a hundred odanas (Sataudana), similarly correlated with the pantheistic heavenly cow, is bestowed in 10. 9. An edana whose main motive is escape from death (ati tarari mitjum)" is dealt with in 4. 35; another, prejated with succulent sauces and called ristarin spreader, is described in mystic cosmogonic language as conducive to happiness in the other world. And 6.123 also represents explicitly the doctrine that presents to the Brahmans are a treasure laid up in leaven. Many of these saras centre around an animal: 10, 10 a rada; 9 4 an realha, assimilated to the various celestial bulls (Robita, Indrs, Tvasjar, etc.); 4. 11 (adayted secondarily) an anagran; 7. 22, corruit and unintelligible formulas occurring elsewhere's, a go-sara; 6. 31. a huma to the rising sun (gauh frinih), a frini-sata; 3 29. 1-6 an arisara, followed in sts. 7, 8 by a grayatcitta to explate for its acceptance (18. 10. 55); 6. 30. 1, in Traise of grain (1010) mixed with honey, is called faunalisila madhumantha (Kaus. 66, 15) Kerma's list of 22 satas exhibits jet other hymns and stanzas recited, at times quite secondarily, in connection with dakurd: e.g. 1. 31, 2 frayer to the regions of space (afth) for health and prosperty, at a cataliara a sara (Kans. 64. 1). Decidedly the most interesting of all these daks na- is depicted in n. 3 (faldiara); a house is gradually taken apart, like a lving thing it is handled very gargerly dering the process; then it is made over to the Lrahman who receives it was rolemn prayers for his own future well-being in it, and finally takes it to his own place of residence 19. Of importance also is the distinct presence of the notion, more or less like those of the Dharmacistras etc, that the glif of water to Brahmans, either independently, or as part of a more substantial dakijinā, is a holy act: 6. 122 (10. 9. 27; 11. 1. 17, 27) 30. The occasional squeamishness of the Brahmans which arises from the feeling that they are too much on the make, or are receiving improper gifts, gains expression in the explatory prayer 6. 71, or in the formulas 3. 29. 7, 8; ep Weber's collections, IS.

10. 55 ff. The chapter of the greed and self-assertion of the Brahmans is relieved considerably here, as throughout Brahmanical literature, by opposite currents of thought and feeling The redemptory note of their character is their consciousness of noblesse oblige. A Brahman must be well-regarded among men and therefore well-qualified for his holy office. Two prayers (6, 58 and 69) signalize their craving for the esteem of men. To gain this end they must be wise, illumined, spiritually pure, firmly rooted in holy learning. Very properly then the famous hymn aham rudrebhir, in praise of Vac, 4. 30 (RV. 10. 125), even in modern times one of the mainstays of the sammiasin, is employed in a rite to beget wisdom, or at the study of the Vedas 22. More directly 6, 108 (cp. RVKh. 10, 151) is an explicit prayer for medha, 'wisdom'; 19. 4 for akūti 'perception'; 19. 41—43 are prayers for brahma 'spiritual elevation', and dikṣā 'consecration'; and 19. 64 is a prayer for wisdom and other more worldly advantages on the part of a Brahmacarın as he builds the fire. Related with these, though of a more general character, are three prayers for purification, 6. 19, 51; and 62. Devotion to Vedic learning 13 is at the base of such compositions as 7, 105 and 19, 68, stanzas introductory to the teaching of the Veda, 7. 54 and 7. 61, prayers for success in the study of the Veda; 7.66 and 67, prayers for regaining holy learning, and 19 71, the praise of the gapatri 3. Cp. also the very late matter 19. 21-23 (§ 37, note 14). The opening hymn of the Samhta (1. 1) is a prayer to Vacaspati, the 'lord of speech', for comprehension and retention of the truta, the holy learning following in the Samhita: 'the thrice seven (sounds or syllables) wearing all forms, their powers the lord of speech shall make mine to day'rs, A similar stanza at the end of book 19 (72) contains a blessing at the conclusion of this Veda, confirming the theory of the late and secondary character of book 20 (\$ 35).

arundhati for the protection of cattle. The three sts. 4. 38. 5-7, designated, Kaus. 21. 11, as karkīpravādāh (sc. reah), according to the scholiast ensure the prosperity of cattle: we have interpreted them more particularly as a prayer to secure the return of calves that have strayed to a distance?. Of special interest are 6. 70, a charm to secure the attachment of a cow to her calf; 6. 141, a prayer pronounced while marking the ears of cattle (cp. 12. 4. 6)10; and 4. 3, a very forceful shepherds, charm against wild beasts and robbers (tiger, man, wolf, and serpent). The time-honored love for racing gains expression in 6.92, a charm to endow a horse with fleetness: the stanzas seem to have originated in connection with the vājapeya-ceremony11; similarly perhaps 19, 25. Trading and travelling are closely alhed: 3, 15 is a lively merchants prayer to 'Indra the merchant' for a safe journey and abundant gain; 6. 55; 7. 8; and 7. 55 are stanz is adapted as prayers for a safe journey; and the rather indefinable mixtum compositum 5.6 seems to be an oracle to find out whether one will survive a journey 12. On returning from a journey there is the prayer, 7. 60, to the house, to remove from it fear of the owner, and to make it prosperous 13. Of especial interest is 6. 128, the propitiation of the weather prophet who predicts the weather for a person about to start on a journey (Kaus, 50, 15, 16): 'When the stars made Sakadhuma (he who predicts the weather from the smoke of burning dung) their king, they bestowed good weather upon him: "This shall be his dominion", they said'. Whether Sakadhuma is really originally the human weather-prophet or some mythical conception is not to be made out with certainty 1. The special danger besetting both traveller and householder in India are serpents: 6.56 seems to be an exorcism of serpents from the premises; whereas the so-called dig yukte (sc. sukte), 3, 26 and 27, seem to have in mind the danger from screents when abroad, as they mention all directions of the compass 15. Especially noteworthy is 10 4, a long charm against serpents, invoking the white horse of Pedu, probably the horse of the sun is, in practice an insect that has come by the name of Paidva is substituted for the unattainable horse (Kaus. 32 20 ft.) The hymn 7.9, mixed stanzas addressed to Pū, and, makes up a charm for finding lost property, and there are finally three or four prayers for success in gambling at dice, 4. 38. 1-4, 7.50; 7.109, and perhaps also 2. 2, addressed especially to Apsara (Apsaras) who otherwise also bears an unsavory reputation, the passion for gambling is quite as herce as it is depicted in the classical complaint of the gambler who would reform, RV. 10. 34-

who would retorm, K.V. 10, 34.

The remaining hymns of this class are more general in character, divisible into two classes: one designed to procure health, wealth, and prosperity; the other to ward off danger and calamity. Three hymns, 1.15, 2.26, and 19.1, other to ward off danger and calamity. Three hymns, 1.15, 2.26, and 19.1, other to ward off danger and calamity start, an oblation which secures the centre about the so-called staminary start, an oblation which secures the reflection of all possible wealth? (see § 66, end.), the hymns 4 133; flowing together of all possible wealth? (see § 66, end.), the hymns 4 130; however to the skenown as samuati's, are designed to hend the heavenly powers to one's known as samuati's, are designed to hend the heavenly powers to one's congae personally in procuring prosperity, 1.31 is a prayer to the regions of space for health and prosperity, 1.32 and 6, 10 are comme stancas or of space for health and prosperity, 1.32 and 6, 10 are comme stancas or diressed to Agni, are morning prayers for prosperity; similar is the purpose of dressed to Agni, are morning prayers for prosperity; similar is the purpose of 19, 55. The undembark-tree furnishes an anualet to secure prosperity, 19, 31.

The members of the Vedic pantheon are called upon individually to timrish the prosperity, the stances frequently coinciding with those of other texts, with the presumption in favor of their origin outside of the AV: Indra 19, 5

(RV. 7, 27, 3); Agni; in 7, 82 and 19. 3 (TB. 1, 2. 1, 21 ff.); Agni Vais anara in 6, 35 and 36 (AS, 8, 11, 4; SS, 10, 9, 17; SV. 2, 1058—60); Saviar in 6, 1 (AS, 8, 1, 18), 7, 14 (SV. 1, 464 ff.), and 7, 15 (MS, 2, 10, 6); Usas in 19, 12 (RV. 10, 172, 4), and 6, 17, 15.); Sarasvati in 7, 68 (MS, 4, 12, 6); Sarasvati in 7, 40 (TS, 3, 1, 11, 3); the divine eagle in 7, 39 (RV. 1, 164, 52); Tärksya Aristanemi in 7, 85 (RV. 10, 178, 1); the devaphanjah in 7, 49 (RV. 5, 40, 7, 8). Especially the personified phases of the moon, amatwaya, fauramati, darfa (7, 79—81), and the female genii of these phases, Anumati (7, 20), Smixuli (7, 40), Kuhū (7, 47), Rakū (7, 48) 2°, are implored for wealth and, especially, offspring; the very late hymns 19, 7, 8 engage the faxo of the constellations (nafatra) 3°; finally the hymn 3-10 to Ekziyaki, a personified lunar day near the beginning of the year? yiewed as the wife, or epitomized equivalent of the year, is implored to make the year prosperous. The hymns designed to ward off calamity and danger exhibit many

points of contact with the charms against witchcraft, as well as with the battle-charms, sorcery and war being conspicuous sources of danger. Of these the most notable are the group 4.23-29, known as the mrgarant, or mrgarasūktāni23. They were obviously compiled by the Atharvanist for purification and against misfortune: the refrain contains throughout the expression muc amhasah 'release from misfortune'. The hymns are a curious expansion of the so-called mrgarests of the Yajus-texts24, to which they are unquestionably secondary (see \$ 45) The first and the last stanzas of most of these hymns are derived from the Yajus; the intervening stanzas are padding. Only 4, 28 does not seem to occur in the Yajus at all; the theme, prayer to Bhava and Sarva, marks it as Athanianic by distinction (cp. 11. 2): these gods were not to be omitted from such an appeal to the pantheon. Note also the contents: krtyakrt and mūlakit in st. 6, kimīdin in st. 7. Very similar to the mrgarāni, and having the same refrain, is 11.6, a prayer for deliverance from calamity, addressed to the pantheon, the clear and fairly complete list of divinities, very much on the plain of the Yajus-texts, imparts to the hymn its chief interest; cp. also 1. 26, 6. 3, 4, 7; 7. 112. The divinity to whom this class of prayers is addressed most frequently is Rudra (Siva-Agni), under the large variety of names of embodiments (murti) customary with that divinity 25. In addition to 4.28 the long prayer 11.2, to Bhava and Sarva, two of the embodiments of Rudra, is conspicuous for its intensity; cp. also 6.93 and 7.87. Other divinities and personifications are occasionally appealed to individually: Agni Samtapana in 6, 76, personified Death by weapons wielded by Brahmans (deva), Ksatriyas, Vaisyas, etc. in 6. 1323; Soma, the slayer of demons, in 6.7; Adut, the universe, and therefore the universal protector, in 7.6 (cp. 7.7); Night, the protector against the robbers, wild animals, and demons that infest her, in the late hymns 19. 47-49 (cp. RV. 10. 127); and the shallow though characteristic personifications Visvajit, Trayamana, Kalyani, and Sarvavid in the prose-formulas 6, 107. In the manner of the mrgara-hymns, which accentuate the idea of release from calamity (muc amhasah) 27, other hymns employ other catch-words to gain similar results: the word abhasa 'freedom from danger'28 is the keynote of 6.40; 19.14 and 15 (followed by similar trushy matter in 19, 17-19); the hymn 4. 33 (RV. 1. 97, et al.) with its refram, apa nah sosucad agham, the so-called apagha-hymn2, figures as a matter of course; the prose-formulas 5. 10 harp upon the word asmavarma 'protection firm as stone', being exploited practically in that sense Kaus. 5. 14 30. Finally there is to be mentioned the singular charm 1, 27 in which danger is warded off by an amulet made from the skin of a moulting serpent, the serpents in this condition symbolizing the powerless enemy. The annulet is homocopathic, as it were. The hymns to the waters (see § 50, end), though very general in character, are also in close touch with this class.

See the list of the Admy3 utaya4 in Wyfer's edition of the TS, vol. II, p. 343; OLDTWIRG, SBIE. XXX, p. 303 ff., 306. Cp. Meyer, Rigardidham, Interf., p. 413; Vol. 3, 1 ff. − 2 Cp. Hiller gravor, Riugal-Literatur, p. 169. − JSBIE. MII, p. 140−162, and the notes on these hymns. − 4 See OLDTWIRGO VERDING, and the notes on these hymns. − 4 See OLDTWIRGO VERDING, p. 450.
 SBIE. XXI, p. 203, bottom; cp. HILLI RIVET, 1 c. p. 80 − 5 Intermed. The property of the College of the

\$ 58. Class 9). Charms in expiation of sin and defilement (prayascittani). - The Hindu conceptions of sin are very complex. Not only is sin an individual, conscious act in violation of the laws of the gods and the universe, but it exists as an independent morbid substance or entity which may fasten itself upon man without his conscious participation, or at least without his choice or sanction. In this sense sin or evil, enas, papman, etc., assume the character of an almost physical deficiency or disease. Sin, too, is transferable from man to man, from father to son, yea from gods to men; the sin of the gods plays no mean part in endangering the peace of men': e g, m 6, 111. 3 mania is produced by sins of the gods. He who is seized by sin (papmagrhita) is deprived of his strength by Indra and held captive in Varuna's toils (TS. 2. 3. 13). Personal defects and mishaps, evil dreams, aspersion of one's character, ominous and portentous occurrences in the sphere within which one lives, or in nature about one, and, a forthore, irregularities and mishaps in the course of religious ceremonies are conceived as closely allied, and confluent with sin and personal offences; they all require expiation, correction, or cure: fragateuts or prayatruta? Neither of these words occur in the RV, the former only once in AV, 14, 1 30, but expiatory stanzas are common throughout the mantra-literature. They are supported moreover by countless practices in the Stanta-texts in connection with faults in the sacrifice, or in the kāmiā istajāh of persons attacked by sin, calumny, and misfortune³; in the extensive sections on prajateitta in the and, cauminy, and missions status, where they are not very common 6; and dharma-texts; in the Griya-sutras, where they are not very common 6; and in the Vidhana-texts. A special variety of prayatelita, namely those connected with omens and portents (adbhuta), has passed through a somewhat independent development, so as to assume the character of a special and extensive class 84 II, Litteratur u. Geschichte. 1B. Atharva-Veda and Gopatha-Brähmana.

of hterature; the most notable compositions of this sort are the 13th book of the Kausika and the so-called Adbhuta-Brāhmana (SB. 5)8.

More than 40 hymns of the AV, are devoted to this class of subjects ". quite a considerable part of them covering a single tract, 6. 110-121. It is worthy of note that these hymns, with the exception of 6. 114 and perhaps also 19. 59, do not expressly deal with prayascittas for defects in the sacrifice. The late Gopatha-Brahmana in whose view the fourth priest at a Vedic sacrifice, the so-called Brahman, must be an Atharvavedin, repeatedly assigns to him ex officio the correction of blunders in the ritual, the sandhana of what is virista10. Nevertheless prayascitta-mantras that pertain directly to the events of the ritual, such as those of Vait, 12, 8, 9; GB, 1, 2, 7, are presented by these texts in sakalatātha, and do not occur in the Samhita, which in this as in all other respects touches but lightly upon the sphere of the drauta-practices, although it does not fail entirely to take cognizance of them (see below \$ 60). That ritualistic prayascitta became later very prominent in the Atharian schools we may gather from the existence of the unpublished six prayascitta-chapters of the Vaitana 18. The largest number of these hymns address themselves in general terms to the expiation and consequent release from sin, frequently cataloguing a variety of misdemeanors, but not specifying what particular one it is that burdens the conscience; identical or similar stanzas frequently recur in the other Samhitas, most especially in TA, 2.3-6. Thus 6. 45 (cp. RV, 10, 164) is in expiation of mental delinquency (manaspāpa), 6. 26 is directed against pāpman, 'evil', personified as a 'thousandeyed immortal'; 6.115 against sin, this time called enas; 6.119 and 120 are prayers to Agni Vaisvanara for remission of sins and ultimate paradise; 6.121 aims at release from Varuna's fetters of sin. Three of these hymns 6, 117-119 harp upon the word rna 'debt', carrying with it the notion of debts incurred, but not paid, especially 6.118, addressed to the two Apsaras suggests gambling-debts. Another, 6, 116, exhibits a conscience afflicted by the sin of sorcery-practice, yady amam cakrur nikhanantah12. The boundary-line between sin and misfortune (nirrii) is evanescent hymns like 6, 63 and 84 do not differ much in spirit and diction from the preceding. Similarly curses from the outside and sin are correlated in 7.65 and 112, and the rather obscure stanza 7. 43, rubricated Kaus. 46. 1 in a practice to avert calumnious accusation, may also be of this sort. Also the general purificatory charms, 6. 19; 51; and 62 (above \$ 56, end), contain certain touches of expression which ally them to this sphere. Frequently, on the other hand, the fault requiring pravalentia is stated more or less clearly: 6. 114 seems to be an expiatory formula to correct imperfections in the sacrifice (cp. 19. 59); 7. 106 is a prayer to Agni to forgive sins due to forgetfulness (in religious matters); 19. 40 seems to be the prayascitta of one consecrated for the soma-sacrifice (diksita) who has commuted a disturbing fault (anger); 7.66 and 67 seem to be prayers for regaining one's holy learning (brahmanam), perhaps after it has been lost through some sin; 7. 102 is a prinascitta for urinating while standing erect, addressed to the offended deities (uno avr ishiou respanμένος δρθός δμιχείν, Hes. Erga, v. 727); cp. 13. 1. 56. The obscure mixtum compositum, 6. 49, is recited Kaus, 46, 14 by a Brahmacarin at the death of his teacher, whereas ApS. 14. 29. 3 puts the first two stanzas in the mouth of a diktita who has fallen from grace (yadi diktito 'yakird)13. That the receipt of gifts by the Brahmans is occasionally looked upon as an act requiring penance (3. 29. 7, 8; 6, 71; 7. 57) has been shown above (\$ 56). Related with these last is 2, 35 (TS. 3, 2, 8, 3; MS. 2, 3, 8), an expiatory hymn recited to atone for the sin of depriving them that are justly

entitled to it of their share of food. Thus in TS, at the sattra, when all participants are entitled to daksina, but do not receive it 4. See the stanza about the kevaladin whose food is in vain (mogham annam, TB. 2. 8. 8. 3), or sinful (agha, Manu 3. 118). In Kaus. 38. 22 the hymn is recited by one who eats alone in company, in defence against the evil eye of those that do not participate. The slaughter of cattle is constantly looked at askant by the Hindus; hence every bloody sacrifice is overlaid with the fiction that the proper execution of the animal can be performed without injury to it, and even with its consent 15. The hymn 2. 34 is in the nature of an atonement for a sacrifice in which the victim is conceived as in reality ransomed from death, and following the sinless sacrificer to heaven 16. One of the greatest crimes of ancient India, affecting both injurer and injured, is the precedence of the younger brother before the older on various solemn occasions in life, especially in the matter of marringe (pari vid). Two hymns, 6. 112 and 113, atone for this sort of sin, which, like sundry others, is looked upon as having originated with the gods who 'wiped it off' on their scapegoat, the god Trita, by whom it was in turn passed on to men 17. But, as stated before, the notion of expiation extends also to circum-

stances inherent or accidental which cannot and do not involve personal responsibility. Here expiation assumes the character of cure or correction of inauspicious conditions and accidents, naturally broaching upon the domain of the aja 'cure's. The conception of the goldess Nirth 'misfortune' (6.63 and 84) is founded largely upon these ideas 19. Thus 7, 115 is a charm for the removal of evil characteristics (fdf laksmi) and the acquisition of uspicious ones (fugys lakent), cp. 1, 18. The puzzling composite stanzas 6.30.2,3 are prescribed, Kaus 31.1, for one whose har has been cut by a fami and one who has evil characteristics (samilunapapalaksanayoh). St 2 seems to allude to drunkenness which might cover the ground of papalaksana, the lami would then appear in some way responsible for drunkenness, or perhaps merely the drunken dishevelled appearance of him whose hair has been cut with an instrument of fami. st. 3 seems to be addressed to such an instrument, used for hair cutting. It is implored not to harm the hair, in the manner in which the razor (keura) is elsewhere addressed (8, 2, 17). The juxtaposition of the two sts. may be merely redactorial, due to the occurrence of dami and koa in each their metres are different ". Monement for personal mishaps is the motive also of 6 110, a prajautta for a child born under an unlucky star, and 6.140 for the irregular appearance of the first pur of teeth (see \$ 53, end). Again the birth of thins or the appearance of a sterile cow in the herd (3, 28, 12, 4) require their own peculiar atonements (8 56): they belong to the domain of adchuta (omens and portents). Of this sort also are the so-called iskuna, charms against pigeons, owls, and other black birds whose appearance or contact torebodes evil, or defiles 6, 27-29 (RV, 10, 165), and 7, 64 s; and similarly 6, 124, recited by one who has been struck ominously from above (water from heaven, or fruit from a tree), cp. TS, 3, 1, 1, 2. Finally there belong here the charms against evil dreams, or nightmares, 6, 46; 7, 23, 100, 101, 16 5ff; 19, 56, 57, as also sundry structures (e.g. 10, 3, 3) scattered throughout the Sunhua.

kājmāydakama, TA, z. 3—6 These kājmāyda are used later to an enormous extent, especially in the dharma tests; e.g., Yas Dh. 22, 97 Vi; Dh. 86, 22; Gaut Dh. 19, 123 Baudh, Dh. 3, 10, 10; Mam 8, 106; Yajāna, 3, 304; Lagha Atri Dh. 24; Yaddha-Airi Dh. 3-11; Yaddha-Itarita Dh. 8-20, etc., ep. MG. 2, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27, 14-27

\$ 59. Class 10). Cosmogonic and theosophic hymns,-The presence in the AV. of a considerable number of cosmogonic-theosophic hymns, marking in a way the extreme distance from the ordinary witchcraft-formula, is not readily explained. The common village-practitioner is not likely to have had much use for them, and even the Purohita in his ordinary offices in the rajakarmani might well have dispensed with them. Though they occur for the most part, barring the opening-hymns of several of the first books (2.1; 4. 1, 2; 5. 1, 2; 7. 1, 2)2, in the books 8-19, yet there is no reason to regard them as late on the whole. Their greater length excluded them from the first seven books, in accordance with the requirements of the redactors. But it is not saying too much that some of them at least, say the Dirghatamas brahmodya, 9.9 and 10 (RV. 1. 164), were adopted at a time when the adherents of the atharvanah and angirasah had coquetted for some time with the notion that their true place in the Vedic economy was the brahma as a whole, in distinction from the individual Vedic types of the trayi within which there was for them no place. In other words, that consciousness which later led to the name Brahma-Veda for the Atharvan is likely to have been to a certain extent a stimulus productive of just such compositions as would tend to strengthen this consciousness still further3. But it would be a mistake to suppose that theosophic speculation is foreign to the Atharvan, and inorganic; or, that all hymns of this sort are loosely attached to the main body of its compositions. On the contrary, there is evidence that theosophic ideas and formulas had to some extent worked their way into the very tissue of its composition. The charm 4.19 with the apamarga-plant has for its sixth stanza: 'Non-being (asat) arose from the earth; that goes to heaven, (as) a great expansion. Thence, verily, that, spreading vapours, shall turn against the performer (of spells)!' The hymn 9, 2 presents in an ordinary witchcraft charm a personalized god Kāma as a destroyer of enemies, not very different

from Agni; yet this Kama is not to be separated entirely from that kama which is the 'first seed (product) of the mind' that came from 'the one', after it had sprung into existence through creative fervor (tapas): RV. 10.129.4 (cp. AV. 19. 52)4. Even more intricate is the blend of theosophic conceptions and material purposes in the hymns to Rohita (book 13): in the third hymn of this book the sun-principle is exalted in the best theosophic diction, often in the very formulas characteristic of this style, but the refrain exhibits the hymn in the service of the doctrine of the inviolability (ajsgati) of the Brahmans: Robita is here the wrathful god who punishes the persecutors of Brahmans (yo brahmanam jinati); they are the theme and object of his displeasure and wrath (agas, avalizua). Or, the first part of the first hynn (13. 1. 1-35) correlates consciously with obvious puns the terrestrial Robuta, i.e, the king, with the divine Rohita; the king and his queen (mahisi) are evalted allegorically to the position of Rohita and his female Rohini: the fusion of the two is so thorough going that it is at times difficult to decide which, the king or the god, is in the mind of the versifex. The attentive reader of the Atharvan will frequently encounter this transition from philosophy to sophistry: philosophical ideas gone to seed, half decomposed, mixed and coagulated, bandied about and transferred in the relentless desire to produce some magic effect, or to evalt some divinity or ritualistic object far beyond its proper sphere (e.g. 7, 20, 6; 18, 4, 5, 19, 33, 9). The most notable medium for the culture of these false and excessive philosophemata are, as has been hinted above (\$ 56), the numerous daksind or sava-hymns. Every animal offered as dakind is both itself and a cosmic power of the first rank; the gift of a goat, aja (4. 14; 9. 5) introduces Aja Ekapad with his mystic punning attributes; in 9.4 a bull (reabla) is evalted beyond bounds, he carries all forms in his body, 'he was at the beginning of things the counterpart of the waters' (sts. 1, 2); but after all it is naught but an ordinary sacrificial bull. Similarly the devoted tail, 10, 10, provokes metaphysical disquisitions so fleeting, disjointed, and unsteady, that it is a relief to reassure one's self with the aid of st. 1 that, it is after all a cow with hoofs and a tail. Here and there, as e. g., in hymn 4. 11, to the steer (anadian), doubt remains as to Seeds, as e.g., in hynn 4-11, to the steet remove and the seed hynn, or a sara (Kaus 66, 12). Smilarly, but even more boldly name, because unsupported by any kind of prior naturalistic conceptions, the brahmandana, the porradge cooked for the Brahmans, is made the vehicle of theosophy (4 34 and 35 cp. 11 3 21).

Brahmans, is made the vehicle of theosophy (4 34 and 35 cp. 11 3 21), or the supreme the brahmandana is pertly identified with the Ding an sich, or the supreme creative and sustaining principle (4.35,3.5). Within the sphere of conceptions arose the famous hymn 11.7, to the uchi in, the leavings of the Irahmandana', with its momentary symbolic transfer of the highest divine or pantheistic attributes to an intrinsically most trivial ritualistic circumstance, it is well to remember that another high sphere of thought, the ethical, has similarly been pressed into the service of ordinary concerns the noble hymn to Varuna, 4. 16, apparently quite original in the AV, is in reality a witcheraft charm, betraying its purpose in the gross curse at the end - in cauda tenenum. In general this class of hymns in the AV, is not devoid of a certain

In general this class of hymns in the AV, is not devore of a certain kind of originality and independence which solates them to a considerable with of originality and independence which solates them to a considerable well as from the theosophic mutras of the RV, and the other Samhals, as extent from the theosophic mutras of the Upanisads. It is not saying too much well as from the speculations of the Upanisads. It is not saying too much that the Atharvan hymns are characterized by an even greater degree of that the Atharvan hymns are characterized in the Atharvan and gaucherie; of most-profundity, and impotent garbiness, unsteadiness, and gaucherie; of most-profundity, and impotent garbiness, unsteadiness, and gaucherie; of most-profundity, and impotent garbiness, unsteadiness, and gaucherie; of most-profundity, and impotent garbiness.

these ideas: the important theosophic attributes are taken out of pigeonholes, as it were, and applied to the particular subject in hand, not without syncretism and awkward muddling. Occasionally the whole trick is to sub-stitute one name for another: one and the same pada and stanza is predicated in effect to different subjects of speculation. Cp. e.g. the Rohita-stanzas, AV. 13. 1. 45-55 with the Purusa-hymn, RV. 10. 90 = AV. 19. 6; or the even more literal equation, AV. 4. 11. 1 == 10. 7. 35. And these subjects have increased in number and variety. The AV, shares with the RV, the brahmodyastanzas of Dirghatamas, 9. 9, 10 (RV. 1. 164), which present in the form of theological charactes the doctrine of the essential oneness of the universe, of its laws, and also of the nature of the sacrifice; the division of the stanzas into two hymns corresponds in general with the division of the subject matter: the second part (AV. q. 10 = RV. 1. 164. 23 ff.) deals more directly with the relation of the sacrifice and its attributes (metres, priests, holy speech) to the universal order, whereas the first part deals with the manifestations of the universal one in the universe. The purusa hymn, RV. 10. 90, reappears here, AV. 19, 6 (as also in other texts), with some variations that ease the sense, and point to later handling8. Two independent Atharvan-hymns (10.2; 11.8) owe their origin at least in part to suggestions derived from the purusa-sūkta: 10. 2 is a mystic meditation, in the form of question (ka), of the origin, form, parts of the body, and biological character of the purusa, the primeval cosmic man, who is, of course, described in the terms of the natural man. The questions introducing the stem ka allude to Praispati-Brahman, and assimilate the hymn furthermore to the famous hiram agarbha-súkta, RV, 10, 121, of which the AV. also presents a poor version, 4. 2. Another even more mystic derivation of purusa in his double cosmic and human aspect is contained in 11. 8, the purusa is derived from a cosmic marriage in the manner of the wedding-hymn (sūrya-sūkta, AV. 14 = RV. 10.85), but all the parties concerned are psychological and religious forces: Manyu ('Will') leads Akūti ('Intellect') from the house of Samkalpa ('Determination'); Tapas and Karman are the woers, Brahman the woer-in chief. It is a kind of a soul-marriage: Manyu is identified further on with ten psycho-physical forces, prana, apana, caksuli, frorum, etc., and it is they that produce the purusa. Thus in reality Brahman and the psychic and religious forces connected with him express themselves in the soul-life of the purusa. On the other hand the Vedic gods, Indra, Agni, and even Brhaspati, occupy a very subordinate position, being regarded (st. 10) as 'children', each born out of himself, Indra from Indra, Agni from Agni, etc., Devas from Devas; to them the world is made over. The hymn seems to postulate distinctly enough the identity of soul (atman) with brahma, clearly expressed in the Upanisads, though the word atman does not occur in it?. The conception of the atman appears also in the hymn to Prana (11. 4), life or breath personnied as the supreme spirit; and the two peculiarly abstract and involved hymns to Skambha 'Support' (10. 7 and 8), which seem to reach out after an even more subtle formulation of the last cause of things than that contained in Purusa or Brahma, wind up after all in sts. 10. 8. 43, 44 with a statement that presents the knowledge of Brahma and Atman as the highest goal 10. Related with this hymn is the brahmody a 5. 1. The brahma, prayer (Veda), as supreme principle, is modulated in the greatest variety of keys: in 4. 1 it appears in its own neuter character as the first born brahma begotten of yore', but passes over quickly (st 2) into the related conception of Vac 'speech', i.e. holy speech embodied in the Veda, and in this form it is represented especially by the hymn to Vac, 4.30 (RV. 10. 125), and in the brahmodya-stanzas 7. 1. The enigmatic brahmodya-

80

hymn 8, 9, addressed to Virāj, has also in mind Vac Virāj (9, 2, 5); in startling language st. 7 asserts the paradox, 'they call Virāj (female) the father of brahma. She in turn acts as the creative principle, her two calves (sun and moon) came forth from the waters (st. 1). The following piece, 8, 10, recites in Brāhmana-prose the curious migrations and metamorphoses of Virii, posited as the first principle (tirad va idam agra dait); the pervasive quality of Viraj (holy speech) culminates in the truly Atharvanic anticlimax at the end of the hymn, 30-33, which betrays the bathos and specious mysticism of both hymns (0 and 10): they are an intellectual exercise preparatory to occupier-witchcraft; if one merely says, 'in my mind do I repel sorcery', he does (by that mere statement) repel it. The conception of prayer or holy knowledge as the spiritual light that causes and reveals the world gradually roots itself within more concrete individual facts and entities of the universe. *Thus st. 7 of the above-mentioned hymn 4.1, from its Atharvan'e point of view, passes the brahma on to its typical priest, the Athirvan (Brhaspati), who henceforth takes the place, or is intimately associated with Brahma, Prajipati, and the creative principle: AV. 7. 211. This is in real ty the Atharvanic version of the change of brithma to brithmi. But especially the dominant power of the visible world, the sun, is blended so inextraably with the conception of the brahma, beginning with the filmous glyatri stury, RV. 3. 62. to", as to justify the statement that there is scarcely any theosophic hymn which does not more or less distinctly, primarily or secondarly, have

in mind the great heavenly body.

as a bull, steer, or cow; the bestowal of such animals as daksind (sava) especially elicits this animal-symbolism; to such an extent is this true, that the victim is animal and pantheistic-monotheistic divinity at the same time. Thus the bull, rsabha, in 9. 4 is assimilated in turn to the various celestial bulls, Robita, Indra, Tvastar etc.; or 9.7, a pantheistic meditation in Brahmanaprose, compares each limb or part of the bull senselessly with a divinity or cosmic force. The sava of a steer (anadvan, 4. 11) furnishes occasion with equal facility to make the steer the exponent of the same sublime conditions as the very much more abstract Skambha; cp. 4. 11. 1 with 10. 7. 35 (see above). The gift of a goat, oja (4. 14; 9. 5), finds in the divine Aja Ekapād a convenient peg to fasten theosophic disquisitions upon. And finally when occasion arises for the bestowal of the female vasa (p. 87) it requires but little more sophistry and oddity to make her the wife of Parianya, the guardian of heaven and earth (12. 4. 4. 6). We have seen above that the purely ritualistic brahmaudana and especially its leavings (ucchista) also appear in the light of the universal pantheistic force: we may suspect this to be an extension of the treatment of the animal-savas. To the same sphere of concentions, but more liturgic in tone, is 9, 6, in praise of the mystic merits of hospitality (arghya); the details of its acts are compared with a sacrifice in which the host (atithipati) as sacrificer (vaiamana) virtually accomplishes a sacrifice, providing he understands the mystic purport of his own acts. /

In striking contrast with the vigorous though peculiar development of theosophic speculations in the AV. is its barrenness on the side of purely mythological fancy. The RV. deals with the gods of nature as the theme of its poetry: the Atharvan cultivates the more homely genii like Aryaman, Anumati, etc., or substitutes demonology. The character of the leading divinities of the RV, Agm, Indra, Sürya, is scarcely developed at all, barring the undoubtedly popular advance of that fusion of Agni with Rudra in the direction which finally produces the god Siva 16. The hymns and stanzas addressed to the mythological gods are in the main borrowed from outside sources; thus when we encounter the long Indra-hymn, 5. 2, it is in reality RV. 10. 120 (employed as a battle-charm, Kaus. 15. 1), or the still longer hymn to Agni, S. 3 (RV. 10. 87), is addressed to Agni in the character of Raksas-killer. Like a fresh breath of wind upon the sultry atmosphere of both demonology and theosophy comes the singular and exceptional hymn 12. 1, addressed to Goddess Earth. This is one of the most attractive compositions of the AV., rising at times to poetic conception of no mean merit, and comparatively free from the stock-artificialities of the Vedic poets. The relation of the real visible earth to man, animals, and plants preponderates wholesomely over the remoter mythological and mystic conceptions 17. Somewhat in the same vein, though decidedly inferior, is 9. 1, the so-called madhusakta, a hymn to the 'honey-lash' of the Asvins (madhukasa). The mythic or realistic back-ground of the honey-lash may possibly be 'the honey (the heavenly water) that lasher, or the lightning which whips the clouds and produces rain. The hymn symbolizes prosperity in its widest aspect (carcasya)18. The cosmic hymn 1.32, dealing in praise of the physical atmosphere (antariksa), also deserves mention in this connection.

¹ Cp. the interesting 'repertory of the theosophic hymns of the AV, in the Chila. Up. 118; and zee, Müllis, HAM, p. 556 ff.; Mus. OST., V. 550 ff.; Urusto, Der Nig-Veds, III, 393 ff.; Schussey, Jibbosophische Hymnen, 944—88; v. 197 ff.; Itany, Vedych-Brilmanische Periode, p. 197 ff.; Dri Josephische Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. 1, part 1, p. 206 ff.; Philosophische Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. 1, part 1, p. 206 ff.; Roomerte, S. S. M. Mill. p. 199—32, and the notes to there bymns. See also the translations of nearly all these hymns in Henry, Les Livres VIII et 17 de l'AV;

Ics Lives IN, X, et XI de l'AV.; and I es Hymnes Rohits (livre XIII) - 2 see on this point \$37. - 3 Cp. above \$33. - 4 SIEL XIII. \$91. - 3 See above, \$56; cp. Drossyn, p. 216. - 6 Blooovitable, Contributions. Fourth Series, All'h. XII 430 ff. - 7 Cp. Til. 1. 1. 9. 1; MS. 2. 1. 12. - 8 Cp. Drossyn, p. 156. - NII 430 ff. - 7 Cp. Til. 1. 1. 9. 1; MS. 2. 1. 12. - 8 Cp. Drossyn, p. 150. - NII 430 ff. - 7 Cp. Til. 1. 1. 9. 1; MS. 2. 1. 12. - 8 Cp. Drossyn, p. 150. - NII 430 ff. - 7 Cp. Til. 1. 1. 9. 1; MS. 2. 1. 12. - 8 Cp. Drossyn, p. 150. - NII 430 ff. - 7 Cp. Til. 1. 1. 1. 9. 1; MS. 2. 1. 12. - 8 Cp. Drossyn, p. 150. - acider traditions, except in the sense in which all theosphie speciations attach inferior importance to all divinities and religious acts, except the sense had a fine and inferior importance to all divinities and religious acts, except the sense had a fine and however of the fundamental cause, the one being. - 19 List syn, p. 310. - 11 Cp. Gil. 1. 1. 4 ff.; Mundaka Up. 1. 1. 2; Nyasa Up. 1. 12 Cp. 11 Syn, p. 310. - 11 Cp. Gil. 1. 1. 4 ff.; Mundaka Up. 1. 1. 2; Nyasa Up. 1. 12 Cp. 11 Syn, p. 310. duction to the Gil. p. 24. - 13 See Wraers, [8, XIII 129]. Lenwin, Le. III. 393: duction to the Gil. p. 24. - 13 See Wraers, [8, XIII 129]. Lenwin, Le. III. 393: SCIII NAMS, p. 82; DISSYN, p. 23 ff. Till. TRANSAT, Somb, p. 32 ff. regards Low Alfh. XII. 49 ff. Dissyn, p. 32 ff. Till. TRANSAT, Somb, p. 32 ff. regards Low Alfh. XII. 49 ff. Dissyn, p. 31 ff. Till. 21 Livres, Le. XIII 124, 62 ff.; HENNY, Jee Sill. XIII 129, 630 ff.; HENNY, Jee Sill. 21 Livres, Le. XIII 129, 630; HENNY, Le. Sill. XIII 129, 630; HENNY, Le. Sill. XIII 129, 630; HENNY, Le. 27 Junyer, L. Cl. II. 444 ff. Sill. XIII 129, 630; HENNY, Le. 27 Junyer, L. Cl. III. 444 ff. Sill. XIII 129, 630; HENNY, Le. 27 Junyer, L. Cl. III. 444 ff. Sill. XIII 129, 630; HENNY, Le. 27 Junyer, L. Cl. II. 444 ff. Sill. XIII 129, 630; HENNY, Le. 27 Junyer, L. Cl. II. 444 ff. Sill. XIII 129, 630; HENNY, Le. 27 Junyer, L. Cl. II. 444 ff. Sill. XIII 129, 630; HENN

\$ 60. Class 11). Ritualistic and general hymns. - The question arises whether the AV. contains hymns which owe their, presence in the collection from the start to the existence of Atharvanic Srauta-rites. Since the Srauta-manual of the AV., the Valtana-sutra, is late, the appearance in it of many hymns of the Saunakiya-sakha is non-significant and otiose. The Vait. rubricates practically the entire 20th book of the AV., but this is itself a late Srauta-supplement to the collection, proving nothing for its original scope and intention*. In addition the Vait. employs many scattered hymns and stanzas, especially of the sixth and seventh books, in a manner more or less belitting its own subject matter, and frequently coincident with the employment of the same kind of stanzas in other Srauta-works. But, as it happens, the same stanzas are as a rule worked up in the Kausika as well; they may therefore owe their presence in the AV. originally to circumstances and practices such as the Kausika has in mind, the Vait, may have later taken them up anew in a sense suitable to its own sphere of activity. The inner content of a hymn or stanza is under these circumstances not calculated to decide as to the original intention of the diaskeuasis a secondary employment of a hymn in Kaus, may be chronologically anterior to the more primary in Vait, providing we trust, as we well may, the author of the Vait. with a sufficient knowledge of the habits of the Srauta-practices to recognize the obvious and correct applicability of such hymns to Stauta-practices. Thus the hymn 6.1, a seemingly inferior version of AS 8 1.18, is an evening-song to Savitar, rubricated three times, Kaus. 23 2, 50 13, 59 25 its employment in all three places is secondary and very general. Notwithstanding this, and granting its intrusic Szauta-character in more or less close correspondence with its employment at Vait. 17. 2, there is no proof that it was originally incorporated into the AV. in its capacity as a Srauta-hymn; some such use as is manifested in one or the other of its occurrences in Kausika may have been the original one with the Atharvavedins, or its employment both in Kaus. and Vait. may be different from and secondary to the original intention.

Recognizing these cautionary circumstances we may nevertheless say nevertheless these cautionary circumstances we may nevertheless say outright that the AV, is acquainted with pretty much the entire sphere of Srautauties, and, what is more important, that some of its hymns cannot be imagined rites, and, what is more absorbed from other Vedic sources, without direct have been composed or absorbed from other Vedic sources, without direct have been composed or absorbed from other Vedic sources, without direct have been composed or absorbed from other Vedic sources, without make the composed of the control of the composition of the control of the composition of the control of

92 H. LITTERATUR U. GESCHICHTE. 1B. ATHARVA-VEDA AND GOPATHA-BRAHMANA.

than that exhibited by the Lalpa-norks of the trays, are represented by 6.47 and 48. The first of these is not mentioned in Kaus, at all, but appears altogether suitably in connection with the three saturnas, Vait 21. 7. These stanzas, probably not original with the AV., can hardly have been absorbed in the reduction except for the reason that they were at that time in vogue at the three savanas, as practiced by adherents of the AV. The second of these hymns (6, 48) consists of Yajus formul is differing more or less from the corresponding formulas of the Stauta-texts*; it is quoted in Kaus. 56, 4; 59. 26, 27 under circumstances that betray unmistakably its secondary employment. Here also by the very force of their contents it seems unlikely that they owe their Atharvanic composition to anything else than the sacanas. The Vait. employs them accordingly, 17, 10; 21, 7, and that too in the order of the three sts. demanded by their context and the parallel formulas: in this instance the ritualistic tradition surpasses that of the Samhiti, either reaching behind it, or correcting it by superior information's Again, the loosely attached st. 7. 76. 6 (RV. 6. 47 6), addressed to Indra at the madhyamdina-savana, is not mentioned in Kaus, whereas it appears in a proper place, Vait. 16, 14. Add to this the familiarity with the sacanas betrayed by incidental allusions, such as in 9. 1. 11-13 (cp. also 7. 72. 3), or the elaborate invitations to Indra to partake of soma, 2, 5, 6 (cp. also 7, 58 and 117), and it is not too much to say that the Atharvans knew and practised soma-rites prior to

the reduction of the Sunhita Whether this was carried on in the spirit and with the equipment of the Vedic schools of the tray, or in some more elementary form that did, above all, not require a variety of priests, can hardly be discerned. The same kind of enquiry is suggested by the two apri hymno, s 12 (RV 10 110, et al) and 5, 27 (VS, 27, 11 ff.; MS, 2, 12, 6; 1.4—6; 33; 6.23; 7.89; 16.1; 19.2; 69; and especially the long composite rigmarole, 10.5. Cp. the apām sūktāni, Kaušika, Index B, p. 383; the salidāni, ib. 384; and the gana, Kauš. 41.14.

A special ritualistic flavor attaches to a considerable number of hymns of varying import all of which state distinctly that they are accompanied by an oblation, or havis. This havis is preceded by an adjective or noun which expresses tersely the purpose of the hymn. Thus 1. 15; 2. 26; and 19. 1 are accompanied by samsravya havis that causes the flowing together of wealth'; 6.39 by paso havis 'that confers glory'; 6.40 by saptarsinam havis 'oblation to the Seven Rishis (that frees from danger)'; 6. 64 by samana hazis 'that makes harmonious'; 6.65 (and 66) by nairhasta havis 'that makes (the enemy) handless'; 6.75 by nairbadhya havis 'that suppresses (the enemy); 6.78 by bhuta havis 'that produces power'; 6.80 by suno divyasya mahas hazis 'that contains the majesty of the heavenly dog', 6 87 (and 88); 7.94 by dhruva havis 'that makes stable'; cp. also the less clearly defined hat is in 1.31; 6.41; 7.70; 77; the guhya ghrta in 7.29, and the pratrasa ajya in 5. 21. 2. Once, in 1. 29. 1, the AV. substitutes characteristically abhirarta mani for abhit arta havis, RV. 10. 174. 1. The RV. mentions such special havis in hymns of Atharvanic character: rathavahana havis in 6.75.8; ahruta hat is in 10. 173. 6 (AV. 7. 94). Ludwig, who first invited attention to this feature and its peculiar development in the AV.9, fitly describes it as a teilcarimonie for a special purpose; it may be defined further by describing it as the hymnal correspondent of the kampa istanals of the Srauta-literature. In the course of other practices a special libation for a purpose, aside perhaps from the main action, might be naturally introduced. Since this action bore upon matters of ordinary life it insinuated itself more particularly into the prayers and performances of the Atharvans, assuming there a more independent and technical form.

1 GABE, Vaitha Sătra, Preface, p. vii, Broomhild, JAOS M. 375 ff.; Billib-Britor, Rund-latteratur, p. 35. — 1 See below, S. 62. — 3 The sautomoral is mentioned in 3 3.2. an about exhaustic citiligue of sacritica and hungred terms is presented in 11, 5 5 = 4 JAOS. W. 3, 4 — 5 Ibod. p. note — 6 The terms is presented in the late Atharams manual, till the properties of the terms is presented in the late Atharams manual, till the properties of the terms of the late Atharams manual, till the properties of the terms of the properties of the properties

*§ 61. Class 12). The books dealing with individual themes (books 13—18).—The six books 13—18 are devoted to special themes. Book 13' contains four long hymns addressed to a form of the sun-god called Rohta 'The Red', with constant purs on the root 12th, as though, called Rohta 'The Red', with constant purs on the root 12th, as though, the that has been led up (to the skyr', his femile Rohmi (Sūryi, Uses)? The that has been led up (to the skyr', his femile Rohmi (Sūryi, Uses)? The that has been led up (to the skyr', his femile Rohmi (Sūryi, Uses)? The fine also also really as the figures also, Rohita is not merely the hervenly body or its disnutly, but he signers also, Rohita is not merely the hervenly body or its disnutly, but he said preserver of the universe, a form of Prajipati. A considerable part of the book, however, is either not connected with the main theme in secondary application3. The first 35 sts. of the exhibits the main theme in secondary application3. The first 35 sts. of the first hymn, apparently the main stock of the entire book, correlate Rohita first hymn, apparently the main stock of the entire book, correlate Rohita shift an earthly king whose kingdom is given him, and whose kingdom is preserved by Rohita. Sts. 36—44 are a mystic presentation of the wonders are researched with the appearance and disappearance of the sun; sts. 45—55 present Rohita (svin, fire) as a Rishi who kindles with his prayers the two

sacrificial fires, winter and summer, upon which the life of nature is founded. The remaining sts. of this hymn are even more extraneous. The second hymn is addressed to the sun in his more general aspects; only sts. 25-26 and 39-41 deal directly with Rohita. The third hymn presents Rohita as the avenger of the oppressors of Brahmans; the fourth hymn, partly prose, engages in praise of the god in hackneyed terms.

Book 14 contains the wedding-stanzas of the Atharvans*. They coincide largely, though by no means entirely, with the sūryā-sūkla, RV.10.85. Other stanzas of the RV., notably 10.40.10ff., and scatteringly still others, reappear; yet a considerable number are not found in the RV. In the Grhya-sūtras most of these stanzas recur with many variants. The chronology and interrelation of the wedding-stanzas in all these texts is a subject for special investigation: none of the existing collections of these stanzas present them

in anything like their primary aspect.

Book 15 in Brāhmana-prose is devoted to the mystic exaltation of the viatyas. In the list of theosophic subjects which the Culika Up. 11 ascribes to the AV. the zratya figures between the Brahmacarin (AV. 11. 5) and Skambha (AV. 10.7,8)6. There can be no doubt that the theme is in reality Brahma, but section 5 which introduces the murtis of Rudra shows it to be under Sivaitic influence. The vratja (vratina) seems to be a kind of a Brahmacarın, or at any rate one who has entered the Brahmanical community after having been converted from an Aryan, but non-Brahmanical tribe. This is the theme of the so-called vraty astomal, described especially in the Stanta books of the SV. (PB. 17. 1; LS. 8. 6)?; these rites make it possible for an unholy, half-savage, community (na hi brahmacaryam caranti na kṛṣim na vaninam, PB, 17, 1 2) to become Brahmans. The connection between the vrate-book and the vrate astoma is not to be questioned; in 15.2 the statement that 'faith has become his paramour, holy words (mantra) his Magadha (outcaste associate)' clearly refers to the conversion of the vraha; the occurrence of such words as usnīsa, fratoda, and zifatha in AV. 15. 2, as well as in PB. 17.1.14, clinches the connection still further. The watja, having become holy through his acquired brahmacaryam is emphatically the representative of trahma, like the Brahmacarin (11.5) he is apotheosized. The mention of a large number of Samans in the book seems to point to some sort of a connection with the schools of the Sama-Veda. contents are too abstruse and too absurd for analysis. The book is wanting in AVP.

The sixteenth book contains two distinct parts not related in any way. AV. 19. 23. 26 seems to refer to them in the expression frajapatyabligam st sha. The first four pieces (first anuvaka) consist of prose-formulas, running into metre occasionally (4. 2); they are designated, Ath. Paris, 10, as abhirkamantrah. They clearly consist of ritualistic formulas dealing to some extent with the praise of the waters. Their purpose however is not quite clear, even in the light of Kausika's employment of them. Section 2 recurs ApS. 6, 20, 2 with interesting variations. The rest of the book, 5-9 (second annualsa) is an elaborate constraint against nightmare which is driven out from one's self and imposed upon enemies. Why these two sections have been gathered up in a separate book does not appear.

Nor is it possible to point out the reason why the single hymn, book 17, should have reached the distinction of a separate book for itself. The hymn, known as the risasaki (AV. 19. 23. 27), is one of the most prominent of the mustiful (class 2) and figures especially in the rites connected with the life of the young Arya". Kesasa to Kaus, 42, 12 ff, and Ath. Paddh, to Kaus. 57. 32 mention a visāsahi-vrata11 from the same sphere of practices;

doubtless this hymn figured prominently in it.

Book 18 in four hymns (each an anuvaka), contains the funeral-stanzas, being pretty certainly a later version of the corresponding materials in RV. X. This is evident especially from the incorporation into the AV. of RV. X. 10-12; sts. 1-39 of AV. 18. 1 contain these three hymns in the same order as the RV., omitting only six stanzas. The reappearance of RV. 10 10 in the AV, is natural, because the hymn contains the famous dialogue between Yama and Yami, whereas RV. 10. 11 and 12 which have no direct relation to Yama or the funeral-practices, are incorporated in the AV, in deference to the redaction of the RV. All 39 sts. are ignored by Kausika. The remaining sts. of hymn 1 and the whole of hymn 2 repeat in totally changed order sts. from RV. 10. 14-17, introducing occasionally other RV. sts., or such as do not appear in RV. at all. Of the 73 sts. of the third hymn only 32 are found in RV.; the rest are peculiar to the AV. and the Yajus (TA. 6). Still more original is hymn 4: only 12 of its 89 sts. are recruited from various books of the RV., and only 7 others coincide with the funeral stanzas of TA, 613. The Atharvan version of this subject is to some extent original, and is not in complete accord with the practices of Kausika which in turn present many individual traits elsewhere unknown. A single original funeral stanza, 7. 21, is stranded outside of the main collection, being seemingly employed, Kaus. 86. 16, in a connection which does not bear upon its contents. Very valuable side-lights upon the funeral-practices are afforded by numerous Atharvan conjurations which allude to details freer and more convincing than many that are codined either in the funeral-stanzas or in the Sūtras; thus the practices of mourning-women which are alluded to in many passages (). For other suggestions of this kind see SBE ALII, Index, under funeral practices. ALLERUNI, India (SACHAU'S translation), vol. I, p. 129, remarks that the AV. contains injunctions regarding the dead, and what is to be done with them.

Murr, OST. V 305, HFMA, Les Hymans Robitas, Bloowiffen, AJIh MI 420ff., Rrowup, Ie Rig Veda et les origines de la mythologie indo européanes. P. 31ff. Diesers, Ullgemeine Geschichte der Philosophie, vol I, part i, p. 209ff. raigo is probably derived from compounds like apparating on the chaining tripa is probably derived from compounds like anjarria, operatin, etc. shaing different customs' — 9 See Keius 9, 9, 2, 15, and the Index of Clastions, p. 410.

— 10 See Kaušika, Index of Clastions, p. 410. — 11 Cp. Hillerakasor, Ritanian Literatur, p. 55 — 17 Hook 18 has been trivalated, expluined, an landysed by Hiteratur, p. 55 — 17 Hook 18 has been trivalated, explained, and analysed by Hiteratur, p. 55 — 10 remark, SPAW, 1891, p. 53, 9, p. 430 bit remark, SPAW, 1891, p. 786. To the hiterature criticism of the finical ecremonics of Calvino, p. 786. To the hiterature and Heatitungspekhauche, in the Iransactions of the Die Althiduschen Tollita und Heatitungspekhauche, in the Iransactions of the Amsterdam Academy. 1896. generally the introduction and n. 161 ff. Hittspaxino. Amsterdam Academy, 1836, especially the introduction and p. 163 ff. Hittark.urr, Ritual-Litteratur, p. 87 ff. — 13 BLOOWHEED, Contributions. Second Series, AJPA.

\$ 62. Class 13). The twentieth book .- Of the 143 hymns of book 20 only 13 are in any way peculiar to the AV., namely 2, 48, 49, 127-136, m addition the sts. 34. 12, 16, 17, and 107. 13 == 13, 2, 341. Of these the so-called Lunispa-aktani, 127-136, in their Atharvanic form and extent are original, and no pada-test of them appears to exist; they are wanting in AVP. The other hymns and stanzas, just catalogued, are borrowed from AVP. The remaining hymns of the book, mostly addressed to Indra, are derived bodily, without a single variant, from the RV., largely the eighth mandala. Thus, e.g., RV, 10, 163 is repeated verbatim AV, 20, 96, 17-22, although its Atharvan version with the usual variations has occurred previously, 2.33. Compare similarly AV, 5. 2 with RV, 10. 120 = AV, 20, 107, 4-12. book is known as the fastrakanda, being largely rubricated in Vait., beginning with 19.6 (in the course of the agnistona), and extending through to the end of that text. It was compiled for use of the Brahman-priest, or more particularly his Atharvanic assistent, the Brahmanacchairsin, at the sastras and stotras of the soma-sacrifices, at a time when the Atharvans had adopted systematic and extensive Srauta-rites in imitation of the other Vedic schools; its ritualistic character may be observed especially in connection with the kuntapa-hymns which are preceded and followed by the RV. hymns in the order in which they are called for by the ritual as described in the Brahmanas and Sūtras4. The AV. Prātišākhya does not take it into account (any more than book 19)5; it would seem therefore that these books did not at that time form part of the Saunakiya-Sahılıta, although, of course, this may be due to conscious neglect, induced on the one hand by the exceeding corruption of the Atharvanic parts of these books; on the other by the knowledge that the Rig-Vedic parts of book 20 belong to another sakha, were therefore not prattiakhya. Of the Atharvanic materials hymn 2 consists of Yajus-formulas recited in connection with the rtu-grahas at the agnistoma, Vait. 19. 236; the three Indra-stanzas 34, 12, 16, 17 are original; hymns 48 and 49 are not even rubricated in Vait. All these are from AVP. The single st. 107.13 == 13.2.34 is a later modification of 13. 2. 35 (= RV. 1. 115. 1, et al.). The kuntapahymns call for a separate and more searching statement of their contents, purpose, and relation to the ritual, since their Atharvan version seems to be the fullest and most original in existence.

WHITEN, 1805. VERY VERY WAS ASSETTED. TO LINEAR OF STANDARD OF STA

\$ 63. Class 14). The kuntapa-hymns. - AV. 20. 127-136 are designated in the mss. as kuntāpasūktani, being introduced and concluded by the expressions, atha kuntapasūktani, and, iti kuntāpasūktāni samāptāni. The exact scope of the designation kuntapa is not certain. Sayana at AB.6 32.1; 33. I defines as the kuntara hymn the thirty stanzas, equal to the first two hymns of the luntapa-samhita, as given in the AV. (20. 127 and 128), but the whole collection also figures in his view as a supplementary book (khila) with the name kuntapa2. This seems also to be the view of the Vait. 32. 19. which assigns the name to the first two hymns, and employs the designations aitasapralapa, etc. for the following hymns (32. 20 ff.). In SS. 12. 13. 7 (cp. 12. 6. 13) the term Luntaga seems to be restricted to a part of the collection, as given in that Sutra (12. 14-16 = AV. 20. 127. 1-6, 11-13; 128. 12, 13, 15; 135, 13, 11, 12). But inasmuch as all parts of the collection, including those just mentioned, have specific names in addition to the generic kuntapa (see below), the latter word may after all have been used by Sankhayana (as also by Vait.) at the beginning of his presentation of the kuntapa materials as a name for the whole to the neglect of the specific names (narasams) ah, raibh) ah, etc.) 3, until he arrived (12.17) at the pārikṣityāh. This view is born out by KB. 30. 5. Similarly AS 8. 3. 7 the word kuntāpa 15 used by way of introduction to these materials, none of the subsidiary designations appearing until the bhutechadah-stanzas (AV. 20, 135, 11 ff.) are reached, stitra 27. Again, GB. 2. 6. 12 begins with, atha kuntapam samsati, neglecting the subsidiary name nārāšamsyah for the opening passage, idaņi janā upa šruta (AV. 20. 127. 1-3), but introducing the following subdivisions with their proper designations, atha raibhith samsati, macasta etc. (AV. 20. 127. 4-6). On the whole it seems quite clear that the name kuntapa is understood to belong to the whole collection which varies somewhat in extent and arrangement in each of the texts that employ it, but that the name was sometimes employed for one or two of the initial hymns; in the latter case the specific designations of the opening hymns were more or less suppressed, although

they were probably well known in each of the schools. In addition to AV. only SS, gives the kuntafa-materials in full: the other texts (AB, GB AS, Vait.) give only the pratikas, with an occasional stanza in full; the KB does not even cite the pratikas but mentions the subdivisions under their specific designations (naratansyal), etc.). The Luntapa-texts in the SS. (12, 14 ff.) read like an extract from the AV, in an arrangement markedly different; this version also underlies the statements of KB, 30.,5ff , being therefore the traditional material in vogue in the school of the Sankhayanas or Kausitakins. All the stanzas, riddles, and answers of the SS. appear also in the much larger collection of the AV, excepting the bracketed st. 7 in S. 12. 21. 1, which occurs on the margin of some mss2, being omitted by others altogether. In many cases the readings of the SS, mss. agree with those at the basis of the vulgata. Hit LEBRANDT has frequently adopted the corrections proposed by the editors of the vulgata. The remaining texts, All GB. AS. Vant., presuppose a version nearly if not quite identical with the AV.: the few differences are almost entirely in the nature of variant readings whose substantiality however is often rendered suspicious by the exceeding corruption of the text of the kuntayar In some respects the AS, handles its materials, as might be expected, rather in accordance with SS than AV., e.g., in its treatment of the aitasapralapa, AS. 8. 3 14-17 (see below).

The liturgic tradition of the kuntapa-sustra corresponds obviously to the natural subdivisions according to subject-matter in the kuntaya hymns themselves: their treatment therefore from the combined points of view of hymns and ritual is imperative. The following division of the hymns as reported in the AV. appears to be founded upon their intrinsic contents as well as their

as also the commentators generally (e.g. at So. 12, 16, 1), designate these stancas as nurasantsyas (sc. rcas). The subject is a fabulous danastuti in praise of Kaurama, a prince of the Rusamas. See SBE XLII 197, 690.

II. AV. 20. 127. 4-6 - SS. 12. 14. 4-15 1 (in different order) The pratika in GR. 2. 6. 12. The GB, AR. 6. 33. 7 ff (cp. abo 1), KB. 30. 5, and the comms, designate them as rathfurth. The theme is the praise of Rebha (Agni) who bestows cattle and wealth, or an exhortation to a human count (Agni) who bestows cattle and weath, or an exiontation to a numan chanter (poet) to perform his functions. A comparison of the chanter with Agni is involved in any case. See SBL XIII. 197, 696 ff.

III. AV. 20. 127, 7-10 = SS. 12. 17, 1 1-4. The pratika in GB.
2. 6. 12. The GB, AB, 6. 32. 10 ff. (cp. also 1), SS, KB, 30. 5, and the comms, designate them as Arillatofal. Praise of Agni Pariksit, the typical god of Brahmaghal state.

of Brahmanical piety? See SBF, XLII, 197, 691 ff.

IV. AV. 20, 127-11-14 - SS. 12.15.2-410. The pratika in GB, 2. 6. 12. The GB., AB. 6, 32, 16 ff. (cp. al-o 1), KB. 30 5, and the comms designate them as kdraydy. Exhortation of the poet by Indra who, as reward for a song of praise, grants wealth and destroys enemies. See SBE XLII. 198, 692. Indo-aniche Philologie. IL 12.

98 H. Lapieratur u. Geschichte. 1 B. Athanya-Vi da and Gopatha-Brahmana.

V. AV. 20. 128. 1-5 = \$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}\$, 20. 1. 1-5. The pratika in GB. 2.6.12. The GB., AB. 6. 32. 19 ft, KB. 30.7, \$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}\$, and the comms. designate them as distant kliptorally, 'arrangement of the directions of space, orientation.' The paragraph consists of didactic stanzas (ntit), in which certain kinds of conduct are correlated with the five directions of space, prik, adhards, undak, ap\$t, and, apparently, \text{arrharan} as the fifth, implied in the words, \text{diran} iva \text{gatriaya}, in st. 5. Proper conduct seems to be associated in 1, 3, 5 with \text{pratk}, \text{udak}, \text{and iran} \text{iva gatriaya}, in st. 5. Proper conduct seems to be associated in 1, 3, 5 with \text{pratk}. The possible pelorative sense of the last two in contrast to the good sense of the other three seems to be the main point of these wise saws, but the untrust-worthiness of the text (cp \$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}\$) renders this entire construction problematic. St. 1: 'The man of good house, of rich establishment, who presses (the soma) and brings sactifices, as well as yonder sun, the illustrious gods fixed as east'11. The opposite kind of a man (a stingy one) is correlated in st. 4 with 'west' (ap&\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}\$).

VI. AV. 26, 128, 6—11 = \$\frac{8}{3}, 12, 21, 21, 21, 1—6. The pratika in GB. 26.12. The GB, All. 6, 32, 23 ff., KB. 30, 7, \$\frac{8}{3}\$, and the comms, designate them as janakadjah, 'containing the classification of folk', or the like The subject is didactic and related to the preceding: desirable and undesirable folks are compared in classes. E. g., \$\frac{1}{3}\$, \$\frac{1}{3}\$ klake without watering-place, a rich man who is stingy, and an unseductive, ugly maid are of like character; of like character (on the other hand are also) a lake with a good watering-place, a like plear irch mun, and a seductive, beautiful maid: The classification in \$15, 10, 11 of the parirwhii mahisi and the ridvoid mahisi, of a show and a swift horse, and the obscently which appears here, as also frequently in the sequel, suggest conditions similar to the notorious practices at the air-amatha and furre-samalho Indeed the three abusive stanzas (abiliabhāṣapam) 10, \$6\$ (inverse order), are employed \$8.16.13, 10 in the course of the pursuanatha; e.p. Vait \$8.2.

VI. AV 20, 128, 12—16 — S> 12, 15, 1, 5—12, 16, 1, 2 (sts. 14, 16 of AV, wanting in SS). The pratika in GB 2, 6, 12. The GB, AB, 6, 32, 25 ff, KB, 30, 5, and the comms, designate them as indragatable. The theme

is praise of Indra, the conqueror of demons and enemies.

VIII. AV. 20. 129-132. \$\frac{8}{2}\$ has only a limited number of these padas: AV. 20. 129. 1-10 = \$\frac{8}{2}\$, 12. 18. 1. 1-9, AV, 20. 132. 2-7, 9, 11, 12 = 7
\$\frac{8}{2}\$, 12. 18. 1. 10-18; AV. 20. 131. 0, 11 = \$\frac{8}{2}\$, 12. 18. 1. 19. 20. The A\frac{8}{2}\$.
\$\frac{3}{2}\$, 14-17 presents the same three groups of stanzas as appear in \$\frac{8}{2}\$, \$\frac{1}{2}\$, 12. 18. 1. 1 and 10, followed by 19 and 20 in full! \(\frac{1}{2}\$ \) and the comms. designate that attaingratifies (or tatist) the chatter of Austa' 19. The Brahmana-tents present mystic, apologetic legends in explanation of this chatter of the sage Aitasa, designed to show its great lurgic power. But there is certainly no basis in the text itself for a sage Aitasa. Either the name was abstracted verbally from the initial words the afra, or the variegated horse of the sun, ctata, is supposed to underlie the enigmatic (brahmadya) nonsense of the text*. The first part has been rendered tentatively and without explanation by Zivilia, p. 131.

IX. AV. 20. 133 — SS. 12. 22, where an additional riddle without answer is added by some mss. (st. 7). The pratika, GR. 2. 6. 13; AS. 8. 3. 18; Vait. 32, 21. The answers to these riddles are inserted in AV., are stated separately AS. 8. 3. 19; Vait. 32, 25, but are altogether absent from SS. The GB, AB. 6. 33, 16, KB, 30. 7, SS, and the comms, designate them as *pratalhish*15, riddles*; by propounding them the gods (à la Sphinx) got the better of the Asuras. In the AV, the riddle is given first, then the answer, and finally a

curious refrain, addressed apparently to an (innocent) girl who is thus instructed in these jeux d'esprit. In the sastra the riddles seem to be chanted by the Hotar, the answers figuring as pratigaras in the mouth of the Adhvaryu who addresses the Hotar as jaritar. These riddles are either obscene or naive folk productions. Of the latter sort are 3 and 4: 'By drawing two little ears to oneself they are gotten out in the middle (: what is it?)'. Answer: 'The which stands (; what is it?)'. Answer: 'The foot in the shoe'.

X. AV. 20. 134 \Rightarrow SS. 12. 23. 1, where only four of these riddles are given (1-3, 6 of AV. 16); the answers in SS 12. 23, 3. AS. 8, 3. 20 has the pratika of the hymn: the answers to the same four as appear in SS, are given as pratigaras in 8. 3. 21. Vait. 32. 22 has the pratika: the answers to all six in the order 1—3, 6, 5, 4 in 32.25. The pratika also in GB.2.6.13. The GB., All. 6.33.18 f. (omitted in KB. 30.7), and the comms. designate them as ajijnasanyah 'puzzles'. They are riddles derived from the vegetable and mineral kingdoms. Riddle 4: Well, here it is, east, west, north, and south; as soon as you touch it it melts (or vanishes: what is it?)'. Answer:

A drop'. XI. AV. 20, 135, 1-3 = SS. 12, 23, 2 with the answers (pratigaras) in 3. The pratika, AS. 8. 3. 22: the answers in 23; the pratika, Vait 32. 23: the answers in 25; the pratika also, GB. 2. 6 13. The GB, AB. 6. 33. 19, KB 30. 7, SS., and the comms. designate them as pratiradha hindrance, handicap. They are three riddles from the animal and vegetable kingdoms, typifying the actions of quick arrival, swift disappearance, and firm standing. Riddle 1: 'Bounce, he has come (: what is it?). Answer: 'The dog'. 2 'Whist, it is gone (: what is it?)'. Answer 'The fall of the leaf'. 3. 'Bang, it has

was gone (t what is tit). Answer the half of the francisco brings it has trodden (t what is tit)! Answer 'The hoof of an ox'.

XII. AV. 20. 135. 4.5 — \$\$. 12. 23. 4.5. The pratika, A\$.8. 3. 23;

XII. AV. 20. 135. 4.5 — \$\$. 12. 23. 4.5. The pratika, in 27. The the fratigaras in 24. The pratika, Vait 32. 26 the fratigaras in 24. The pratika, Vait 32. 26. KB, 30. 7. and the comman pratika also, GB, 2.6. 13. The GB, AB.6. 33. 20. KB, 30. 7. and the commandation of t designate it as alwada 'outtalking'. Seems also to be a riddle or two with

obscene answers (cp. AV. 20. 136.4, et al.).

XIII. AV. 20. 135. 6-10 = SS 12 19 1-5 = AB 6 35. 5 ff. = GB. 2. 6. 14". The pratika, AS 8 3. 25 18, Valt. 32 28. The AB., GB. designate it as devanitha, the SS, KB 30. 6, as aditionerrasiah. The subject seems to be an interesting akhyana, indicated fragmentarily in the stanzas, but fitting well in to the story as told in the Brahmunas. According to this the Angiras, ordinarily inferior and hostile to the Admass, once helped them at a soma-For this the Adityas gave to the Anguras the white horse of the sun as sacrificial reward. The decapitha is therefore a heavenly danastuth. XIV. AV. 20.135. 11-13 - SS. 12 16 1 3-5 m changed order (st.

AIV. AV. 20, 135. 14 3 The pratika, GB. 2, 6, 14, AS, 8 3, 27, Vatt 13 of AV, also AG, 2, 9, 4). 33. 30. The GB, AB, 6. 36. 1 ff, KB, 30. 5, 3, and the comms. designate them as bhitchadah (AB., asuranam bhittam chadayired). The passage is in praise

NY. AV. 20. 136 - SS 12. 24. 2 (sts. 4, 1, 2, 11, 10, 9, 6, 15 of AV, AV. AV. 20. 130. 20. 137, 2 and 1). The pratika, GB. 2, 6, 15; AS, to which are added AV. 20. 137, 2 and 1). The pratika, GB. 2, 6, 15; AS, 8, 3, 28; Vait 32. 1. The GB. AB. 6, 36, 40, KB. 30, 5, SS, and the 8. 3. 28; Vait 37. 1. The Analysis (to in number according to AB, SS.; comms, designate them as advantaged (to in number according to AB, SS.; comms, designate than Sis. 1 and 4 occur also VS. 23, 28, 29; LS. 9, 10, ep. schol. AS S. 3, 31). Sis. 1 and 4 occur also VS. 23, 28, 29; LS. 9, 10, 5, 6, in the course of the artumetha, and the theme of the ahanayah, 5.0, in the course and all all all the member of the anamayah, eichery (anamayah) ari relah siquate, AB, 6, 36, 5), is an even more outtechery (doanas) as the state of a state of 100 H. LITTLE ATUR U. GESCHICHTE, 1B. ATHARVA-VEDA AND GOPATHA-BRÂHMANA.

Rig-vidhāna 3. 24. 4 the āhanatyāh are recited over a woman who runs about with other men; cp. Mever's introduction, p. xv, xvn.

The Luntapa-hymns are preceded in the AV. by the vrsdkapi-hymn (126) and followed by the dadhikra-stanzas (137. 3 ff.), exactly as in the Brahmanas and Sutras. Their preservation is therefore due to the presence in the sastrakanda (book 20) of the hymnal material recited primarily in the RV. schools on the sixth day of the prsthyasadaha, a sacrificial week of six days belonging to the so-called ahina-sacrifices, lasting from 2 to 12 days. The prsthyasadaha is a part of the dvadasaha22. The entire series of hymns in question, the so-called silpas 'works of art' (AB. 6. 27 ff) bear a popular character. regards the kuntāpa-pieces, their presence in the ritual is taken with the utmost seriousness by the ritualists, though not without apologies for their disgusting, foolish, and obscene character. Thus GB. 2, 6, 12 etymologizes upon kuntāpa as kutsutam ... yat tapati 'loathsome and offensive', and the nonsense of the aitasapralapa is whitewashed by liturgic legends (see above). The entire material bears the impress of a fossil in the midst of an honest serious performance: it is something which must have stood outside in a prehistoric period of the sacrifice, being connected with it at first by looser, more accidental ties, until the rigid formalism of which the existing texts are the final expression had placed everything upon the same footing of sanctity. The nursery-charade and the song of the brothel cannot reasonably be supposed to have found its way into the Stauta-ritual in any other way. Traces of such extra-Brahmanical doings occur in connection with many other Srautasacrifices, notably the rajastiya, asvamedha, and purusamedha 23; they, as indeed most other sacrifices, mark the presence of popular customs and festivities encrusted within Brahmanical solemnities. One source of the more natural human element in the sacrifice was unquestionably the daksina, the priests' reward. The dânastutis even in the RV, betray the exhilarated frame of mind of those that received the daksing. The exaggerated statements of gifts received were not only intended to stimulate future givers, but mark the note of festivity. Closely related to the danastutis are the gatha narasams, ali, or gathah and narasanisyah, 'stanzas which sing the praises of generous men', in the Brahmanas and Sutras 24. They sing them so loudly that the texts in their soberer moments decry them as anytam 'lies' (KS. 14.15), and samalam 'nollution' (TB. 1. 3. 2. 6) 23. In the last-mentioned place the reciter of the gāthā nārāšansī, and the man drunk with surā are placed on the same level: they are polluted, their gifts must not be accepted. Now the merry and unsavory literature of the Luntapa-variety is likely to have been associated with danastuti, narasamsī, and akhyana (see already RV.1.126, and 8.1.30ff.), just as the obscene practices - in part to be sure symbolic - of the asvamedha follow upon the great and festive slaughter of cattle customary on that occasion. And it is noteworthy that both asvamedha and purusamedha are the special repositories of narasamsa-literature 26.

The danatutus and ndridaints are therefore one of the more hilarious elements which tended to bridge over from the solemn acts of the sacrifice to what for 1 rick of a better term we might call a land of liturgic sankneige. Plainly speaking, the bestowal of the doktirā in many instances must have lead to gormandiring and drunkenness, and these were probably in turn followed — the practice is not entirely unknown in our days — by shallow wittenisms, by obseene tall, and worse. This we must not insignice to have taken place uninterruptedly without sporadic recollection of the religious character of the event (ep. the theosophic and cosmogonic bratimoda at the attenuable) "; in the main however social joilification was the motive, until, in the course of the

102 II. LITTERATURU, GESCHICHTE, 1D. ATHARVA-VEDA AND GOPATHA-BRAHMAŅA.

Unanisad; indeed one passage, GB. 1. 1. 16-30, is practically identical with the Pranou (Pranava) Upanisad in Anguetti-Duperron's Latin translation of the Persian Oupnekhat; another, GB, 1, 1, 31-38, itself claims the title of Upanisad (1.1.38, end); see \$\$18 and 68ff. Nor are they presented in accord with and in the order of the sacrifice (sajñakrama) either in Vait. or any other Srauta-text. The uttara, on the other hand, follows in the main the order of Vait. by compiling from various sources a fairly connected Brahmana to accompany the action of Vait. This is attended inevitably by Atharvanic adaptations, sporadic, hap-hazard, and imperfect, both as regards subjectmatter and mantras: the scrappy character of the product is evident on the surface. In a general way the uttara in its relation to Vait, may be compared with the relation of the first nine books of SB, to the original nucleus of the VS. (books 1-18); the purva being comparable with (as it is to some extent dependent upon) the remaining five books of SB.3 Both parts, however, are very late productions, one cannot say from how recent a century; both parts were composed after Vait, resting upon a most slender basis of ancient Atharvanic tradition: the uttara, moreover, leaves the impression of a date still later than the nurva. Thus the usual chronological relations in the redaction of Brāhmana, Śrauta-sūtra, and Grhva-sūtra are turned about in the Atharvan: the Kausika (Grhya-sūtra) was composed before the Vaitāna (Śrauta-sūtra)4, the Vaiting before the GB. — the cone is inverted and balances upon a mere point of genuine Atharyanic tradition as far as both Vait, and GB, are concerned. \$ 65. The Uttara-Brahmana .- The history of this production is well

illustrated by its treatment of the caturmas ani, or seasonal offerings, sections 2. 1. 19-26 of GB. These are purloined with slight modifications from KB., being its fifth book in toto. The Vait, treats this subject in 8, 8-0, 27, and there are, of course, correspondences between it and GB., due to the sameness of the subject. Thus Vait, 8, 8 is literally identical with the opening of GB. 2. 1. 19. The GB. does not mention the mantras of Vait., except that it works in the two formulas, on stadha, and, astu svadha (Vait. 9. 11), in 2. 1. 24. The adaptation is most superficial; at any rate there can be no question that this part of GB was composed subsequently to both Vait, and KB. Even more characteristic is the treatment of the atirdira (soma-sacrifice), GR. 2. 5. 1-5. This is compiled from two sources, AB. 4.5 and 6, and KB 17.7-9, baldly put down, one after another, without any attempt to harmonize. Thus the three paryayas (periods) of the atiratra are explained twice as typifying the successive expulsion of the Asuras from the three periods of the night, once in the words of AB. 4. 5, the second time in the words of KE. 17. 8. Very striking, too, is GB 2. 3. 11, illustrating in the course of the agnistoma the passage Vait. 21. 3. 4: the Brahmana is copied with slight alterations from KB, 11.4 and 5; the compiler is not even at pains to expunge the expression, the ha smaha kausītakih, which of itself betrays its origin Indeed throughout the text the Atharvavedin borrows the Rishis along with the passages in which they figure. Again, cases of un-disguised pilfering are the three kampestayah, GB. 2.1.13—15, which reproduce almost verbatim MS. 2. 1. 19, and the statement about the antaharya, GB. 2, 1. 6, taken from MS. 1. 4. 6 (p. 54, l. 3 ff.). These are specimen cases: the uttara exploits especially AB. and KB., but other Brahmanas, SB., TS., MS., and even PB, are not exempt from depredation.

Stated numerically, about 80 of the 123 sections of the uttara owe their materials either entirely or largely to older texts, as far as is known now. Doubtless future search will reveal still other instances of the dependence of GB., since there are at present no regular channels for investigations of this

kind. The correspondences stated in the order of the uttara are: 2.1.1: KB. 6. 13; - 2. 1. 3 (latter half): KB. 6. 14 (beginning); - 2. 1. 4: TS. 2. 6 9; — 2, 1, 6; MS, 1, 4, 6 (p, 54, l, 3 fl); — 2, 1, 9, very similar to TS. 2, 5, 5, 1 ft; — 2, 1, 10 (beginning); Kauš, 1, 29, 30; — 2, 1, 11; TS, 2, 5. 5. 2 fl.; — 2, 1, 13—15; MS. 2, 1, 10; — 2, 1, 18; MS 3, 3, 7 (p. 40, l. 2 fl.); - 2. I. 19-26: KB. 5 (entire); - 2, 2, 2-4: TS. 6, 2, 2, 1 ff.; - 2, 2, 6: AB. 1, 18; - 2, 2, 13: TS. 3, 5, 2, 1; - 2, 2, 20-22: AB. 6, 10 (complete), 6. 11. 6ff., and 6. 12. 6ff.; — 2. 3. 1—6: AB, 3. 5—8; — 2. 3. 6 (latter hall): AB, 7. 33. 5 ff.; — 2. 3. 7, 8: AB, 2. 29, and 6. 14. 5; — 2. 3. 10: AB. 3. 12; - 2. 3. 11; KB. 11. 4, 5; - 2. 3. 12; AB. 3. 14; - 2. 3. 17-19; MS. 4. 8. 3; — 2. 3. 20, 21: AB. 3. 23; — 2. 3. 22: AB. 3. 24; — 2. 4. 5: AB. 6. 3. 8-11; - 2. 4. 6: KB. 18. 7, 8; - 2. 4. 8: TS. 3. 3. 8. 2 ff; -2. 4. 9: TS. 3. 3. 8. 4 ff.; — 2. 4. 10: AB. 3. 44; — 2. 4. 19: AB. 4. 1. 5—8; - 2. 5. 1-3: AB. 4. 5, 6; - 2. 5. 4-5: KB. 17. 7-9; - 2. 5. 6: SB. 12. 8. 3. 1, 2; — 2. 5. 7: SB. 12. 8. 3. 23—28; — 2. 5. 8: PB. 18. 7; — 2. 5. 11: AB. 6. 17, 1, 2, and 6. 5; — 2. 5, 12: AB. 6. 6, — 2. 5, 13: AB. 6. 7; — 2. 5, 14: AB. 6. 8; — 2. 5, 15: AB. 6. 18. 4ff., introduced by a sentence from AB 6, 17, 2, and ending in a passage from AB, 6, 17, 3, 4; — finally of the 16 sections of the sixth prapathaka all except one and a half (2. 6. 6, and the first half of 2.6.7) are entirely or largely dependent upon the fifth and especially the sixth book of AB. These 80 sections do not mark the limit of the materials in the uttara that can lay no claim to originality. Thus GB. 2.1.16; 2.9; and 2.12 are apparently nothing but Brahmanized extracts from Vatt. itself, respectively, 11 1; 15 3; and 16, 15-17, there are also other verbal correspondences between Vat. and GB, which suggest the superficial creation of Brahman-matter directly out of the sfitras of Vait. Again, the a considerable number of sections, dealing with the sastras of the three dally rate and (2, 3, 13-15; 2, 4, 1-3, and 2, 4, 11-8) seem to be little more than the statements of the RV sutras worked over signify into Brilmura form; cp., e.g., GB. 2. 4 1-3 with SS 7, 22-24, AS 7, 4 1ff. Future insetting on the part of a second editor of Gli will doubtless narrow down the limits of the original matter of the uttara to a minimum.

Just as the uttara presupposes the older Brāhmanas, so it is no less certainly based upon the existing text of the Vaitana. One may say, in accordance with the paradoxical inter-relation of these secondary Atharvan texts, that to some extent the Vail, figures, as it were, as the Samhita of GR Thus original mantras of Vait, or, at any rate, muntras stated in full, are frequently cited in the uttara by their pratika. The Brihmana is not consistent in these matters the long yajns, Vail. 3 20, is repeated in full GB. 2. 1 7, but the formulas, Vait 3, 14, 4, 16, are cited by pratika, GB 2, 1, 3, and 4 Similarly the gharma-sukta from AVP, is given in tall Vail, 14 1, but its pratition only appears GR. 2. I. 6. Note especially GB 2. 2. 1.2 and 18 where the mantras of Vait 16.17 and 18. 11 are (sted fragmentarily, with explanations in the manner of Sh, when engaged in expounding mantras of VS. Very in the manner of 50, when changes in exponencing maintais of Vs. Very characteristic, too, for the priority of Vait is GB 2, 1, 16 which treats its theme out of order and connection, whereas in Vait 11 1 it properly intro-

s the agnispoma.
Nevertheless the uttara has certainly some, though probably very few original Nevertheless the many many sound, mough products very tew original sections. Thus the *fruittra*-legend, GB 2.1.2, though based upon materials from duces the agnisfoma. sections. Thus the frantianes and Atharvanic fabrication by the introduction of the older texts, bett vs itself as an Atharvanic fabrication by the introduction of the older texts, bett iys usen as a common of the mirroduction of the clap-trap Rishis, Idhma Angirasa, and Barhi Angirasa, leading up to Brhaspati clap-trap Rishis, Januar augusess and Januar augusts, learning up to Biftaspati Angir is a who, of course, represents the Atharvano (fourth) priest. Section 2.2.5 Angir is a who, or country of the word makks in Nirokta manner, leading up starts with an explination of the word makks in Nirokta manner, leading up to one of those disquisitions on the defects of the sacraice (common in the parsa) which can be corrected only by the plotified Physachiroud. Cpublic certain touches in 2.1, 1712.6, 14, 15, 3, 9, etc. In addition the originality of the uttart consists in a certain freedom in transfering the diction of the Brahmaros, which it has borrowed; in a similating some—though by on means all—of their synans to Athanane conditions; and, above all, in channing in no small measure the manuro cited in these Brahmana to those in vogue with the Athananas Thus in 2.1.1, a prossure borrowed from KB, 6.13, the formula, idea advances and, i. is changed to, idea above arraphila, idea (Kaus, 5, 7; 137, 30); in the ideal's participant, it is considered in the GB, 2, 3, 13, 130; in the ideal's participant, is changed to, idea above the GB, 2, 3, 13, units, ideal's fartists Internal Lytipal, hecture its ideal of a Brahman-pricet is a Blagsadgrovid. Other adaptations of this sort, at times quite clever, more often superficial and bungling, will appear in the analysis of the text that is to follow.

\$ 66 The Parta-Brahmana. - The most conspicuous feature of the first part of the GB, is that it does not follow at all the order (sajiakrama) of the Vait, nor is its object in the main the illustration of the normal kinds of Stauta-sacrifices. It is, to begin with, also a large borrower, but the source drawn upon is almost exclusively the Satapatha-Brahmana (books 11 and 12). From the beginning of the fourth prapathala through to 1, 5, 22 the text seems to be nothing but a secondary mouthing over of a considerable part of the 12th book of SB. The subject is a mystic, theosophic treatment of the sattra of the year and other forms of the soma-sacrifice. Though there is some degree of independence on the part of GB, both in the wording, and in an occasional mantra, there can be in this part no question of independent Atharvan school tradition; nor can the subject as treated by both texts be referred to a common earlier source. The GB, purloins the materials of the SB quite undisguisedly, occasionally only it infuses into them those special Atharvanic traits which that text affects the praise of the fourth Veda, the mention of Atharvan, Angiras, Bhrgu, etc.; see, e.g., Glb. 1. 4. 24; 5. 10, 11, 15, 10. The dependence in general of the Vait, upon the school of the white YV, ensures a certain correspondence with the treatment of the sattra in Vait 31-34; but this is no more in the nature of close companionship than is the case in the relation of SII, 12 to its Samhita (VS.). Aside from this, only the eleventh book of SB, and a section or two of the AB, have, been exploited by GB.: 1. 3. 2: AB. 5. 32. 3 ff.; - 1. 3. 3: AB. 5. 32. 5-33. 4; - 1. 3. 4: AB. 5. 31. 1 ff.; - 1. 3. 6-10: SB. 11. 4. 1; - 1. 3. 11, 12: SB. 11, 5. 3. 1-7; - 1. 3. 13, 14: SB. 11. 5. 3. 8 ff.; - 1. 3. 18: AB. 7. 1. 15.

The last three sections of the purva are metrical, and not far removed from the type of Parisist; they are, apparently, not from one and the same hand. They do not bear upon the individual acts of the ritual, but seem to be a statement of the position and beliefs of the Atharvans in regard to the general aspects of Vedic lore and sacrifice, with the special purpose of defining and glorifying the AV. This, indeed, is the leading theme of the pirra as a whole; to this it adheres throughout the considerable variety of subjects which are handled in the first three praphthakas, whether they are cosmogonies, speculations in Upanisad style, comments on sacrificial details, grammatical disquisitions (1. 1. 24—28), or even statements in the manner of the Caranayyibia (1. 1. 29). To carry to the front the AV. and the fourth priest (the so-called Brahman), who must be an Atharvängiroid, and to point to failure and discomiture in all holy concerns managed without the fourth Veda, is beyond question the original motive underlying the production of

the Atharvan Brāhmana. Every tetrad is a veritable god-send to the author or authors. Whether it be the four-footed animal (1, 2, 24); four metres (often); the sacred syllable on, divided artificially into four moras (1. 1. 16); the cosmic tetrads, earth and fire; atmosphere and wind; heaven and sun; moon and waters (1. 1. 29, et al.); or psycho-physical tetrads like speech, breath, sight, and mind (1. 2. 11; 3. 14): they are all pressed into service to show the inherent need and primordiality of the catur reda, as stated most formally 1. 1. 16. Occasionally, yet quite familiarly (1. 2. 21, 24; 5. 10; cf. also 1, 1, 7 and 1, 3, 3), the fourfold Veda is expanded into the Atharvanic pentad by dividing the AV. into two, santa = atharvan, and ghora = angiras (see p. 8). These two assume such reality in the mind of the author as to be furnished each with an independent währli, on for the Atharvan, janat for the Angiras: between these two the vyāhṛtis of the trayī (bhāh, bhurah, stah) are placed for protection (gup)6. Very neat manipulations are carried on to this end, as when GB. 1. 4. 24 substitutes catvaro vedah for catuspadah Assarah in SB. 12, 2, 2, 20, or when GB. 1, 5, 10 assumes the above-mentioned five Vedas for three in SB, 12, 3, 3, 2. That the GB clearly associates the AV: and its functionaries with brahma in the sense of universal religion (sarravidya), and brahmd in the sense of universal theologian (sarravid) may be gathered from 1, 2, 18; 5, 11, 15, 19; see SBE, NLII, p. tu ff. above, S 33.

Though the pūrva, in distinction from the uttara, impresses one with a certain elemental, energetic independence in style and subject-matter; though it does not borrow as much and as bare-facedly as the uttara; though it does not make it its business to follow and illustrate any other Atharvan text; yet it is without question an exceedingly late production, and also presupposes Kaus, and Vait, as well as the Saunakiya-saphitā in 20 books?. Nor are its materials at all from the same hand, as may be seen on comparing, e.g., the opening cosmogony, 1 1. 1-15, with the second part, 1. 1 16-30, the so called Pranava-Upanisad. Section 1. 2. 8 mentions the god Siva and is Pauranic. Section 1. 1. 28 mentions an evil divinity Dosapati, who figured as a Rishi at the beginning of the diapara-age, reminding us of Disin, a name of the Buddhist devil Miras. Sections 1 1. 25-27 contain grammatical matters of an advanced type, 1. 1 29 is in the nature of a Caranayydha, certainly very late. The proof that the parta came after Vatt, just as Vait is later than Kaus, can be stated definitely and technically. Vait, 5, 10 two classes of plants, one Atharvanic (blessed, holy), the other Angirasic (terrible, sorcery-plants), are mentioned, the latter, unknown to Kaus, is catalogued in full, the former, having been stated, Kaus, 8 16, 18 merely alluded to with the words, enadiblir atharvaniblin. GB. 1 2. 18, in its turn, having both Kaus, and Vait, behind it, is content to allude to both classes with the vague words athar anithus canginaühus ea they would be unintelligible but for their reference to the preceding texts. Aguin, as in the case of the uttara, the pūrva at times treats Vait, as its Samin the case of the uttara, the purva at thines treats vall as its Sam-thi, as far as the mantras are concerned. Thus GR 1, 1, 12 quotes the pida, agair yajñan trivriam saftatanium, from the Pappalida-hymn gien in full, Vait 10, 17; and GR 1, 2, 18 (end) quotes by pratika the five stanzas, Vait 6, t. Finally, aside from the general corre-pondence of subjectmatter and terminology, as when, e. g., GR 1. 3. 11, 12 shares with Vait. important words unknown elsewhere, the GB occasionally presents Briannana which read like late notes on Vait. Thus GB, 1, 3, 17, describing the variety of agricoma called chara, is scarcely more than an afterthought to Vait. 24, 20; or GR 1, 2, 18 (second half) contains an Athara106 H. Litteratur u. Geschichtt. 1 B. Atharva-Veda and Gopatha-Brähmana.

anic legend clearly built upon Vait. 5. 10, and, more remotely, upon Kaus.

Dan 3

Yet the purva is not devoid of a certain originality. The cosmogony, I. I. I-15: the Pranaya-Upanisad, I. I. 16-30; the Gayatri-Upanisad, I. I. 31-38; the sections on the duties of the Brahmacarin (rubricating AV. 11. 5) in 1. 2. 1-9; the Brahmana of the 'fire-footed horse' at the agnyadhana in 1. 2. 18-21, with quite a number of original words at the end of 1. 2. 21; and other sections seem to represent a form of scholastic activity unknown elsewhere in this precise form. The list of subsidiary writings in 1. 2. 10 (1, 2, 9 of the edition), though again late in character, does not occur elsewhere in this arrangement and extent ". A somewhat independent statement of the yajiiakrama is presented in 1, 5, 7. No Vedic text is wanting in independent mantras and formulas, or fails to introduce independent variants into such as are paralleled by other texts. In this regard the purva is much like other Brahmanas: 1. 1. 9 contains a mantra of Upanisad-character (frestho ha zedas), repeated with variants by Savana in his introduction to the AV. p. 5, but otherwise unknown. In 1.1.39 the pratika, apo garbham janayantih, seems to be a version (Paippalada?) of AV. 4, 2, 8. In 1, 2, 7 an expiatory mantra, recited by Brahmacarins in case they happen to step upon a burialground, is added to other *prayascitta*-stanzas which GB shares with Vait. 12. 3, 9. At the end of the same section (1, 2, 7) AV, 11, 5, 23 in its Paippalada form is presented in sakalapātha; similarly the mantra, catvāri śriigās (for springa, RV. 4.58 3, et al.), in 1.2,1712. In 1, 2, 9 the mantra, antarikse pathibhir etc., shows marked variants as compared with its parallel, RV. 10. 168. 3; the formulas in 1, 3, 13 do not occur in Vait, and differ from those in the corresponding passage, SB, 11, 5, 3, 8 ff.; the two stanzas at the end of 1.5.5 also present variants as compared with SB. 12. 3. 2.7-8, occurring nowhere else. Above all, the typical mantras at the three daily soma-offerings (speno 'si etc.) in 1. 5. 12 differ not only from those of the corresponding passage, SB. 12.3.4.3-5, but also from those of the Saunakiya-samhita (AV. 6. 48), and, as far as known, from all other versions of these formulas 13. And throughout the text, aside from the three metrical chapters 1, 5, 23-25, there are slokas and other metrical passages so clearly Atharvanic in character that they may not be expected to turn up in older texts (e. g. 1. 1. 32, end; cp. 2. 2. 5): their Patisista-character and their originality are equally obvious.

The following brief account of the contents of the GD, follows the text section by section. The more or less original themes of the text are dealt with at greater length; the parts which are extracted with or without modifications and adaptations from other Bishmans are dealt with very lightly: frequently a mere reference to the source from which the GB, has derived

its material was found sufficient.

The Gopatha Richmana of the Abharra Veda. Edited by RAIKADRALAR MITS and Hassackuba Vito Nauthesha, Calcuta 1872. Critical remarks on the text of this edition: O'TTO v. BOHTLINGE, BUSGEW, April, 1896, p. 12.ff. of the report; BUGOWITS, JAOS. XIX, p. 14.ff. The fart and the contents and character of the GB appeared in Max MOLLIFE, HASI-, p. 445-4551 p. COLUMBOOK, East).

end == Kauŝ. 94 3.4. — 6 See the remark on jinat, below, § 67, note 9 For the Atharvans as goffárefa, see GR. 1.1.13. — 7 Cp. GR. 1.1.4, § 8, which allule in a cloudy way to the finished daskeussis in 20 books (see \$3.5). Note the contrast between join mangdalut (RV) and roam dayloud (AV) in 1.2 9 of the contrast between join mangdalut (RV) and roam dayloud (AV) in 1.2 9 of as the initial strunt of the AV, does not militate against the view that is GR. as the initial strunt of the AV, does not militate against the view that is GR. is, however, not unacquainted with the Paippallot; see before the AV, does not militate against the view that is GR. is, however, not unacquainted with the Paippallot; see before the AV, does not make a proposed the AV, does not construct the AV, and the AV, an

B. CONTENTS OF THE PÜRVA-BRAHMAŅA

\$ 67. First Prapathaka: 1. 1. 1-15. An Atharvanic cosmogony in Upanisad manner'. - The lonely Brahma decides to create a second god By means of lapas sweat (seeda) is produced upon the Brihma's brow; this it punningly and mystically regards as good knowledge (sureda), alluding perhaps in this way to the Brahmaveda (1). Through continued tapas more sweat breaks in streams (dhāra) from the Brahma's hair-sockets on account of which the Brahma proposes to sustain (dhara)113 ami), create (jamayinami), and obtain (denami) this all Through a chain of more or less irrelevant additional puns the creation of the waters (apah, punning with desyami) takes place. The waters are the element of the Atharvan throughout, just as its divinity is the moon 3 (2). In these waters he beholds his own reflection; his seed falls into the water. The Brahma belabors the waters until they are divided into two, the salme undrukable ocean, and the sweet waters. The latter being heated, the seed in it is dried up (achirpata), and, lo, we have the Atharvanic sage Bhrgu (3) The Brahma vamshes, Bhrgu starts after it in various directions futilely, until the voice of the wind (Vata) tells him to look for it below (atharran) in these waters. Therefore that became Atharvan and was exactly like Brahma (4) Brahma eliborates Atharvan into 10 Atharvan Rus, respectively chana, dyrea, etc., and 10 Atharvana Arseyas, respectively etallasia, dradasia, etc (symbolizing the 20 books of the AV)4. From these the AV is elaborated, from the latter the syllable on which (in the manner of the Upanisads) can be mule to assume the function and virtue of the entire W (5). After the creation of the Veda of Athurvan comes the regulation cosmogonic act whose final outcome is the trajī ridra with its dismites, elements, and traditis, e.g., Rig Veda, arth, Agn, and Muh5 (6). Just as the seed in the sweet waters produced Phrgu and in the sequel Atharvan, thus, next, the saline witers by successive cosmogonic acts (with puns) are made to yield the occur (surrudra), Varun, Mrtyu (Mucya), and by extracting from the limbs (anga) of Mrtyu their sap (rasa) the final result, Anguras, B reached? The origin of Anguras from the bitter waters of the ocean, next from Varuna who is taken here without doubt in his occasional sinister demonrac sense (as if Vftray), and finally from Mrtyu, is intended to turn forth the terrible side of the Angurasa-Veda in contrast with the auspicious Atharvan and Atharvana-Veda, derived above through Plargu. The latter is more particularly the substitute of Atharvan: blagt asignatah — atharvangirintah (7). From the sage Arguras the Amarvan: engrangining and from them 10 Angirasa Arseyas, the Brahma elaborates 20 Angiras Rsis and from them 10 Angirasa Arseyas, the latter correlated respectively with 16, 18, 12, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 2, and 7 feet. This again alludes to the diaskensis of the Veda, but why these numbers, and why this order? From the Angirasa-Veda comes the word janat which is the tradicti of that Veda9 (8). This is followed by an especial panegyric of the Angirasah, illustrated by a mantra of independent character 10. (9). Brahma next elaborates from the six directions five other Vedas and from these the vyāhrtis: sarpaveda and vrdhat; pisācaveda and karat; asuraveda and guhat; itihāsaveda and mahat; furānaveda and tad" (10). From the near and distant regions he extracts sam, 'the high syllable', urdh am ' aksaram, with allusion, doubtless, to the santa-veda (11). Brahma continues to create the moon, stars, plants and trees, and from his minor breaths the many other gods', winding up with the 'threefold, seven-stringed sacrifice consisting of 21 forms'. The text illustrates the latter by citing a pada from the Paippalada-hymn given in full Vait, 10, 17, and by referring to the ślokachapter, GB. 1. 5.25 (12). Brahma then institutes a sacrifice choosing certain divinities as special Srauta-priests12. Candramas the divinity of the AV. figures as Brahman-priest, the Atharvangirasah as a special variety of Brahmans, called goptarah. Prajapati recommends that abundant fees be given to such priests lest there be injury to the rtvij, the sacrificer, and his offspring (13). Finally the functions of the Brahman-priest (and the goptarah) as curers (samdhana) of the flaws of the sacrifice (virista) are defined 13 (14,15). The entire cosmogony is original in that it establishes the Atharvan as the pivot about which the creative acts revolve, and in leading up devterously to the presumably main object of a Brahmana of the AV.: the exaltation of the functions of the Brahman-priest who is, of course, understood to be an Atharvayedin,

2 Cp. Beh Ar. Up. 5, 4 — * μοτολεμε GB, 1, 1, 7 (bis) 39; 2, 21 (bis); 3 19; 4 3, and similarly AB, 3, 3, 5; 7, 30, 4; 1B, 1, 5, 9, 2; c. — 3 GB, 1, 1, 20, 29, 39; 2, 16, 32; 3, 14; 5, 15, 19. The conception reaches back to the Saghitz tistelf, AV, 2, 22 and 3, 3, 4; 5, 15, 19. The conception reaches back to the Saghitz tistelf, aV, 2, 22 and 3, 3, 45; 5, 15, 19. The conception in the second cosmogone, 5; 11, 1, 12, 19. — 6 This extraorder also gBB, 17, 17, 17, 17, 18, 18, 11, 17, 19. — 6 This extraorder also gBB, 18, 18, 19, 17, 19, 18, 21, 21, 21, 21, 24; 3 3; 2, 2, 14. Neither Kausilia nor Vationa bring out any such distinction, pand being the general Abbravanic splarer, see the indexes to the editions, p. 35! (Kauš), and p. 95 (Vatt). For the origin of pund cp. RV, 2, 21, 4; 4, 0, 20; and 3, 61, 4, — 10 Quoted with variants by Sayana in the state-duction to the AV, p. 5, — 11 Cp. Kauš, 91, 10 lf. — 12 Emend in the test, comad, adhangaes to comadishovaryous, — 31 Cp. Kauš, 9, 5β. The passage in GB, 1, 1, 14, 14d sabhā latapten etc., 18 borrowed from Chând Up. 4, 17, 7; it is alluded to a second time in GB, 1, 3.

\$ 68. First Praphthaka: 1.1.16—30. The Pranava-Upanizad, being a cosmogonic account deriving creation from the om.—In the Persian translation of fifty Upanisads, the so-called Oupnekhat, which was made in 1656 for the Sultan Mohammed Drift Shukoh in the city of Delhi, and which was afterwards (1851—2) translated by Akoquetti Durir Rob into Latin, the Pranou figures as the 48th Upanisad of the collection! Webrs, after erroneously identifying the Pranou with the Prâna and Pranagnihota Upanisads (IS. Il. 249, 286), soon recognized its proper title to be Pranava (IS. Il. 394, 396; Ill. 396), and presented an account of it, condensed from Anquetti's Latin translation (IS. IX 49 ff). Manuscripts of an independent Pranava-Upanisad are reported in the catalogues, but have not up to date come into the hands of students of the Upanisads. Thus Durssen in list recent translation of the Upanisads (Sechigi Upanishad's, pp. 858 ff) felt compelled to present the Pranou in a German translation from Anquetti's Person Latin version, without, as he thought, having access to original.

Indologists for more than 25 years: it is the part of the GII. here before us. A comparison of GII. 1. 1. 16—30 with Deussia's translation shows that the differences between the two versions are unimportant: they are likely to be due to the blending of text and commentary in the Persian version, as well as to the peculiar tertiary character of Deussia's final result. In its form as an independent Upanişal the Pranava is divided into three Brahmanas, embracing respectively sections 16—22; section 23; and sections 24—30 of GI. A quick survey of its contents and a comparison with GII. may be gotten with the help of Drussia's translation.

This part of GB represents obviously an independent account of creation by a different writer. It is probably of later date than the first cosmogony, and conceived under different impulses; The Brahma (neuter) creates Brahma (masc.) upon a lotus-leaf. The latter by means of penance perceives the syllable om of two letters, four morae. With the first letter he perceives the waters and moisture, with the second brilliancy and the luminaries (16). From the three vocalic morae (i. e., presumably, o plutied) a chain of cosmic, liturgic, and psycho-physical triads (cp. 1, 1, 6) are next derived: earth, atmosphere, heaven; fire (with plants and trees), wind, sun; RV., YV., SV.; bhūr, bhuvah, svah; gāyatrī, tristubh, jagatī, etc. (17-19). From its first consonantal mora vs are derived water, moon (cp. 1. 1. 13), AV., om itself (1 om iti szam ātmānam), janat (the vyahrti of the Angiras; cp. 1. 2. 24), anustubh, etc. (20). From its second consonantal mora m the itihusa-purana and other literary varieties, which differ wholly from the corresponding account in the first cosmogony, I. I. 10; vidhat and the other Atharvanic 17 ahrtis (1 1. 10); musical instruments, singing, and dancing, the metre byhati; etc. (21). The second cosmogony leads up to the same chmax as the first, the glorification of the duties of the Atharvanic Brahman-priest who heals with the om before and after the sacrifice all its defects (illustrated by AV. 10. 8. 9, and 9. 10. 18). The rather digmified chapter winds up with a hocus pocus according to which on recited 1000 times grants all wishes (22). At this point ends the first Brahmana of the Pranava. The remaining chapters of the om-cosmogony seem again secondary. In Brahmana manner a conflict of the gods and Asuras about the city (aundranagaram) of the Vasordhāras (Anqueru's defective Sudhā) is narrated, the Asuras are victorious until the gods turn victors under the leadership of om, the oldest son of Brahma. The reward of the om is that no holy text shall be chanted without on. Hence the om figures as follows: re) rs bhavait, sajust yajuh, sammi tience the om ngures as 1011008 19 18 macun, Jugus yajun, sammi sāma, sloke slokah, pranate pranatah, thus sayeth a Brahmana-text The AV. a stoke stokay, pranate projects the origin of the passage from a non-Atharvan source (23). At this point ends the second Brāhmana of the Pranava. The next chapters contain a grammatico-philosophic disquisition on the on which betrays its very late and independent origin by statements not in accord with the preceding. Thus in section 25, as frequently in the later AV. Upanişads, four morae are ascribed to the om in a manner different AV. Upanişads, four morae are not only in the later from section 17. The etymology, pronunciation, and use of om are described with the original and the section of the state of the section with great detail, introducing a large number of technical grammatical terms? with great detail, introducing about the on are posited. In section 25 Prajapati in section 24 thirty-six question, about the posterior in section 25 Prajapati explains the on to Indra: it is said to have different pronunciations in the explains the on to mura; it is made to have university pronunciations in the four Vedas (trarilodulla in the RV., etc.). Its four morae are said to be lour Vedas (trarilodana in the Art, etc.). Its four morae are said to be respectively brahmadatalya, zisnudevalya, zianadatalya, fariadatalya (text sarra*); respectively brahmaaccan, respectively brahm each has an individual. In defining the term aryaya the karika, Mahabhasya I, answered in detail. In defining the term aryaya the karika, Mahabhasya I, answered in detail.

p. 96 (Kielhorn's edition) is introduced. In section 28 an evil divinity Dosapati

figures as a Rishi at the beginning of the dvāpara-age (see \$ 66, note 1). The chapter again leads up to the importance of the birgzańgirozidah (24-28). This in turn furnishes the occasion for an account of the four Vedas and renewed exaltation of the AV. The Vedas, their divinities (the moon, as usual, the divinity of the AV.), metres, and especially their initial mantas are stated: as opening manta of the AV. the pratika of 1.6.1 (fam no draft abhittate) is given. A renewed mystic exaltation of the om serves as the finale of the om-cosmogony (29, 30).

**Cp. Max Mollifs, Sill; vol. 1, p. 1vii fi. - * See p. 21, note 52. - 3 kead, a fast inchar ca, for agranded co, with Editting & BKSGW, Tebrary, 1896 p. 16 of the reprint. - * Cp., e. cg. Chand. Up. VI. 2 fi. - 2. Though one is said it. 1. 1. 16, 25 to consuit of four morae, its treatment here presuppose for The vseems to be a transitional consonant derived from o = 10 kes Visikis, and the consonal derived from o = 10 kes Visikis, and the consonal derived from o = 10 kes Visikis, Introduction, p. xxxvii fi. 7, 5 kes Diditings, 1. c., p. 17. - * See Kvisikis, Introduction, p. xxxvii fi. and above, p. 14.

S 69. First Prapathaka: 1, 1. 31-38. The Gayatri-Upanisad. This text describes itself as an Upanisad, and deals with a theme suggestive of the Shavank Upanisad, though it is not at all like it (cp. DEUSSIN, I. c. p. 867). It has been translated by Raji NDRAI ALA MITRA in the introduction to his edition of GB., p 19 ff. It begins with the legend of a scholastic duel between Maudgalya and a strange sage Gliva Maitreya, apparently an adherent of the Sama Veda, judging by the fact that the name Glava is mentioned in PB. 25. 15.3, SB 1 4 6; Chind Up. 1.12, and, as far as is known, nowhere else. Glava is defeated because he cannot explain 'the savitri, the gayatri, of 24 syllables and 12 (cosmic) pairs, whose eve are the bhrgwangirasah, on which all this is founded'. Maudgalya then explains (33) Savitar and the satisfied as consisting of 12 cosmic pairs (mithunam): mind and speech; Agni and earth, etc., vinding up with the sacrifice and its fee (yajña and daksina). Then follows a mystic correlation of the three padas of the gayatri with three cosmic sets of twelve each, pithit, re, agni, etc., antariksa, yajus, zaju, etc. each of which has the final outcome of reata, religious devotion (cp. rarensa in the text of the gajatri). With characteristic inconsistency the AV, itself is ignored in this apportionment of the gajatri, though it has been assumed that the three arginasah are its eye. The subject ends with the statement, sriyam asnute ya .. ecam etam vedanam mataram suvitrisampadam upanisadam utasta iti brahmaram

\$ 70. First Prapāthaka 1, 1, 39. The ācamana-rite.—The first prapithaka ends with a chayter on the acamana, being the Brāhunapa-comment to Vail 1, 19, Kaus 3, 4, 50, 22 The opening pratika, apo garbham fana-yanth, seems to refer to an independent (Papipalida?) version of AV-4-28, (Apo catang fanaparths), other mantras (AV-19, 69 and 70), formulas, and slokas are also cited. The main pre-cript is, that the ācamana, the act of insing the mouth, shall take place three times; the Atharvan school-character of the chapter appears in the Hentification of the three ācamanat with the furnital thema, dijabhagan, and samthitahamāh (Kauš 3, 19; Vail 1, 4, etc.) Iinally the Silgyangirash are correlated with the waters by means of a sloka similar to the prose-statement in 1, 1, 29. The clayter is an independent, though late, Atharvanic lacularation: it may possible have been suggested by the expression, āpo jpatsi fratighitah, in the pre-ceding section.

\$71. Second Prapāthaka: 1.2.1-9. The duties of the Brabinacārin. — The theme may have been suggested by the preceding treatse on the shuth, whose acquisition is an important part of the training of the Brahman disciple. The treatment is based upon AV.11.5, a hymn glorifying the sun as a Brahman disciple; it is carried out with considerable originality, differing markedly from the presentations in SB. 11. 5. 4; TA. 2, and the Gthyasutras. The Brahmacarin is urged to overcome the seven passions: caste-pride (brahman arcasam), fame, sleep, anger, bragging, personal beauty, and fragrance, which are correlated respectively with the antelope, the teacher, the boa, the boar, water', maidens, trees and plants. If he clothes himself in the skin of the antelope he obtains brahmavarcasam; if he works for his teacher he obtains the latter's fame; if, though sleepy, he abstains from sleep he obtains the sleep that is in the boa; if, humble in spirit, he does not injure. any one through anger he obtains the anger that is in the boar; if he does not perform braggart tricks in the water he obtains the braggadocio that is in the water; if he does not look at a naked maiden he obtains the beauty that is in the maiden; if he does not smell at plants and trees, after having cut them, he becomes himself fragrant (1, 2). The next four sections continue the duties and rewards of the Brahmacarin with allegories and illustrative legends (3-6). The next chapter contains mantras in expiation of certain sins to which he is liable: two of them occur Vait. 12. 7-9 (ApS. 9.13. 11), but a third (yadıdam rtukāmya etc.) seems original with the GB. The Brahmacarin is next described as devanday parisidam, that which has been set in notion by the gods' (the sun), referring to AV.11.5.23 in its Paippaladatersion 3 (7). In chapter 84 the Brahmacarin is urged to betake himself to a hermitage, after the pattern of the great sages of yore. The son of the great Rish Vasisha recited the hemistich AV. 11. 5. 25^{ab} (11. 5. 24^{cd} in the valgata) into the mouth of a shell, in order that a cold and a hot spring should issue therefrom. Then in the middle of the river Vipias there arose the first hermitage Vasisphasila by name. This was followed by others: the names of the hermitages are for the most part original, After an account of an extraordinary feat of asceticism it is said that an account of an extraordinary parts upon the back of the Siva performed tapas during 48,000 brahmya years upon the back of the Ocean (attlasya preshe). The chapter is Panranic rather than Brāhmana. Lastly, in chapter 9, as at the end of each of the preceding broader themes the text again finds its way to a statement of the preemmence of the AV. and the Brahman-priest (they aniguras). After explaining the brahmaya-stanza AV. 13. 2. 27, the functions of the four Vedas and their Priests are discussed and illustrated by mantras from the AV, and elsewhere, one stanza (antarakse pathibhir etc.) being RV 10, 168, 3 with original \$ 72. Second Prapathala concluded. Various subjects: 1. 2. variants.

10-14. Disquisition on the sacrifice and the sacrificial place (devayajana). - A hungry priest, Vicarin the son of Kabandha Atharvana (cp.1.2.18), too proud to seek subsistence, is sent out by his equally hungry mother. Having gone to a soma-sacrifice at the court of the emperor Mandistar Yauvanasva he proceeds at once to give instruction in marked Upanisadstyle on the sacrifice and the choice of a sacrificial place 'As all rivers thaving proper names lose their identity in the ocean, thus do all the Vedas and subsidiary literary categories lose their individual character in the sacriand subsidiary iterary caregories of the ritialistic properties of the deen ajana the fice. After a description of the fine form relative position and functions of the four priests loom up once more; the brahman-priest is, of course, the mind of the sacrifice (cp. 1, 3, 2, 14). The pranman-priest 15, of course, and a definition of the devayajana under four subject is disposed of with a final definition of the devayajana under four subject is disposed or with a mind demand of the devayajana under four heads, almā, fraddhā, rteijah, and bhaumam, exhibiting points of contact with SB. 3. 1. 1.

1. 2. 15-17. The brahmaudana at the agnyadheva -- Here the GB, begins to address itself more directly to the task of acting as a Brahmana to the Vait. (5.4; cp. 6.6). Not, as might be expected, is this subject treated here in relation to the conspicuous brahmaudana-hymns, AV. 11.1 and 12.3, but with the superficial purpose of correlating the catuhprasya odana (porridge sufficient for four priests) with the Atharvanic Vedic tetrad, the string upon which the Brahmana harps in and out of season. After reciting the familiar legend of Adni who begot the Adnyas by eating the leavings of the brahmaudanas, the catuhprasia is correlated with the stereotyped cosmic and liturgic tetrads: the AV. comes in for the tetrad, apah, candramah, brahmateda, and brahmatva (function of the Brahman-priest). This is supported by liturgic explanations of the mantra cateari striggs etc. (RV. 4-4.58.3, et al)6, and AV. 10. 10. 2. This is followed by the recommendation, rather striking in an extreme partisan text, that the first fee at the sacrifice be given to an Atreya priest, descended from the Atri-stock, for the well-known reason that Atri freed the sun from darkness. The passage rubricate, AV. 13. 2. 4c1, 12, and seems to illustrate Vait, 21. 25 (cp. SB. 4. 3 4 21).

1, 2, 18-21. The iron-shod horse at the agnyadheya. - This horse, one of the main requirements of the agm adhera (Vait. 5, 11; SB. 2, 1, 4 16), is produced by Vac from frightful, gruesome waters. The three Vedas ful to pacify the horse; then Samyu Atharvana, the santi-principle of the AV.7, engages Vicarin, the son of Kabandha Atharvana (cp. 1. 2. 10), who prepares the 'holy water' (fant) udaka) by means of 'holy' (athar, ana) and 'witchcraft' (dieirasa) plants, and by the recitation of the appropriate lists of mintrase (18). The account of the horse is interrupted by an Atharvanic legend concerned with the origin and nature of the Brahman-priest and his assistants (cp. Vait. 11. 3): the latter are stated to be Sadasya, Brahmanicchainsin, Potar, and Agnidhra (19). The text returns to the 'fire-footed' horse, explaining why it is called Agui Vaisvanara in the mantra, agnim tidhur taikiduaram (Vait. 6. 7; Gli. 1. 2. 21), and to differentiate it from Agui Jatavedas, the fire at the acmiddhana itself. The Brahmana fi. e. the Brahmanic religion) to carried Agm Vanyanara; the latter created these worlds. Then Agni Jatavedas in rivalry determines to exhibit his brilliancy and force, so that the Brahmana should carry him also. Jatavedas exhibits his virtues in four different ways; the last time 'he saw Viraj, the wife of the Brahmana', and gave her to him. Then the Brahmana built Agni Jatavedas; Agni Vaisvanara, on the other hand, became the horse which frightened the gods, and Brahman (the Brahman-priest) calmed it with the above-mentioned stanza, and with the five stanzas, Vait. 6. 1. Next, anent Vait. 6. 8. the chariot (ratha) is mounted. It originated from the sap (rasa) of the Brahman, went to the gods, frightened them, but sundry stanzas appeased it also. Finally reasons are given why cows and gold are presented to the Brahmans at the callultraina (Vait. 6, 6).

1.2. 22-23. The simtapana-fire.— From the time of RV. 7. 59. 9 the term sandapana is associated with the Maruts, being ritualistically insted from the start. As in Vast. 9.2 the term appears everywhere in connection with the Maruts at the sakamedho-ectemonies (one of the seasonal sacrifices, caturnas) will. Here, however, it appears is the name of a fire connected with trailma, the Irahmapana, and the Irahmapan hasis. Expecially in the Gibya-ceremonies (samidans) the Pralmapan without the samdapana suggests to the writer a clock in a pot that does not stone. It would seem as though the single fire of the house-practices, in which a single Brahmapa is engaged,

were here called samtapana. The subject-matter itself, and the reason for its presence here are far from clear.

1, 2, 24—1, 3,5. Preeminence of the Atharvanic Brahman-priest.— The text returns to its pet theme, the Atharvanic Brahman (cp. Vait. 11. 2). An inane legend introduces the cosmic and liturgic tetrads (cp. 1. 2, 16), designed to shelter the fourth Veda, which figures in the series with atharvangirah, brahmatra, apah, candramah ... om ity atharvanam sukram, janad ity angirasam (cp. 1. 1. 20, and above, p. 8).

SBE. XIII, p. 214, 626 ff. — Read, kroško kak iligham, for, kroškojašingham, in the test, p. 23, last line. — 3 See Rott, AV, in Kaschmir, p. 23 — 4 Marked 7 in the edition; the false numbering continues to 14; after that correctly 15; etc. - 5 Cp. TS 6, 5, 6, 1; MS, 2, 1, 12; TB, 1, 1, 9 1, -6 This hturgic explanation and Achavaka, figures as one of the assistants of the Hotar: e.g. 2, 4, 4,
and Achavaka, figures as one of the assistants of the Hotar: e.g. 2, 4, 4,
10 Cp. SE. 1, 4, 1, 10 ff. — 11 Weber, IS. X 340; Hillerandor, Ritaal-Literatur,

\$73. Third Prapāthaka. Various subjects: 1.3.1—5. Preeminence of the Atharvanic Brahman priest (continued). - In 1, 3, 1 they that know the bhrgwangirasah are called deed brahmanah, without them the sacrifice limps like a quadruped deprived of its allowance of four feet. Section 1.3.2 continues with an Atharvanic redaction of AB, 5, 32, 3ff, with this conspicuous difference that the AB, says, atha kena brahmati am krayala iti traya ridyaya; but the GB. ascribes the brahmateam to the Atharvan. This is followed in 1.3.3 by explatory rules when priests break their silence at certain sacrifices. This is again an Atharvanic mouthing over of AB, 5, 32, 5-33, 4, with the difference that the GB, places om in front and janat after the expiatory formulas bhur, etc.: obviously om represents the athar, anah, janat the angirasah (cp. 1.1.20, 2.24); the other formulas are, as it were, sandwiched in between these. In 1. 3. 4 the cluef services for which the priests receive their fees are recounted after the pattern of AB.5 34 1ff, those of the Brahman priest (decayajunam me ciklpad etc.) are of interest. This is followed (1. 3. 5) by an inconsequential legend showing how in a sacrifice undertaken with only three priests the fees were reduced by one half.

1.3.6-10. Mystic explanation of the new moon and full-moon sacrifice. — This is an expanded treatment of SB 11.4 1, giving the impression of direct dependence upon that text. Uddilaka Yrum, the son of Gotama, from the country of the Kurupancalis, is chosen by his people to go to the Northerners (udicpun), where he engages in a brahmody a contest with Svandayana Saunaka, the prize being a necklace. The separate acts of the darsafarnamara are correlated with facts and events in the development of the human body: Hair grows first on the head, later comes the beard and hair on the body; it turns grey first on the head, finally all over the body. our on the pody; it mans give more than the mean, amony an over the body. Creatures are born toothless, the teeth coming later; they fall out in the Seventh or eighth year, grow again, doomed all to fall out in the end. The seventn or eignin year his, the upper later on; the lower are narrower and lower teeth appear first, the upper later on; the lower are narrower and iower teeth appear man, the upper lawer on, the lower are narrower and weaker, the upper broader and stronger; etc. The physiological review ends, weaker, the upper victims at interpatrate kasmat saked (? saked) opinam (?) kasmat idam stinam uccasa eti nicipatrate kasmat saked (? saked) opinam (?) A specimen of the correlation of these facts with the practices at the daria-A specimen of the acrificial straw (karkit) is first spread furnamasa is as follows: Because the sacrificial straw (karkit) is first spread

Indo-arische Philologia, IL 18.

16. 6. — 8 The majority of the mss. at Vait. present them in an abbreviated (galita) form.

\$ 74. Fourth Pranathaka. Mystic-theosophic exposition of the sattra of the year .- The dependence of this long and elaborate theme of GR. upon book 12 of SB. has been stated above, \$ 66. It extends through the fourth and fifth prapathakas, with the exception of the last three sections (23-25) of the fifth. The first 6 sections = SB. 12. 1. 1. deal with the diked of the 16 Stauta-priests - the 17th, the sadasya, is not mentioned (cp. Vait. 31. 1 and 11. 3). This is followed (7. 8) by a mythical derivation of the various istis and other acts of the agnistoma from the divinities supposed to correspond to them, and an account of the rewards attending these ceremonies; the agnistoma figures here as one of the main features of the visural day of the sattra of the year, as well as many other days: see SB. 12. 1. 2. 1 and 12. 1. 3. 1—7, and cp. Vait 11—17. The next two sections (9, 10) derive the separate parts and ceremonies of the normal sattra, the gazāmayana, from gods and divine personifications, with attendant rewards: see SB, 12, 1, 2, 2, 3 and 12. 1. 3. 8-22, and cp Vait, 31. 7 ff. Next, two sections (11, 12) correlate the sattra and certain of its parts with the year: see St. 12. 2. 3. 1. Then five sections (13-17) discuss the parts of the sattra from the point of view of the mahavrata-days2: see SB, 12, 1, 3, 23, and 12, 2, 3, 2-4, 8. The , discussion winds up with an expanded statement of SB, 12.2.3 4: the position of the abhiblava in the sattra between two prethya is correlated with certain facts of ordinary life. Two sections (18, 19), essentially the same as SB 12. 3. 3. 6, 7, compare the sattra-year with the wings of a great eagle, the tisuratday in the middle being, as it were, the body of the eagle. Next (20 = SR. 12. 2. 2. 1) the character of the notth (notistoma) as part of the abhipla: a is discussed. Then follow two sections (21, 22 - SB. 12. 2 3. 10, 11) with an account of the ascending and descending scale of the festival-divisions of the sattra-year, the zisurat-day in the middle, the second part of this account is then (23) supported by a legend of the contest between the Adityas and Angiras which is worked up rather differently in SB 12 2, 2, 9-12. This is dangerous ground for the GB, to venture upon, since the legends of the struggles between the Adityas and Angiras regularly exhibit the latter in the position of vanquished victims, similar to the Asir is in their struggles with the Devas; that, of course, is contrary to the spirit of the GB where the Angiras are especially revered. The mere appearance of such a legend in this text betrays its secondary origin, but for the fact that it does not in this instance present the Anguas in too pronounced a position of disadvantage, it would be intolerable here. The prip thaka is concluded (24 = SB 12.2. 2. 13-23) with a theological discourse (brahme ha) between Predi (SB Proti) Kausimbeya Kausuravindu and his teacher Uddilaka Aruni in which the sacrifice, the year, as the single one (ckam), is said to consist of respectively ten, nine, eight, etc. days (ahanı). Very neat is the turn given by the Gli. to the relation of the year with the number four Whereas the SB, establishes this relation through the four-footed cattle, the GB, does not neglect to clinch its oft-repeated assertion that the Veda is fourfold: catvaro var vali redair pajñas tijate. It is not possible to doubt that GB, presents the secondary version of the two.

¹ Vait. 31. 10; KŠ 13. 2. 7. — ² Sec Hilliprandt, Ritual Litteratur, p. 157. — 3 Weder, Nakyatu, H. 25; note; Hillerandt, L. c.; cp. Vail. 31. 14. — 4 Cp. Weder, I. L. 201 ff.; Phisches in vedischen Ritual, p. 46.

\$ 75. Fifth Prapathaka: 1. 5. 1-22. Exposition of the sattra of the year concluded. — The first section — \$B. 12. 2. 2. 12, deals with the

abhiplava, normally of six days, in mystic variations which enable it to embrace from five days to one. Next (2 = SB, 12, 2, 1, 1 = 0) comes a phase of the sattra called gaidahapatighā; and then three sections in which the sattra and its parts are correlated with the cosmic man (puryta) and his members (3 = SB, 12, 2, 4, 1 ff; 5 = SB, 12, 3, 2, 1 ff). Itses sections the GB. exhibits a good deal of independence; especially the two slokas in section 5 differ considerably from those in SB, 12, 3, 2, 6, 17, 3, 5, 12–13) comes a statement of the identical grouping and numerical relation of the main events of the two sattra half-years on either side of the vigural-day, which differs from those made in Vatt, 31, 154, GB, 1, 5, 23.

At this point (7) the text introduces a jajnakrama stating the so-called hat irjajna or itit, and the soma-sacrifices (somasansthāh). It coincides with the order followed in the Vait. only up to the first of the soma-festivals, the agnituma. Nor does this yajnakrama, or that exhibited practically in Vait. coincide with that stated farther on, 611. 15.23. The latter coincides almost perfectly with the classical yajnakrama, as reported on the authority of Gauttama's Dharmastira 8.19, 20?; the present account is more or less independent of all other known statements. This yajnakrama is merely a stepping-stone to the glorification of the saharadaksina-sacnitice (8). Prajūati performs all ceremonies in the order of the jajnakrama, obtaining only perishable results (antam), but when he follows up a satura with the sahasradaksina he obtains imperishable results. The two sections beer every mark

of secondary construction in behalf of Vait. 34, 21,

In renewed touch with SB, section 9 of GB. = SB. 12. 3. 5. 3-11 advises those that are devoting themselves to the sattra (sami atsarasamsadah) to keep intact the other sacrifices, agnihotra, etc., which ordinarily would claim their attention. Next (10 - SB. 12. 3. 3. 1ff.) there is a legend, in illustration of Vait. 42, 18, about the impossible sattra of a 1000 years 3, for which the visvajit is substituted: the GB, characteristically substitutes five Vedas (ret, yajusi, samni, sante = atharrani, and ghore = angirasi) for the three Vedas, SB, 12, 3, 3, 24. Sections 11-20 expand considerably the subject of the three daily savanas, as treated in SB. 12. 3. 4. 1ff. The GB, manages to import a good many Atharvan traits: in 11, à propos of vidvamsah, the fourth (Brahman) priest is described in almost the same words as Kaus. 94. 3, 4-The four formulas, mayi bhargah ... mayi sarvam, are correlated in SB 12 3. 4. 6-9 with three cosmic-liturgic forms, including the trayī vidyā and an indefinite fourth corresponding to sarram, namely, ye anye lokas (decas, vedas, prānās) tat sarram; the GB. changes this into a definite tetrad, so as to provide amply for an Atharvanic cosmos (apah, candramah, etc.) in relation to the formula mayi sarram (sarvavidya - brahmaveda); see sections 15 and 19. Very noteworthy is the original version of the three formulas at the three savanas (12-14)5: the passage GB 11-20 offers perhaps the most conspicuous instance of the manner in which this text, though removed by an almost immeasurable distance from the original springs of Srauta-tradition, vet manages to adapt existing materials to its all-absorbing purpose, the glorification of the Atharvan At this point (21) a legend introducing Dadhyanc Angirasa, not found in SB, correlates the formulas, on śrāvaya, etc., containing . 17 syllables, with the year, or Prajapati, or the sacrifice6, and then leads up to the pravara, the invitation of the manes, Vait. 2. 15; the very words of that text as also the citation (AV. 6. 123. 3-5) are repeated in GB. The subject is concluded (22 - SB 12. 3. 5. 11) with the savitrapasu (cp. Vait. 22. 10)

\$ 76. Fifth Prapathaka: 1, 5, 23-25. Metrical treatise on the sacrifice. - The last three sections of the Pürva-Brahmann lack a certain unity of structure, which makes it possible to imagine that they are not from the same hand. First (23) there is the ordinary yajñakrama; its account of the hariryajña and somasamsthah (sutvah) coincides with Gaut. Dh 8, 19, 20. the pakayajña accord with the wording and order of Satyayrata Samisrami in the Hindu Journal Ushar. The apparent derivation here of the vainakrama from schools of the SV, may lend significance to the presence in this section of slokas that are paralleled by the Jaiminīya-Brāhmana, also a Sīman-text, The rest of the section consists of questions and answers (brahmodia) devoted to the time-divisions of the sacrificial year. One of the stanzas is identical with Vait. 31, 15. Another (p. 85, 1, 17) occurs in a variant form in Jaim. Br. 2. 73 ; yet another stanza, Jaim. Br. 2. 71 , exhibits a certain relationship with that beginning GB, p. 85, l. 13. The next section (24) contains a cosmogonic Atharvanic account of the origin of the sacrifice. Vaisvanara heated with taxas, desiring offspring, pours semen into Sraddhi. From this union the world-conquering som t-toothed Anguras is born. Then the resulting four Vedas 10 are described: "The holy Atharvan and Angiras praise with prayascittas and bhegajas'. Next follows a list of the 17 Stauta-priests, divided . into four Vedic groups 11, to which are added four other functionaries, the , wife at the sacrifice (dikeita ratio), the butcher (tamitar), the householder (grhafati), and lastly Anguas himself. The last section (24) is the final apotheosis of the Atharvan. After stating that all the 21 orthodox sacrifices, both of the present and of yore, resolve themselves in the Angiras, the text enters once more upon an elaborate cosmic tetrad in behalf of the AV., similar to, yet somewhat different from the preceding ones12 The final outcome of the cosmogony is the sacrifice protected (gueta') by the Athirvan. In addition to the regular sacrifice the formulas and offerings of the village " and forest also resolve themselves in the Anguras, and, finally, the Atharvan secures the heaven of Brahma, whereas the train ridia can only procure the translatam tridiram nākam uttamam.

See Gener, Austra Sétra, Translation, p. 10. — 7 Webbb, 18. X. 326, Max Michael, Zidder, Austra Sétra, Translation, p. 10. — 7 Webbb, 18. X. 326, Max Michael, Zidder, A. 18. X. 327, Max Michael, Zidder, A. 18. X. 327, Max Michael, Zidder, A. 327, Max Michael, Zidder, S. 327, Max Michael, S. 327, Max Mi

C. CONTENTS OF THE UTTARA-BRAHMANA (THE VAINAKRAMA').

§ 77. First Prapāthaka: 2 1. 1—12. The new and full-moon offerings.— The first section deals with three points, the punication of the Brahman's sextly the fetching of the Franchisetter, and the placing of the Brahman's sextly the fetching of the Franchisetter, and the placing of the from KB. 6. 15. except for two characteristic touches. The formula, there from KB. 6. 15. except for two characteristic touches. The formula, there shalm arraivative etc. appears in its Atharvanie form, than abam arraivastab etc. (Kauš. 3. 77. 137. 39); and for, trakapthir has to identify the brahmir, in KB., the GB. reads, trakaptair et discusses, unto the greater glory of the

Angirasa-Veda. The statement about the frantili-water, Vait. 2, 2, coincides with both GB, and KB, whereas that which concerns the faridhis (Vait. 2. 8, 13) does not appear in KB., but seems to be original with GB. The next three sections (2-4) deal with the fratttra-food, Vait 3.7 ft.2, beginning with the frailtra-legend: Rudra being refused a share of the sacrifice cut off a portion of it, the prasitra. This is given successively to Bhaga whom it makes blind; to Savitar whom it makes handless (and subsequently goldenhanded); to Pusan whom it makes a toothless eater of gruel; to Idhma Angirasa who loses by it his head; to Varhi (Barhi) Angirasa whose body is disjointed by it; finally to Behaspati Angirasa (the typical Brahman-priest) who recites mantras over it that render it hamless. Idhma Angirasa echoes the well-known legend of Dadhyañe Atharvana or Aigirasa*. Neither Idhma nor Barhi are mentioned elsewhere, being clap-trap personifications; the three Angirasas who figure here indicate the working over of the legend into an Atharvanic mould. The last half of section 3 corresponds closely to KB. 6. 14; section 4 to TS. 2.6.9. Next, individual points of the darsafternamasa. are treated: the prohibition of the daksina, because the am aharya-porridge is the ordained fee at these sacrifices (5); the next section (6), A propos of the anvaharia, is borrowed almost literally from MS. 1. 4.6 (p. 54, 1.3 ff.); then (7) the amakarya is described as Prajapati's share, the point being illustrated by the legend of the gods' sacrifice to Prajapati, whereas the Asuras sacrificed into one another's mouths6. The need of performing the dardafurnamasa before entering upon the soma-sacrifice is urged in section 8; an expiatory act on the rise of the moon when the priest is about to cook for the evening-offering is the theme of section 97; the mystic description of the purta and uttara faurnamasi and amatasia is copied literally from Kaus. 1. 29, 30, and defined further in section 10. The rule that only one of the two full-moon and new-moon days shall be chosen (11) is copied almost verbatim, with shocking blunders on the part of the edited text, from TS. 2. 5. 5. 2 ff. Finally (12) certain oblations to Agni-Visnu, and to Sarasyati and Sarasvant, preparatory to the darsaparnamasa, are described in accordance with Vait. 8, 1, 28,

2. 1. 13-16. Kamyestayah. - The next three sections (13-15) deal with sacrifices for the fulfilment of special wishes. The Vait, has nothing to correspond; they are, indeed, an almost verbatim copy of MS. 2. 1. 10% Section 16 is the Brahmana of Vait. 11. 1 (beginning of the agnistoma), in verbal agreement with GB: he that wishes to perform a soma-sacrifice shall offer a freed steer (usram anusystam) to Indra-Agni, in case his father and grandfather had not made a soma-sacrifice in their life-time. Since the theme is treated out of order it was perhaps conceived as a kamtesti.

2. 1. 17-26. Agrayana; agnicayana; and caturmasyani. -- The offering of the first fruits of the season (17) begins with the usual legend explaining the libations to Agni-Indra, the Visve Devah, and Soma to; otherwise the treatment corresponds closely to Vait. 8. 3-7. Judging from the mention, at the end, of the Atharvanic purastaddhoma and samsthitahoma (Kaus. 3.19) Vait. 1, 4, etc.) this seems to be a somewhat original Brahmana. In section 18 the text, apparently without reason, undertakes a salto mortale into the agnicayana-ceremony, describing the use of the apratiratha-hymn in its Atharvan version (AV. 19. 13) at that ceremony. The Brahmana illustrates Vait. 29. 16, and is almost identical with MS. 3. 3. 7 (p. 40, l. 2 ff.); cp. also SB. 9. 2. 3. 1-5. The irregularity is the more marked because the next sections deal with the caturmasyani which in Vait. 8 follow immediately upon the agrajana. The remaining sections of this prapathaka (19-26) deal with the

seasonal offerings; they are, as has been shown above (p. 102), taken from KB, and call for no further comment.

 6 See above p. 146. — 2 Gp. Nil. 6. 13, 14; SB. 1. 7, 4 5 ff; TS. 2. 6, 8 GH INDRALAM AMERA, INSTOL, 19 20, ff. — 1 Gy expecting, TS. 2. 6, 8 5 ff. 4 Witter, IS. 1. 290, 354. — 5 Vart. 3, 19; cp. 1S. 1. 73, 3; Mit. 1. 2, 5, 3. — 6 Vart. 3, 20; cp. 1St. 1, 7, 2, 3 Very similar to TS. 2, 5, 5 ff. 17; cp. Kib. 4, 2. — 3 Cp. All. 1, 1; SB. 3, 1, 3, 1, — 9 Cp. TS. 2, 2, 2, 1; Kh. 4, 3, — 3 Cp. SB, 2, 4, 2, 4, 3.

\$ 78. Second Prapāthaka: 2. 2. 1-4. The tanūnaptra-ceremony of the agnistoma.—The first section opens in a rather perplexing manner with certain kāmyeṭṭis in which quadrupeds and birds are sacrificed by him that has built the holy fire (ahhāṭnɨ) in order to gain some special wish. It interrupts the yajiāklama of Vaik, which in chapter to deals with the patubanāha, unless the GB, regards this as a note on that very ceremony. Then (2-4) the text turns to the tanānaṭtra, begianing with the legend in TS, 6. 2. 2. 1 almost verbatin. Then comes the ceremony proper, again in close touch with TS, but with an Atharvanic improvement or two; e.g., the GB, substitutes manns (representing the Brahman-priest) for prina in TS. The numerous mantras, however, accord in the main with Vait. (13. 16 ft.) rather than TS, 3 Apparently the GB, embellishes the acts of the Sotra with the Brāhmarn of TS, without drawing upon independent tradition.

2.2.5—6. The pravargy a-ceremony.—Section 5 again interrupts the painairrama of Vail, by one of those disquivitions on defects in the sarifice which lead up to the glorification of the birgrangirraid, it may have been thought suitable here because of the japin legend in section 6. The discussion is based upon the word matcha, introduced in the statement, match ity daid japinaimadheiam, which is the lunguage of the Naighanthaas. This section is almost certainly liter than Yist, sundry Parisign-slokas also point to a very late date. Then comes the pravarga 6(6), beginning with the usual might, copied almost verb tim from AB 1 18 (cp. 480 AB 1 4.9). The performance and the manifest accord in the main with Vail 13.257 with which GB, at times agrees almost verbally (e.g. 13.26,30). The Vail. (14.1) quotes the gharma-hymn from AVP in full, the 6R cites it by pratika (gharmam laphan). Certuilly GB presupposes the Sutra

227-12. The upasad-days, and continuation of the agnistoma.—
Sections 7 and 8 deal with the legend and general matters pertaining to the
normalies the legend is treated with some degree of independence. Section 9
joins Vait. 15. 3 in the same resulal of the distinct women (des grating 8), both
levels differ from the smaller statements, Vi 1 0 2, 14 3 9 till. Section 10
to the Brahmana of Vait. 16 5, partly in verbil agreement the source of the
legendary explanation of the 33 forms of the sacrifice (19nhalmus) is unknown? Section 11, in verbal agreement with vait. 16 6, prescribes how
the soma-vacrifice of a rival may be frustrated a Section 12 is the Brahmana
of Vait. 16. 13-17, de ting with explantons for the overflow of the somithe two texts agree verbally. The ministra of Vait 16, 17 are quoted fragmentarly in GB, with explanatory remarks, quite as though the Vait, were in
this instance the Sambhit of GB.

2, 2, 13—15. The stomabhāga-mantras". — Section 13 begins with the legend of their origin, substantially the stune as TS, 3, 5, 2, 1, except that Go onthe very significantly the statement, tarmal raturally brahara karnar, which is, of course, contrary to the doctrine of the Atharian nitralists. The mantras in the sequel duter from those in Van. and TS, but section 14 shares with Van. 17, 16 the list of Atharian readulate (ep. Gl. 1, 3, 3), and

prescribes the use of em and janat, one on each side of the ordinary ones (e. g., om bhur janat), for the purpose of protection (rgbhir ecobha; ato thar: angirebhir guptabhir)12. The expression afa 'ga 'cease to sing' occurs only here and at Vait. 17. 4. Section 15, illustrating Vait. 17. 7, dwells upon the value of the stomallingas in overcoming the simultaneous sacrifice of a rival: the two texts share the long mantra, stutese etc. The Brahmana, though making some show of independence, is later than the Sūtra. In continued touch with Vait, section 16 deals with the distribution of the agnīdhra-fire at the close of the bahisfavamana, with the same praisas in both texts (Vait. 17. 12-18. 1; cp. SB. 4. 2. 5. 11). Section 17, anent Vait, 18. 5 (cp. TS. 3. 1. 10, 1), deals with the pravidhutis, oblations on choosing the priests; sections 18, 19 (Vait, 18, 11-15) with the practices about the sadas. The mantra, dhisnyebhyo namo namah, Vait. 18, 11, is alluded to fragmentarily in GB., as though the Vait, occupied the place of a Samhita. A propos of Vait, 19. 6. sections 20-22 describe the Hotar-priest's oblations with the prasthita-cups at the three savanas. Since the Hotar is a Rigvedin these sections are purloined bodily from the AB, 6, 10; 6, 11, 6ff.; and 6, 12, 6ff. The prapathaka ends with two seemingly inconsequential sections (23, 24), the first of which is again taken up with the explanation of the terms zicaksanazatī vāc. and canasitarati rac (see 1. 3. 19); the last surprisingly bounds back to the darsapurnamāsa, urging the engagement of the gods (parigrhnijāt) on the first of the new-moon and full-moon days, because these sacrifices are sair ta, i. e., undertaken by many at the same time 13. The section seems to be the Brāhmana of Vait. 1. 14.

1 °Cp, Vall. 43, 23, 33; Ślī. 11, 7, 1, 2; ApŚ, 7, 28, 8; MS. 2, 5, 11, -- 2 °Cp, MB. 1, 24; Ślī. 3, 4, 2, 1 ff; MS. 3, 7, 10. See WEFER, B. X., 36; J. HILFFRANDT, Ribual-Literatur, p. 127, — 3 lbut, medbhiattengem in, in Gil. 3, accords with TS. 6, 2, 2, 4; the Vall. 13, 18 has aneshistating-m in, in Gil. 3, accords with TS. 45; e.p. FAOS, October 1890, p. NYUHÉ. — 3 HAVG, AH, Translation, p. 41, note 1; WEFER, IS. IX. 218 -20; HILLERBANDT, l. c. p. 12; 13, 4ff. — 6 WEIER, IS. X. 363 ff.; HILTIFRANDT, l. c. 127, -7 °Cp. SB 3, 4, 4, 3 ff. 3B, 4, 23; KB. 8, 17 °K. 6, 2, 3 ft. NS. 3, 8, 1. — 8 HOOMPIELD, ZDMG, KLIVIII, 549 — 9 °Cp. GARER, Vart, Translation, 16, 5, note. Gil. is cited by the scholast to Fan. 3, 41, 66. — 10 See the remark on 1, 3, 19, above, \$73, note γ, — 11 Valit. 17, 4 ft.; 18, 3, 5, 2; MS. 2, 8, 8; Ślū. 8, 5, 31 °PD. 1, 9, 1 ff. — 12 °Cp, above under 1, 3, 3, — 13 See the note on 1, 3, 10.

\$ 79. Third Prapathaka: 2. 3. 1-11. Agnistoma continued: the vasatkara and anuvasatkara; rtugrahas; etc .- By way of illustrating Vait. 19. 8-12 which deals with the rasafkara and anutasafkara facts of the Hotar) sections 1-6 of GB, present with slight modifications AB, 3, 5-3, 8. Yaska, Nirukta 8. 22, also presents the opening of AB. 3. 8. 1; GB. 2. 3. 4 in a form different from each, but it is not necessary in this instance to credit him with independent tradition any more than GB.; both have mouthed over the text of AB. The second part of section 6 (Vait. 19. 18, 19) explains the blessing on drinking the soma, reproducing with verbal changes AB. 7, 33, 5ff. Sections 7, 8 treat the rtugrahas (Vait. 20.2): section 7 is partly identical with and wholly similar to AB 2.29; section 8 in part corresponds to AB 6.14.51 Section 9 presents a legendary explanation of the sound him (Vait. 20. 15, 16), being written in good archaic Brahmana-language2, and deriving some interesting illustrations from every-day life. A closely similar passage has not been found 3; it may have been derived from a Saman-source with Atharvanic adaptations (himkrtyātharvāņo brahmatiam kurvanti). Section 10, dealing with the ahava and pratigara-formulas (Vait. 20. 15ff.), is copied almost verbatim from AB. 3. 12 (cp. KB. 14. 3), excepting that the formulas themselves are quoted in the spelling of Vait which differs from that of all the

other texts? Section 11, illustrating Vait.21.3.4, is copied with slight alterations from KB. 11.4 and 5, including the expression, it ha smaha kamīlakih, which leaves no doubt as to its origin: cp. above, p. 102.

2. 3. 12-19. The pratahsavana of the ekaha. - Section 12 begins with a legend, similar to AB. 3, 14, in which Prajapati while performing an (agnistoma-)sacrifice encounters Death whom he drives step by step from one of the sastras and stotras of the agnisfoma to the other. Sections 13-15 account for the three sastras (following the ajya and prauga) of the three assistants of the Hotar, the Maitravaruna, Brahmanacchamsin, and Achavakae; these sections bear upon Vait, 20, 14. Although they contain many mantras which ought to guide to parallel chapters in other Brahmana-texts this is not the case; they seem original with GB, patterned perhaps after some RV.sūtra (cp. SS. 12. 1 ff.). Thus the mantra, ayam u tra vicarcane (RV. 8. 17. 7 == AV. 20. 5. 1) is not quoted outside of Vait. 21. 2 and GB 2. 3. 14; indra piba pratikamam sutasya (RV. 10. 112. 1) only in GB. 2. 3 14 Section 16 motivates by a Brahmana, which again seems independent, the fourfold use of the aliara (samsarom) of the pratalisavana in relation to Vait. 20. 15 (cp. GB. 2. 4. 4 and 18). Sections 17-19 deal with the daksina, the order in which it should be given to the priests, and the materials of which it shall consist (cp. Vait 21, 24-22, 2): they are an almost verbatim copy of MS. 4. 8. 3.

2.3. 20—2.4.4. The mādhyamdinasavana of the ekāha.—The stretch is treated in the man in the order of Vaut, borrowing considerably from AB, but, as in the case of the prolabariana, some chypters seem to be original with GB. Sections 20, 21, reproducing almost literally AB, 2.23, present the legend of the union of \$\text{Standay}\$ is the standay and the Saman, resulting in the junction of three reas to produce one siman, etc. (cp. Vait. 22.8). Section 22, reproducing AB 3. 24, deals with the stority, animply, didding, prographa, and minds of the miskeraja sintra, and bears upon Vait. 22.10—127. Section 23 presents 1 legendary account of India's evclusive right to the miskeraja sisters at the midday-pressure of the soma. In the beginning there was but one soma-pressure, in the morning. Then Prajipati created the midday-pressure for his eldests son India'. The legend is quite different from that told AB, 3. 24, 10 ff. (cp. KB 15.4), it is original, if we may trust the quotation of the mantar Kb, 7.98.5 — W, 20.87.3, as this is not mentioned in any other text, not even the Vaixina.

to mentioned in any other tests as seed and a season of a fig. p. 127, 1.3 —
1.05, also KB, 13.9 — 4. Or the signatu, aonist aaril, p. 127, 1.3 —
3. Cp. TS, 6.4, 11, 3—4. — 4. INLERSKNIT, 1. tot ff. — 5. F. g. adhertys
fabritism, V. Aut 20. IS, 6.10 here and 2.4. existing sensates No. 10. 6. 26,
a #Hatrop fedictive KB, 14. 31. 55. 7. 10. 0. activaryo similarem AB, 3. 12. 3, No.
5. 14. 31. Ap. 81. 2. 27. 12. — 5. ex. Virilly, 15. No. 333. The strictly, Atharvanic
division of the 17 Strata priests does not assign the Brithmunicchanium to the
Intralax, but makes him an assistant of the Frahman see Vast. 11, 3. Gh. 1.
4. 6. — 7 The word print is welled does not occur outside of GB and Vait.
(22, 12).

\$80. Fourth Prapathaka: 2.4 1—4. The madhyamdinasavana concluded.—The madhyamdina is continued in sections 1—3 with an account of the storing, anaropa, pragatha, etc., of the three Hotrakas (cp. 2, 3, 13—15). They are based upon AS, 7.4. 1ft, SS, 7.22—24, worked over slightly into Brahmana-form. Section 4 accounts for the five-fold use of the abuse of the madhyamdina (athrapo samistrem): the Brahmana seems original; cp. Gl. 2, 3, 16 and 2, 4–18.

2. 3. 10 disc - 18. The tritya-savana of the ekāha. — Continuing in the order of Vail., section 5 illustrates the Alexanderala (Vail. 22. 3), and the

consumption of the Agnidhra's share in the lap of the Nestar (Vait. 25. 5). The Brahmana is borrowed from AB. 6. 3. 8-112. Section 6 illustrates the offerings of shavings (Vait. 23. 12); the scattering of barley-grains in the āhavanīya-fire (Vait. 23. 13); the pouring of the soma-cups west of the catvalapits (Vait. 23. 14): the Brahmana is borrowed from KB 18.7 and 8. Section 7 deals with the purification of the sacrificer, and is similar to, yet different from Vait. 23. 22. The mantras, abhad devah, and the drapsavatyah (sc. reali) occur in both Vait. and GB; but instead of the Paippalada-hymn. 1at te grava, of the Vait, the GB. has saumībhih3 (sc. rebhih): the Brahmana seems to be derived from an unkown Srauta-source. Section 8, illustrating Vait. 24. 15, 16, describes the burning up of the vedi and the offering of grits (saktuhoma): TS, 3, 3, 8, 2 ff. presents a fairly close parallel. That the TS, is in fact its source seems likely, because the next section (9) interrupts the order of the Vait, yet is also derived from the same chapter of TS. Section o begins with a rite on the ekāstakā-day bearing, out of order, on Vait, 31. 4, 5, and being a continuation of the Brahmana in TS. 3. 3. 8. 4-6, which is copied almost literally. But at the end the section returns to the order of Vait. (24. 14), describing the agnisamāropaņa (cp. TS. 3. 4. 10. 4). Section to deals with the relation of the three savanas to the day and their symbolic connection with the three main positions of the sun; it is borrowed from AB. 3, 44.

At this point the GB, turns to the sastras of the titis asarana, in a treatment analogous to that of the first two savanas (2. 3. 12-19, and 2. 3 30-2 4, 4). Sections 11-14 introduce a legend, elsewhere unknown in this form. The gods Indra, Agni, Varuna, Brhaspati, and Visnu prevented the Asuras from entering the evening twilight, and thus ruining the fastras of the evening Agni changes into a horse (asva) and enters the conflict first; hence the sākamasva-sāman heads the sastras at the trtiya-savana+. The five gods, engaged in the conflict, are identified respectively with rac, prana, manas, caksus, śretra, and through these 'pranas' with Prajapati; reasons are assigned why they are praised at the triyasavana. Finally, these gods, barring Agni who has been provided for in the sakamasta, are distributed among the sastras of the three Hotrakas: Indra-Varuna with the Maitravaruna; Indra-Brhaspati with the Brahmanacchamsin; and Indra-Visnu with the Achavakas. The next three sections (15-17) state the mantras for the uktha, stotriya, anurūpa, etc., of the Hotrakas, being based upon Rig-Vedic sources (cp. AS. 6. 1. 2; SS. 9. 2-4). Section 18 concludes the aguictoma with an account of the fourfold ahava of the trigasavana (adhrano samsamsarom) which seems original, like the parallel sections 2. 3, 16, and 2. 4. 4.

2.4.19. The sodisin-sacrifice.— Section 19 concludes the prapathala with a brief explanation of this sacrifice, thus returning to the order of Vait. (25.12). The Britmana is borrowed from Al. 4.1.5—2.

¹ Cp. All. 3, 12, 3; Kll. 14, 3 — ¹ Wyper, IS, X 300; Hillepravit, L. c. 133, — 3 Cp. Ap. 13, 20, S. — 4 Cp. All. 3 49; PB S. S. 1—5, — 5 Cp. All. 3, 50; PB. S. S. 6, 7,

S 81. Fifth Prapathala.: 2.5.1—5. The attratra-performances.—
These continue the order of Vait, chapter 26: the GB. is patched together
out of passages of AB. and KB. (cp. above, p. 102). Section 1, almost
identical with AB. 4 5. explains the origin of the attratra as typifying the
expulsion of the Asuras out of the night by India and the metres, his affect,
the three periods (fanjoyar) represent the successive acts of expulsion from
the first part of the night, midnight, and the last part of the night, Section 2,

borrowed from AB. 4. 6. 4-7, explains the advantages of the Sastras at the three paryayas (Vait. 26. 3). Section 3, borrowed from AB. 4. 6. 8-r4, explains how the paramana-stotras, which properly belong to the day alone, are also provided for the night; it also explains other features of the atinutra that are patterned after the soma-performances of the day'. Section 4. borrowed from KB. 17. 7, identifies the fixtures of the sacrifice with the members of the cosmic man (purusa), and the officiating priests with the breaths and other functions of the body. The first part of section 5 deals once more with the expulsion of the Asuras; this time the statement is borrowed from KB, in mechanical continuation of 17.7, whereas the first discussion of the same theme was derived from the AB. The compiler has not taken the trouble to assimilate his excerpts. The second part of section 5, borrowed from KB, 17, o, explains the distribution of the metres at the distrac

2. 5. 6-10. The sautramant, vajapeya, and aptoryama rites -The next two sections disturb the order of the Vait, which treats the sautramani in chapter 30, after the vajapeya and aptoriama. Section 6 presents one of the legends that have attached themselves to the sautramani, being Sil. 12. 8. 3. 1-2; section 7, illustrating Vait. 30 16, 18, deals with the saman-chants at the sautramani it almost copies SB, 12, 8, 3, 23-28. In section 8 the text returns to the order of Vait, (27, 1-17), illustrating the t diageta-ceremony by a Brahmana obviously copied from PR, 18, 73. Sections 9, 10 deal with the aptoryama+; the presentation is not in very close touch with Vait, (27, 18 ff.), nor has it been possible to find its source in the published Brahmanas. Cp. in a general way PB 20 3, 2 ff.

2. 5. 11-2. 6. 16 (end). The ahina-(sattra-)sacrifices. - To these performancess are devoted the remaining sections of GD, in general correspondence with the order and treatment of Vait 31 ff. The Brihman's are borrowed en bloc from the sixth book of VB with the usual slight alterations; the mantras are assimilated to some extent to those of Vait. Section II is composed of AB, 6, 17, 1, 2 and 6, 5, section 12 = AB 6, 6, section 13 = All. 6.7; section 14 is very similar to AB 6 8, section 15 is almost identical with AB. 6. 18. 4 ff., introduced by a sentence from AB 6 17 2, and ending in a passage from AB 6, 17, 3, 4, cp Vait, 31 19, 20

* GB, shres with Vait 20 15 the expression, wition it pratitaril. — * Both GB, and Vait read aumition for isom ma, in SI and electhere, ep. Gases * note on Vait, 50 16. — 1 Where, Leben den Sapares, STW, 1802, p. 765 ff. — 4 Proprise, SBL XII, p. vv. Hilliam Vait, 1. c. p. 135 — 8 The GB designates them as analysistal admin class who always on the word is writing in the lexicons.

\$ 82. Sixth Prapathaka The ahina-sacrifices continued .-Section 1 is composed of AB, 6, 18 1-3, 6, 19 1-to (cp Vait, 31, 25) Section 2 continues from the middle of AB, 6 19, to through to 6, 20, with some omissions on the part of GB. (cp Vait. 32, 10). Sections 3-5 are almost identical with All, 6, 21-23 (cp. Vait, 35 12, and 35, 2, 4). Section 6 explains why the Hotar recites two ulthas and one sakla, whereas his assistants, the Hotrakas, recite one ultha and two sulths. the first part of section 7 specifies the fistrat of the Hotrakas to their respective pairs of divinities. Neither of these passages seems to be derived from any known Brahman; cp., however, AR 6, 13 and 14 (especially AB, 6, 13, 7 with GB, p. 167, 1 3 ff.). The end of section 7, dealing with the so called with the party identical with AB. 6. 27. 1-5. Section 8 is almost identical with AB, 6, 27, 6-30, 4; section 9 with AB, 6, 30, 5-6, 31; section 10 with

124 H. LYTTERATUR U. GLSCHICHTE. 1B. ATHARVA-VEDA AND GOPATHA-BRÄHMAŅA.

AB, 5.9 and 10 (ep. Vait, 31, 27); section 11 with AB, 5, 11—5, 12, 3, finishing with 6, 32, 1, 2. Section 12 is composed of a selection of passages some of which are identical with paragraphs of the AB; others exhibit a certain degree of independence, yet seem to have been suggested by the same text; AB, 5, 19, 12 (ep. Vait, 32, 12); 6, 29, 1 (ep. Vait, 32, 13); 6, 29, 2 (the rṛākapɨ-hymn; cp. Vait, 32, 14); and 6, 32 (ep. Vait, 32, 19); Section 13 is compiled similarly from AB. 6, 33, 1—5 (ep. Vait, 32, 22); KB, 30, 7); 6, 33, 19 (ep. Vait, 32, 22); 6, 33, 18 (ep. Vait, 32, 23); 6, 33, 20 (ep. Vait, 32, 26). Section 14 is compiled from AB. 6, 34–36, 3 (ep. Vait, 32, 28–39); section 25 works over AB. 6, 36, 4—7 with a considerable degree of independence (ep. Vait, 32, 31); and, finally, section 16 is based upon AB. 6, 38–17 (ep. Vait, 32, 33, 35).

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.

```
AA. - Aitareya-Āranyaka.
 All. - Aithreya-Brahmana.
 Abhandlung an Abhandlung über den Atharsa-Veda-
 AG. - Asvaltyaha-Gehrasatra.
 AJPh - American Journal of Philology
· APAW, - Abhandlungen der Rgl Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
 ApDh - Apastamba-Dharmasaira.
 ApG. - Apastamba Gelijasütra.
Apilli. - Apastamba Mantrabrithmana, or Mantrapada.
 ApS. - Apastamba-Stautasütra.
 ArS, an Aranyaka-Samhiti.
 AS, - Assaliyana Srantasatra.
 Ath. Paddh. - Atharva- or Atharvaon a-Paddhati to Kausika-Suira
 Ath. Paris, - Atharva-Parisista.
 Ath, Prat. - Atharva-Praticishiva
 AV. - Ath irva-leda in the Saunakiya school
 AVP. - Atharva-Veda in the Paropulada school
 AWAW, - Abhandlungen der Kais Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Wien.
 Budh. Dh an Baudhtvana Dharmasotra
 IIB. an Brazenge RGER's Beitrage zur Kunde der Indogerminischen Sprachen.
 Bl)h. == Brudhayana-Dharmasutra.
 BKSGW - Berichte der Kgl Sachsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften.
 BrhU and Brh Ar. Up - Brha | Ar myaka | pamsad
 BRW - Bonti INGK und Rotts, Sinskrit Worterbuch.
 ChU and Chind. Up == Chindogy : Lpanisad
 Contributions, - Bi coun it in, Contributions to the Interpretation of the Veda.
 DIZ - Deutsche Litteriturzeitung
 Gaut. Dh. = Gautuma Dharmasatr 1,
 GB - Gopatha-Brahmana.
 GDh. = Gautama-Dharmasatra.
 GG. - Gobbila Grhyavatra,
 GGA = Gattingische gelehrte Anzeigen
HASL = History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature.
 HG. = Hiranyakesi Grhyanttra
 Introd. - Introduction
 IS. - Indische Studien
 IStr == Indische Streifen.
 JA. - Journal Assatique.
 Jaim Br. - Jumintya-Brihmana.
 JAOS wa Journal of the American Oriental Society
 Jil. - Jaminty a-Brahmana
 JEAS. - Journal of the Assatse Society of Bengal.
 JBU. - Juminrya Brahmana Upanisal.
 Kaps - Kapisthala-Samhita.
Kant - Kansika-Satra.
```

KB. — Kugirili Brahmapi. Kel. — Keliri'li Paldhin to Kansila Satra. Kh. — Kihaka-Samhiti. Kh. — Kiti iyan Srantasatra.

I.S. - Laty iyana-Srautasitra. Mahabh. - Mahabharata.

KA - Kuny's Leitschritt für vergleichende Sprachforschung.

```
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.
MG. = Manava-Grhyasatra.
MHASL. - MAX MÜLLER, History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature.
MS. - Maitrayani-Samhita.
MU. - Martri-Upanişad.
Naks, = Naksatrakaipa.
Nigh, = Nighantuka.
Nir. - Nirukta
OLS. == Oriental and Linguistic Studies.
OST. - Original Sanskrit Texts.
Pan, - Panini.
PAOS. - Proceedings of the American Oriental Society.
PB. . Pancavimsa-Brahmana, or Tandya-Brahmana.
PBAS. - Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal.
Pet. Lex. = Petersburg Lexicon
l'G. = Páraskura-Grhyasútra.
RV. = Rig-Veda.
Rvidh. - Rig-vidhana.
RVKh == Rig-Veda Khila.
Say. = Styana.
 D. = Satapatha Brahmana.
SB == Şadvimsa-Brahmana.
SBL = Sacred Books of the East.
Seven Hymns - BLOOMFIELD, Seven Hymns of the Atharva-Veda.
SG. = Sinkhiyana-Grhyasütra.
SMB == Simaveda-Mantrabrihmana.
SPAW, au Sitzungsberichte der Kgl. Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
```

SS - Sankhayana-Srautastitra. st and sts. - stanza, and stanzas. SV. == Sama-Veda.

Stidh = Samavidhana-Brahmana. TA. = Tanturiya-Aranyaka. TB. = Tanturiya-Brahmana

TS. = Taittiriya-Sambita. Up. == Upanisad. VaDh, and Vas. Dh. - Vasisiha-Dharmasastra, Vait, == Vaitana-Satra.

Ved. Stud. == PISCHEL und GELDNER, Vedische Studien. Vend. - Vendidad.

Verz. - Verzeichniss der Sanskrit- und Präkrit-Handschriften in der Kel, Bibliothek zu Berlin. ViDh, and Vis. Dh. - Visnu-Smrti, VS. = Vajasaneyi-Samhita in the Madhyan dina school. VSK. - Vajasaneyi-Samhiti in the Kanva school. WL == Wener, Indusche Literaturgeschichte

WZKM. w Wiener Zenschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes. Yajā, and Yajāav. - Yajāavalkya-Dhatmašāstra. YV. - Yajur-Veda. ZIMMER == ZIMMER, Altindisches Leben,

Yvidh. - Yajur-vidhana ZDMG, = Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenlandischen Gesellschaft,

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

GENERAL,	ical treatises	20
A. CHARACTER AND CHRONOLOGY OF THE ATHARVA-VIDA.	T. THE POSITION OF THE ACHARVA- VEDA IN HINDU LIFERATURE, IN	
\$ 1. External comparison with the	GINIRAL. \$ 20, General estimate of the AV.	21
		21
\$ 2. Relative chronology of the po-	n as The Athennes in the AM steelf	22
pular and hieratic literatures :	S 23 The Atharvan in the Srauta-	
		22
\$ 4. Relation of the AV, to the Brah-	\$ 24 The Atharvan in the Upanisads	24
	\$ 23. The Atharvan in the Grhya-	
B. HISTORICAL CHARACTER OF THE	\$ 26. The Atharvan in the law-	24
ATHARVAN, AND ITS RELATION TO		25
THE HOUST-BOOKS.	\$ 27. The Atharvan in the Maha-	-,
\$ 5. The mutual relations of the AV.	bhārata	26
and the Grhya-sütras, and their	\$ 28 The Atharvan in Sanskrit,	
historical value 5		27
\$ 6. Scope of the AV. as a record	\$ 29. The Atharvan in grammatical, lexical, and text-historical	
or ancient the		27
C. THE NAMES OF THE ATHARVA-VEDA	G THE ATHARVA-VEDA IN THE VIEW	•
AND THEIR MEANINGS	OF HIS RITH ALISTIC J ITERATURE.	
\$ 7 The compound Atharvan grasah	\$ 30. The attitude of the ritual texts	
and the meaning of its two	towards the \thanan and its	
members, Atharvan and Angiras 7 \$ S. Cause of the distinction between	priests 2	S
Atharvan and Angras 9	§ 31. Exaltation of the Atharvan, and	
to the terms Bhrgrangirasah,	the office of the Brahman in the ritual texts 2	0
Brahmayeda, and the designa-	S 32. The office of the Purohita in	,
tions of Atharvan priests . 9	the ritual texts 2	9
D. THE SCHOOLS OF THE ATHREVA-VIDA.	§ 33. Causes leading up to the ex-	
to Character and sources of the	ina savadit, ett le sestala.	_
reports on the schools of	sts priests 30 \$ 34. Connection of the l'urohita	,
the AV	with the AV, and interrelation	
S 11. The nine sikhis of the AV. II	of Purchita and Brahman . 32	ż
of the sikhas, and their relation	PART II, THE REDACTION AND EX-	
to the teachers of the Satras 13	TERNAL FORMOF THE ATHARYA-	
e to The two Samhita-sikhas, the	VLDA IN THE SCHOOL OF \$1U-	
	NAKA.	
\$ 14. Brief account of the Paippa-	A DIVISION AND ARRANGEMENT OF THE	
lada sakua .	Hruns.	
E. BRIEF SKETCH OF ATHARVANIC	\$ 35. The division of the Sarchita	
LITERATURE.	into 20 books 34 \$ 36. Arrangement of the books	
\$ 15. The Sambitis, Satras, and Brahmana	according to hymns of differ-	
traines and the l'atisistas 10 i	ent length 35	-
	\$ 37. Arrangement of the hymns	
\$ 13. The Atharvan Upanisads . 18	within the books 38	

. + pa	ge i		age
B. THE METRISFOR THE ATHARVAN AND	- 1	§ 57. Class 8). Charms to secure	
THEIR RELATION TO THE CRITICAL	- 1	prosperity and freedom from	
RESTORATION OF THE TEXT.	ĺ	danger (naustikāni)	80
	- 1	\$ 58. Class 9). Charms in expiation	
\$ 38. The metres, with special refer-	-)	of sin and defilement (praya-	
ence to the Atharvanic (popu-			83
	41	ścittáni)	03
g 39. On the entical restoration of	Į	\$ 59. Class 10). Cosmogonic and	
Atharvan metres	42	theosophic hymns	86
\$ 40. Order of stanzas and conca-	. 1	\$ 60 Class 11) Ritualistic and gene-	
tenation as critical aids	43	ral hymns	91
tenation as trinear aras	77]	§ 61. Class 12). The books dealing	
C. THE RELATION OF THE ATHERVA-	- 1	with individual themes (books	
VEDA TO THE REMAINING HYMN-	- 1	13-18)	93
COLLECTIONS.	1	a ca Classica) The towards head	95
	- 1	\$ 62. Class 13). The twentieth book \$ 63. Class 14). The kuntapa-hymns	
\$ 41. Special features of the Athar-	-	\$ 63. Class 14). The Kumapa-ayimis	96
van collection; its connection		PARTIV. THE GOPATHA-BRÄHMANA.	
	44		-
§ 42. Relation of the language of the		A. RELATION OF THE TEXT TO THE	
AV. to that of the RV.	45	ATHARVA-VEDA AND ITS POSITION IN	
\$ 43 The various readings of the		VIDIC LITERATURY.	
RV. and the AV.	47	S 64. General remarks	101
§ 44 Traces of superior tradition	7,		102
in the AV, as compared with			104
the RV.	49	B. CONTENTS OF THE PERVA-BRAHMANA.	
\$ 45. Adaptation and expansion of		§ 67. First Prapathaka: 1. 1. 1-15.	
Yajus-themes for Atharvanic		An Atharvanic cosmogony in	
purnoses	50		107
\$ 46. The various readings of the AV.		\$ 68. Firstl'rapathaka: 1,1.16-30.	
and the Yajus-Samhitas .	53	The Pranava Upanisad, being	
\$ 47. The various readings of the AV.		a cosmogonic account deriv-	
and the Sruta-satras	55		208
\$ 48. Traces of superior tradition in	23	§ 69. First Prap ihaka: 1.1.31-38,	•
the AV. as compared with the			110
	56	The Gayatti-Opanisad	110
Yajus-texts	20	\$ 70. I irst Prapathaka: 1. 1.39. The	
		acamana-rite	110
PART III. CONTENTS OF THE		\$ 71. Second Prapathaka: 1.2.1-9.	
ATHARVA VLDA IN THE SAU-		The duties of the Brahma-	
NAKIYA-SCHOOL		catin	110
\$ 49. Classification of the hymns	57	§ 72. Second Prapathal a concluded.	
S 50. Class 1). Charms to cure dis-	٠,	various subjects	111
eases and possession by de-		\$ 73. Third Prapathaka Various	
	58	subjects	113
mons (bhaisai)	20	\$ 74 Fourth Prapathaka, Mystic-	
and health (ayuşyani)	63		115
§ 52. Class 3) ,Imprecations against		\$ 75. 1 ifth Prapojhaka: 1.5.1-22.	1,2
demons, sorcerers, and ene-		Exposition of the sattra of the	
mies (abhicarikani and krtya-			
pratiharanini)	65	year concluded	115
\$ 53 Class 4). Charms pertaining to		\$ 76. 1 ifth Prapathaka: 1.5.23-25.	
. women (strikarmini)	- 69	Metrical treatise on the sacrifice	117
\$ 54 Class 5). Charms to secure har-		C CONTENTS OF THE UTTARA-BRAIL	
mony, influence in the assem-		MANY (THE YAINAKRAMA).	
bly, and the like (sammana-		\$ 77. lirst Franathaka	117
syani, etc.)	72		119
\$ 55. Class 6) Charms pertaining to			120
toyalty (rijakarmini)	73		
\$ 56. Class 7). Prayers and impre-	1.		121
entions in the interest of			122
	-	Silven on Assessment	123
Brahmans	,,,	LAST OF ABLRESISTIONS	125
			-

I SANSKRIT INDEX.

The references in both Indexes are to pages.

ainholingah (se. reah) 83 note i

107, 117, 120. Cp. Bliggvan- Aksepa, commentary on Val-

tina-Siltra 16.

girasılı āgrāyana 118. Atharyangirovid 101 his relation to lifeangirasa, witcheraft, contrast-Bhrgvangirovid. bestowing charms 61. ed with atharvana 8, 9, 22, Adbhutašantı 17. unicavana 02, 118. 51, 62 note 1, 105, 107, adbliutani 83, 85. Cp. omens Acni Pariksit 97. 113; angirasa sages 112. and portents. agnistoma 91, 114. 107. Cp. Angiras and abhi-Anukramani (of AV.) 20, 40 agnisamiropana 122. note 17, 4t. Cp. lithatsarv 1., cărik în. agnihotra 114, 116. Angirasa-Kalpa S, 17. and Sarvinu agny tdheva 112. Augirasa-veda 65, 107, 108, anuvasajkiri 120. Auguras, mythic fire-priests 1, anustubh, popular and hieratic 118. 9, 10, 23; origin of 107, 41; in wedding-charms 41 ficamana 110. 117; name of an Atharvanic appñasenyah 99 anaik thika - ahina 123 note teacher 19 (Angir), 24; sages atman in AV. 88. 34, 107; as a name of AV. Atreya priest 112 (its witchcraft) 8, 9, 23, 65, apacit (apaci) 59, 61 designation of AV. as a) apanod man (st. suktan) 76 Atharvana, blessings, contrastwhole 27; their conflicts note 11 62 note 1, 105, 107, 112, apim saktini 15 note 20, 93 with the Adityas 8, 68 note 113, Jugirasa-sages 107. ap igha-hymn 82 3. 115. Cp angirasa. Co. Atharvan apamarga 67, 69 note 16 angirasah vinisinah 34, 107. Athaixana-pramitaksara 17 aptoryama 123. Atharvana stitra, a gramma-13 Lkaptd 87, 90. apratiratha sukta 76, 118 aja pañcaudana 78 abadhyuta (of Brahmans) 76. abhictra 66 (p abhictrakan tical treatise 20 Atharvanīya paddhati 18 masrnet 62. Adityas, in conflict with Angi njii itayak ma 60 Abhicara kalpa 8 Cp Angi ajjeyatā (of Brahmans) 76, 87 rases S, 68 note 3, 115 rasa kalpa atithigva, 'presenting a con idityingirasyih (sc. reah) 99 abhiplava 115, 116 Apastamba Srautasutra 45 to guests' 7 note 2 abhiveka-gana, and, manirih ipri sakta 54, 92. atithipati 90. 15 note 20, 91 atımıtyu sava 79 note 17 ibayu 61 abhtvarta havis (mani) 93 abhicarikam 22,65 Cp angintirătra 102, 122. aralu amulet 60. ativada 99, 101 note 7 rasa Aratı 71. Atharvaka-vidhana 17. | Ayu 64. grundhatt 61. Atharya-tarpana 17. Atharyan, mythic fire-priest arphya-cerem 1, 5 note 8, 9, 10, 23; as a name of AV. (its blessings) Aryaman 71. arghya-ceremony 7 note 2. Ayur-Veda 58. ayusyam (se süktáni) Si Aranyaka-jyotisam 17. asura papman 107 note 8. 8, 9, 65; origin of 107; alaji 61. popular etymology of 11 avi-sava 78. Asurik alpa 13, 17, 20 note 13. popular etymology of 9; ashilabhtsanam 98, 99. Cp. āsrīva 59, 60 ahanasyah 99. name of a teacher 19; priests atvamedha 74, 98, 100. and sages 9, 34, 107; typical priest 77. Cp. atharvana. Atharva-Frantiskhya 20, 35. asat, 'non-being', in witcheraft inhasa-veda 10%. Idhma Angirasa 103, 118. 3, 86. Indra, prototype of kings 74 asurn-veila 108. Caturidhya-40, 96. Cp indragithah 98. astrta-amulet 64. indramahotsava 17, 76 note 6. yıka. ahargana 123 note 5. Atharvavedoktam potterm 17. Nuphali 13 ahina (sattra-) acrifices 123 Athan tagurasah 1, 7, 9 (ex istipartam 76 planation), 22, 25, 27, 32, Cp sattra Indo arische Philologie II. 1 s

nechista-hymn 87, 90. Ultamapatala 17, 20, 35, 40 note 6. uttara brahmana 101, 102, Uddalaka Aruni 113-115. udvuga 60. upanka 61. unanayana 64 Upavarsa 16. upasad-days 119. Urrast 64.

rtugraha 120. reiliasta, a ceremony 64

ekagu (agnistoma) 105, 114. Ekadandisamnyasa-upanisad (and -vidh) 18. ekastaka 82, 122. chaha 121.

aitasapralapa 96, 97, 98, 100, 101 note 12.

odana-sava 78. om, origin of 107, 108, 110; as the vyahrti of the auspicious parts of the AV. 8, 105, 109, 113, 120.

Ausanasādbhuiām 17.

kanya, a kind of demon 62 Kabandha Atharyana 111, 112. karkipravadih (sc. reah) Si. Kasyapa 20 note 7. Kinkayana 13 Kuhaka Reaka 14. Kama 70, 87.

kamya ustayah, kampestayah 66, 72, 74, 80, 83 (bis), 93, 102, 118, 119. karavyah (se. reah) 97. Karu 114. hala, hymn to So kımidin 52. Lunt\pa-hymns 15, 16, 37,

79 note 11, 95, 96, 100. kustha plant 59, 60, 62. Ludi-plant 77. kusminda 4, 86 note 3. krtsa 9, 52, 65, krtyapratiharanani 65, 68, kevaladın 85 Keiwa 16.

Kautsavya, or Kautsavaya, author of an Atharvan Nirukia 17, 20, 120 note 4. Kaurupathi 13 Kausika 13, 16, 17, 57. 'keatra-veda' 10, 73.

keetriya 60. Ganamala 17. garbhadhana 71. gavāmavana Ils. gatha narasamsyah 100. gadhapratistha 116. gayatri (savitri) 79, 89, 91 note

12, 110, Gayatri-Upanisad 20, 106, 110. Garuda-Upanisad 19, 61, 69 note 7. Gargya 13. guhya ghria 93.

godana 64. goptarah 108, 117 note 13. go sava 78. Grahayuddha 17. primavaiaka, and -vaiin 73, 117 note 14.

gramya vyadhi 63. grābi 62. Glava Maitreya 110.

gharma-sükta 02, 103, 119. ghora, ghora-veda 8, 105, 116. Ghora Angirasa 8, 23,

Caturādhyāyika 12, 14. Cp. Atharya-Pratisakhya. caturveda 10t. catuhéarava-saya 78. Caranavyaha 11, 17, 20, 104, 105, 106 note 1. 107 note 7. cătulprisya odana 112. căturm isyani 102, 112, 118. Caranavaidya 13

çüdikarma Gı, Culika-Upanisad 19, 90 note 1. Cyavana Bhargava, or Angi-

cipudru-tree 62.

janakalpāh 98.

rasa 72. jangida-tree 60, 62 Jajali 12.

parts of AV: 8, 105, 108, 100, 113, 120, jambha 62 Jalada, Jaladayana 12, 13. jajala 12, 13 Jankayana 13. filasa 61.

11vah (sc. reah) 15 note 20. takman 58, 59 Taksaka Vaisaleva Gt. tanunaptra-ceremony 119. tibuya, 'laboo' 61.

Tarksya Aristanemi 82 tritya-savana 121, 122, trynägrhita 62. Trikandamandana 20. Trita S5. Trışamdhi 75. trişavana vrata 93 note 6. garbhadrichanani 72 note 11. tristubb, in funeral hymns 41.

Tauda, Taudayana 12. Tvastar-Savitar 4.

daksinā 121; its consequences 100: daksina-hymns 76, 77, 78, 87, 90. Dadhyañe Angirasa or Athar-

vana 23, 116, 118. Damtyosthavidhi, a grammatical treatise 20. dāksāvana-amulet 53, 64. dadhikra-stanzas 100. dānastuti 76, 77, 97, 99, 100. dăralakşana 72 note 10.

Dārila 16. dig-vukte (sc. stikte) SI. disam klotayalı 98. diksā 79, 114, 115, diksita Sa. Direhatamas-hymn 86, SS. Dūsin == Māra 105, 110. deva. as designation of Brahmans 3, 76. Devadarša, Devadaršin 12, 13.

devantha 99. Dosapati 105. drapsavatyah (sc. reah) 122. Dyit pantya Upanisad 18.

Dhürtakalpa 17, 20 note 13. dhruva havis 93, Naksatrakaipa 17, 35, narasan syali (se, reah) 70, 79

note 11, 97, 100. nitatnī, a plant 61. Nirukta 45, 119; Nirukta-nighantu of Kautsavya 20. nirgtikarmani 86 note 19. niskevalya-fastra 121. nairbīdhya havis 93. nairhasta havis 93.

paksahata 60. paficalalpa, "kalpin 10, 17. janat, vyahrti of the terrible Pancatapantya-Upanisad 18. Pancapatalika 20, 35. Parikiit 97. Paribabhraya 13. narí víd S5. parivikta mahist o8.

Nyarbudi 75.

Parifigas of AV, 16. parihasta 71. parvan, fictitious subdivision of AV. 35. pavamana-stotra 123. pasabandha 92.

puta-plant 73. patnivata-graha 121. papayakema 60, papi lakemi 84. papmagrhita 86 note 5.

paman 60. parikatyah (sc. reah) oz. pariplay am al hyanam 101 note

Parihasravasa 13. Pippalidi 11, 10, 24, pippaladi-santi-gana 12, 14. pistea-veda 108. pun savana 71. punya laksmi 85. puring veda 108. purusamedha 98, 100. purusa-sukta 88. Pururayas 64.

purchita 25, 29, 30, 34 note 17, 74, 75, 76, 77, 86. pustikarma 80. pugayamiya 73. Cp. grama*.

putudru-tree 64. pārva-brāhmana 101, 104, 107. priniparnī-plant 62. prini-sava 78.

Pedu St. Paithtnasi, author of a smrti

17; of a śrāddhakalpa 18, 21 note 22. Pailhinasi Mausaliputra 18. Paidva 81.

Paippalada, Paippalada-sakha 11, 13, 14, 15, 40, 103, 105, 106, 108, 110, 113 note 6, 119, 122.

paippalada mantrah 12, 17, 40 note 4 Paippalada-śraddhakalpa 12.

paunahida madhumantha 78. paustika 8. Cp. pustikarma Pranava-(Pranou)Upanisad 19, 24, 102, 105, 106, 108.

prati, characteristic of counter-witchcraft' 68, 69 note 6 pratiprāš 73.

pratiradha 99 Pratteint = Bihaspati 9. pratyangirasa - pratyabhicarana 8, 66, 68.

pratrāsa ājya 93. prayara 116 pravargya 119 oravalhikāh 98. Pracinayogya 114 prinipatya hymns 38, 94. Prana-hymn 88 pritah-savana 121. Pratisakhya: see, Atharva-

Prätišákhyu. prayascitta 4, 83, 103, 104, 106, 114, 117

pras 73prasitra food, and degend 103, 118.

Priyamedha Bharada ha 114. Predi(Proti) Kaušāmbeya Kausurasındu 115.

Barhi Angurasa 103, 118. balasa 60 Brhatsarvanukramanika of W. 20. Cp. Anukramant, and

Sarvanu.

Brhaspati, prototype of puro | 'Manskunder' hita 34 note 17, 74, 75; Angirasa 9, 117, 118. Cp. Brahmanaspati.

brahma, religion as a whole, 30. 86; in relation to the sun 89.

brahmagavi 79 note 8. brahmacarin, duties of 106, Ito; hymn to 89

Brahmanaspati, prototype of purohita 75. Cp. Brhaspati. brahman-priest, universal the 65. Cp. roots ologian and fourth priest mulakit, mulin 68, 82.

at srauta-sacrifices 10, 29. 30, 32, 105, 108, 112, 113, 116, 119; in relation to purchita 32ff

Brahmavada 13. brahmavarcasa 79 note 21. Brahma-Veda 1, 9, 10, 24, 31, 73, 86, 107, 112, 116. Cp.

sarvavidya. brahmodya, and brahmodyahymns 88, 98, 100, 113,

115, 117. brahmaudana 78, 87, 90, 112. Brahmantechamsın 96.

brahmanoktam, a ceremony 64,

Bhaga 7t. Bhadra, commentator of Kausika 16

'Bharadvaja's cleaver', a hymn 67. Bhava and Sarva 52, 82 Bhāgalı 13

Bhargava 13 Dhisa Atharyana S. 23 bhūta havis 93

bhutechadah-stanzas 96, 99 Bhrgu, a mythic fire priest 1, 9, 10, 23, name of a teacher 19, origin of 107, designs tion of AV 9, 10

Bhigu-veda (bhiguvistara) 9, Ehrgyangirasah 1, 9, 10, 107, 110, 111, 113 Cp Atharvan

gırasah. Bhrgvanguovid 10, 104, 110, 119. Cp. Atharvangirovid

bhesajani 1, 8, 9, 10, 22, 23, 58, 117. Cp santa. bhaişajya 58.

mangalika-hymns (AV. book madhustkta oo. manaspāpa Si. manupravalha 101 note 15. Mandhatar Yauvanasya 111.

Manya 'wrath', as spirat of bittle 75. manyusamana 72.

(munya and skandhyā), a disease 50. Mahabhasya, passage from in GB. 109.

mahāvrata-days 115. mahasinti 15 note 20. Mathara 13. mātrkalpika 10. madhyamdina-savana 92, 121. Mara 105, 110.

mitravinda 72. mūlakarman, mūlakriyā 26,

mīgāra-hymns 38, 51, 82; mīgāra-vrata 83 note 23. mesasrugi, a plant 62. mohana 76 note 11. Mauda, Maudayana 12, 13, Maudgalya 110. Mausaliputra (Paithinasi) 18.

yaksma 60. yajñakrama 102, 104, 106, 116, 117, 119,

yajñaprtyaścitta-sütra,appendix of Vaitana 16, 86 note 11. yaso havih 93. yıtı, yatuvidah 1, 8, 9, 23 vatudhana 26.

yatuvidya 61, 65. Yaska 17. Yuvan Kausika 13 rakşas, charms against 62

raksovidyt 61, 65 rathavahana havis or rahasya-texts 17. rayakarmanı 73, 74, 86 Cp royal rites rijayaksma 60. rijasilya 74, 100. Ramakrsna, author of Samskagaganapati 11. rastrasamvarga hymns 76 note

Rudra, commentator of Kaušika 16. Rebha (Agni) 97 raibhyah (sc feah) 97.

rohita-hymns 38, 55, 87, 89 Robits and Robint, symbols of king and queen 75

laksanagranthäh 20 lakemi, punya and papt \$5

likes plant or. Lamagayana 114

varana tree 62 Varnapatala 20 valaga 65, valagin 68. | Vala 78, 57, 90, 92.

132 II. Litteratur u. Geschichte. 1 d. Atharva-Vfda and Gopatha-Brāhmana.

salılani süktanı 15 note 20, 93 Sant, wife of Atharvan 8. ya ikarana, ya ikarmamantrah 70, 72. Santikalor 13, 17. sava, sava hymns 78, 87, 90. savanas, three daily 34, 92, vasatkāra 120. šantyudaka 112. 103, 106, 116. śala-sava 78. Vācaspati 79. alpa, šilpani 100, 123. sahasradaksina-sacrifice 116. Vic Virai 59 Vac Sarasvall 77. Siva 90, 105. samsravja havis Si, 93. tuno divyasya maho havis sakaması a-saman 122. vajapeya 74, 81, 123. säkamedha-rites 112. vavata mahist 98 93. sepaharsana 62. Vasudeva 17. simerimikani suktani 76 note Saunaka, Saunakin, Saunakiya Vicărin 111, 112 samtapana-fire 112. Vitāna-kalpa 17. 12, 13, 19. Saunaka (Shavank)Upanisad sidradha do. simaghosa 117 note 10. Vidhana-kalpa S. Cp. Angi-. 12, 20, 110, sammanasyani 72. Saunakiya-sūtra 14, 20 note rasa kalpa, strikth sambliarab 78. Viraj 89. savitra pasu 116. 15. śycnayaga, tyenejya 80 višvant 116. s'witri: see, gayatri. vivavidya 61. Sraddha, 'faith expressed in siltet-plant 61, sisanaka, 'plant', or 'horn' 60. works' 77. simantakarma 71. visant 62 Staddha, personified 117. subheşaja 10. visāsahi-hymn 38, 65, 94; Sraddhakalpa 17 (bis), 21 note Sumantu 25. visasahi-vrata 95. sūryā-sākta (book 14 of AV.) vişuvat 115, 116 38, 69, 88, 94. senästambhana 76 note 11. șodasin-sacrifice 122 vistatin odana 78 Somaditya, author of the vihavya (vihaviya-)hymn 67, sodašopacārapūjā 17. 68, 69 note 22 Aksepa, commentary of Vaivrsåkapi-hymn 100, 124 samvatsara-pravalha 101 note tāna 16. Vena 89 sosyantikarma 71. Vuttina-satra 16, 17. samvanana 70, 72. sautrāmanī 74, 93 note 3, 123. Vaitayana 16, 86 note 11. samskara 69. saumyalı (sc. reah) 122. venhitis in AV. 105, 107, 108, Samskäraganapati 11, Skandayiga 17, 20 note 13 Samhita kalpa, and vidhi 16, Skambha-hymns 3, 85. 109, 113, 119. viatya book (book 15 of AV.) stomabhäga-legend 104;-man 38, 91 note 15; \ratya-sto sattra 104, 115, 123. tras 119. mah 94. Sadasya priest 115, 117. Standa, Standiyana 12 Sanathumāra 24. strikarmani 69. Sunyu Atharvana 8, 112. samnati, samnati-homah 73, sraktya tree, sraktya amulet

samtatiya-hymn 62. saptarstolm havis 93 sambhumayobhū-hymns 15 samana havis 93. note 20, sarpavidya, sarpa-veda 61,108 Sarva 52, 82, 109. sarpahuti 83 note 15. sastrakanda 96, 100. sarv wid, sarvavidy i 105, 116 Cp. Brahma-Veda. šakuna 85. sinta, santa-veda 8, 9, 62 note 1, 105, 116. Cp. bhesajani kramant, and Bihatsarya.

sapaintbādhana 70.

sakadhuma 81. sataulana 78.

Svaraststra 20. svargandana 78. Syaidayana Saunaka 113. hasis, basis-hymns 67, 69 note 17, 93. Cp. Brahma-Veda. hranyagarbha-hymn 88. Sarvanukramanik II. Cp Ann. brdya, hrdayasya bandhanah

II. GENERAL INDEX.

Aboriginal barbarism, influ- 'attractio similium' 60, 63, 'Cleaver of Bharadyaja', a ence upon the Veda S. note 14 hymn 67. adaptation of hymns and avance, conjuration against 77. colic 60. Avest's, supposed to mention commentary on AV, 40; comstanzas 49, 50, 57. Alberton on AV. 1, 10, 29 AV. 5 note 8; haoma-wormentaries on Valtana sutra note 3, 95. ship in 2 and Kausika-sutra 16 allopathy, allopathic symbocomposite hymns 37, 43. lism 60 barles and water, curative 62, concitenation of stanzas 43. amulets, general character of battle-charms, battle devices 44 note 15 59; bestow and protect life ! conflict of sacrifices 67ff. 75. 61; various kinds 53, 62, Ibdelhum 62 114 note 7. 61, 66, 67, consecration of kings 74. Cp. beans, used in sorcery 66, 69 rājasūyā. anatomy, knowledge of in AV. note 14. hards ominous, charms against constinution 60 ants 60, 61. 85 contempt of AV. 3. bleeding 61 contents of AV. 57. Apastamba-srautasütra, relabooks of IV, number of 34, cosmogonic hymns 86 tion of to AV. 45. arrangement and subdivicosmozonies, Atharvanie 107, 'appeaser of wrath' 72. sions of 35 ff , first seven 35; 109, 117. arrangement of the hymns of eight to eighteen 37, thir | counter-witcheraft 68. AV. 31, 36, 38, teen to eighteen 93 ff , cow and calf, charm to seassembly, charms to secure twentieth book 95 cure mutual attachment of influence in 72. borrowed material in AV Sı. Atharvan hymns, arrangement cow of Brahman, inviolable 44and division of 31, 36, 38, Brahmans, claums and preclassification of 57, number tension of 3, 70 ff , lifts of 1, 57; grouped according to 78, high character of | danger, charms to obviate it to subject-matter 38, group ed on account of verbal 79, inviolability of 70, 77, So. St. 87, prayers and impreca deaths. 100 or more varieties correspondences 39 tions in behalf of 7, 76, of 64 Atharvan literature, brick south condition of 76. debate, success in 72, 73. sketch of 15ff poverty of 77, noblesse debts, burden the conscience Athary in reduction, chronooblice of 79. 51. Brilimana-texts, their relation defilement, charms against logy of 2, 3 Atharva-veda, names of 1, 7, to AV. 3 books-number of 34; borrow - Buddhistic literature, referen demons, charms against 62, 65. designations of 66, 68. ed material in 41; commences to AV 27 tary of 40; contempt of 3, designations of AV. 1, 7 contents of 57; designations columny, chaim against 54 Dharma-texts, relation of to of 1, 7; editions of 40, cuttle, marking of 8t AV 3, 25 serse-beginnings of 40; cattle-charms bo. dialectic differences contrasthistorical character of 5, 6; cattle-slaughter, expension of index verborum of 40; 85. ed with chronological differences 2, 46 metres of 40; position of caste in AV. 4. didactic stanzas 99 diseases, account of 59 ff. ; AV. itself 22; text historic chronology of Atharvan re-cal treatises of 20; trans- daction 2, 3; of popular transference of 62. daction 2, 3; of popular dissension in family etc. 72 divine eagle 80, 82. lations of 57 note; schools and hieratic language 2, 3, divisions of AV. 34, 36, 38 of 11 ff.; relation to other 46 collections 44. Cp. Saunt- classification of AV, hymns dogs heavenly 60, 93; dogsacrifice 68 Liva version. 57.

132 H. Lifteratur u. Geschichte. 1 b. Atharva-Veda and Gopatha-Brâhmana.

Santi, wife of Atharvan 8. salılani süktani 15 note 20, 93. yasikarana, vasikaranamansava, sava-hymns 78, 87, 90. trah 70, 72. Santikalpa 13, 17, savanas, three daily 34, 92, śāntyudaka 112. yaşatkara 120. 103, 106, 116. śala-sava 78: Vacaspati 79. ilpa, šilpani 100, 123. sahasradakşına-sacrifice 116. Vic Viraj 89 samsravya havis St, 93. Vac Sarasvati 77. Siva 90, 105. suno divyasya maho havis sīkamaśva-sāman 122. vajapeya 74, 81, 123. sakamedha-rites 112. vavotā mahişt 98 93. Sepaharsana 62. sameramıkani süktüni 76 note Vasudeva 17. Saunaka, Saunakin, Saunakiya Vicarin 111, 112. simtapana fire 112 Vitana-kalpa 17. 12, 13, 19. Sannaka (Shavank)Upanisad samaghoya 117 note 10. vidradha 60. Vidhāna kalpa 8. Cp. Angi-, 12, 20, 110 sammanasyanı 72 sīvikāh sambharah 78. rasa-kalpa. Saunakiva-sütra 14, 20 note savitra prin 116. Virai 89. 15. śycnayaga, śycnejya 80 višvant 116, vicavidya 61. Sraddha, 'faith expressed in silter plant 61. visanaka, 'plant', or 'horn' 60. works' 77. visant 62 Sraddha, personified 117. subheşaja 10. visasahi-hymn 38. 65, 94; Sraddhakalpa 17 (bis), 21 note Sumantu 25. visasahi vrata 95. visuvat 115, 116 vistarin odana 78. 30 dasin-sacrifice 122. vihavya (vihaviya-)hymn 67, kodašopacarapujā 17. 68, 69 note 22. vrsākarī hymn 100, 124 samvatsara-pravalha 101 note tīna 16. Vena bg. Vustina-sutra 16, 17. samvanana 70, 72. Vaitāyana 16, 86 note 11. samskåra 69. saumyah (sc. reah) 122. viahitis in AV, 105, 107, 108, Samskäraganapati 11. Skandayaga 17, 20 note 13. 109, 113, 119. Samhita-kalpa, and vidhi 16, Skamblit hymns 3, 88. vraty: book (book 15 of AV.) stomabhaga-legend 104; mantras 110.

38, 91 note 15; vratya-sto sattra 104, 115, 123, māli 94. Sadasya-priest 115, 117. Sanatkumara 24. Samyu Atharvana 8, 112. samnati, samnati-homah 73, sakadhama 81. 4atru Jana 78. sapatnibādhana 70. samtatty z-hymn 62. saptarsin'in havis 93. sambhumayobhu-hymns 15 samīna havis 93.

sarpavidy I, sarpa-veda 61,108, 5arva 52, 82, 109. surp thut: 83 note 15. sastrakanda 96, 100. sarvavid, sarvavidy t 105, 116. sakuna 85. Cp. Brahma-Veda sinta, santa-veda 8, 9, 62 note 1, Sarvanukramanik Ti. Cp Anu- brdya, brdayasya bandhanah 105, 116 Cp bhesajini

note 20.

kramant, and Brhatsarva".

savitri: see, gayatri. simantakarma 71. surya-sakta (book 14 of AV.) 38, 69, 88, 94. senastambhana 76 note 11. Somaditya, author of the Alsepa, commentary of Vaisosyantikarma 71. sautramant 74, 93 note 3, 123.

Stauda, Staudayana 12 sıtikarmanı 69. sraktya tree, sraktya-amulet Svarašāstra 20 svargaudana 78. Svaid ivana Saunaka 113. havis, havis-hymns 67, 69 note 17, 93 hiranyagaibha-hymn 88.

70, 72,

II. GENERAL INDEX. Abortgand batharism, inflat attractio similarity 60, 63, l'éleaver of Bharadvalai, a

Avesta, supposed to mention

hymn 67.

commentary on AV, 40; com-

colic 60

note 14.

adaptation of hymns and avarice, conjuration against 77.

ence upon the Veda S.

stanzas 49, 50, 57.

AV. 5 note S; h10ma-wormentaries on Vaitana sutra Albertani on AV. 1, 10, 25 and Kausika offra 16. note 3, 95 ship in 2 allopathy, allopathic symbocomposite hymns 37, 43. liam 60. barley and water, curative 62. concatenation of stanzas 47, battle-charms, battle devices 44 note 15. amulets, general character of conflict of sacrifices 67ff., 50: bestow and protect life 64; various kinds 53, 62, bdellium 62. 114 note 7. beans, used in sorcery 66, 69 | consecration of kings 74. Cp. 64, 66, 67, note 14. rāinsūvā. anatomy, knowledge of in AV. birds ommous, charms against constipation 60. 85. contempt of AV. 3. ants 60, 61, Apastamba frautusetru, relu- bleeding 61 contents of AV. 57. books of IV, number of 34, cosmogonic hymn; 86. tion of to AV 45. arrangement and subdivicosmogonies, Atharvanic 107, 'appeaser of wrath' 72. sions of 35 ff , first seven 35; 109, 117, arrangement of the hymns of eight to eighteen 37, thir- counter-witchcraft 68 AV. 34, 36, 38 teen to eighteen 93 ff, cow and calf, charm to seassembly, charms to secure twentieth book 95 cure mutual attachment of influence in 72. borrowed material in AV Sı. Atharvan hymns, arrangement cow of Brahman, mysolable 44 and division of 31, 39, 38, Brahmans, claims and preclassification of \$7; number tensions of 3, 76 ff , lifts of 1, 57; grouped according to 78, high character of danger, charms to obviate it to subject-matter 38, group ed on account of verbal 79, inviolability of 76, 77, So. St. 87, prayers and marrier deaths, 100 or more varieties correspondences 39 tions in behalf of 7, 76, of 61 literature. social condition of Mharvan brief 76. deliate, success in 72, 73. poverty of 77, noblesse debts, burden the conscience sketch of 15ff. oblige of 79 Atharvan reduction, chronodefilement, charms against Brahmana texts, their relation logy of 2, 3 Athanya veda, names of 1, 7, to \V. 1 buddhistic literature, referen books-number of 34; borrowdemons, churms agunst 62, ces to AV 27 65; designations of 66, 68. ed material in 44, commentary of 40; contempt of 3, designations of AV. 1, 7. contents of 57; designations calumny, charm against 51 Dharma texts, relation of to editions of 40, cattle, marking of \$1. AV 3, 25. of 1, 7; editions of verse beginnings of dialectic differences contrast-40: cattle charms bo. historical character of 5, 6; cattle-slaughter, expiation of ed with chronological differ-85. ences 2, 46 index verborum of 40; metres of 40; position of easte in AV. 4. diductic stanzas 98 charades 98-100. diseases, account of 59ff; in Hindu literature 21; in chronology of Atharvan re-AV. itself 22; text-historitransference of 62. cal treatises of 20; transdaction 2, 3; of popular dissension in family etc. 72. Intions of 57 note; schools and hieratic language 2, 3, divine engle So, 82 divisions of AV. 34, 36, 38 of 11 ff.; relation to other 46. collections 44. Cp. Sauna classification of AV. hymns dogs heavenly 60, 93; dog-Ltya-version. 57. sacrifice 68.

dreams, evil 83, 85. dropsy 60.

Earth, hymn to 90. eating alone, expiation of

editions of AV. 40. officies used in witchcraft 66, 68, 68 note 4. eighth to eighteenth book of

AV. 37. eighteenth book of AV. 95. election of kings 74-

elephant, symbol of royalty bodily characteristics, evil charms against 71.

evil dreams \$3, 85. exiled king, charm to restore lum 74.

expiation for gifts received 79, 84. expiatory hymns, stanzas, and harmony, charms to secure it formulas 34, 38, 83, 84,

cance of 4. eve, diseases of 61

family strife, charms against

72. 'Father time' 80. fifteenth book of AV 94. fire, charms against 80.

fire-service contrasted with soma-service 1, 2 first to seventh book of AV.

five Vedas (1) 105, 108, 116. four Vedas, posited, defended, and illustrated 40 note 30,

104, 105, 110, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117. fourteenth book of AV. 94 frog, against fire 80, symbol

of rain So: against fever 63 note 5. funeral-hymns and stanzas 15.

95; chronology of 2; funeralpractices 77.

gambling-charms 81; -debts geographical data in AV. 4.

gifts, expiation for receipt of 79. 8¢. girdle, sacred 64.

goat, as gift to Brahmans 78.

Gopatha-brihmana 16, 101 ff.; uttara-brahmana of 102,117; correspondence of with Jumintya - brihmana 117; . safety 81.

with Vedic texts in general

103, 104; secondary cha- | Kalpas of AV-, five 13, 16. racter of 102. grain, charms to secure abund-

ance of 80. grammatical treatises, belonging to AV. 20; contain

references to AV. 27; grammatical matters in Gopathabrāhmana 105, 109.

Grhya sutras, character of and relation to AV. 5, 6, 24,

grouping of hymns, according to subject-matter 38; on dialect 45. account of verbal corres- law-books, relation of to AV. nondences 39. 'Gradge' (Arati), name of a

demon 77. hair, charms to cause its

'crowth 61. haoma-worship in Avesta 2.

7, 72. 111; chronological signifi- health, charms to procure it

> hemiplegia 60. hieratic language and religion i contrasted with popular 2, 46. Handu laterature, its estimate

of AV. 21 historical character of AV. 5, 6 'holy water' 112. holy wood, ten kinds of 62.

homotopathy 60, 63 note 14. horse, charm to make it swift hospitality, rites of in RV. 7

note 2. house, moved when given

as a gift to Brahmans 78 house building 80. hundred years, ideal length

of life 64.

husband, charms to obtain one 71. imprecations, against demons

etc. 65ff.; against oppressors of Brahmans 77; against rivals 70 index verborum of AV. 40:

index of pratikas 40. Jaimintya-brahmana, corres-

pondence of with AV, 45; with GB. 117. contents of 107; parva. with GB. 117. brilinana of 104, 107; Jama-hterature, its view of AV. 27.

journey, charm to ensure its

Kausika sutra described 16. 17; its relation to AV. 57.

their election. conkings. secration, or exile 74. Cp. roval rites. Ksatriyas, their relation to

AV. 10, 73 language of AV., chronology of 45; relation to that of

RV. 45, 47; to the classical

3. 25. lead, used in sorcery 66.

leprosy 60. lightning, protection against lion, symbol of royalty 74-

literature of AV., brief sketch of 15ff. liturgic terms in AV. 93

note 3. long life, charms to secure it 62, 63, lost property, charms to find

it 81. love charms 70, 71. Mahabharata, relation of to

AV. 26. mania 83; charms to cure it marking of cattle S1.

medicine and medical charms in AV. 7, 57, 58, chronology of 59; symbolic treat-ment of 59 ff.; in classical Sanskrit 63 note 10.

merchant's prayer 81. metres of AV. 1, 41; critical restoration of 4z.

miscarriage, charm to prevent ıt 71, mishap and misfortune, charms to prevent them 83.

moon, phases of 82; in cosmic relation with AV. 40 note 30, 51, 107, 108, 112, 114, 116.

mourning-women 95mustard, against ophthalmia 61.

names of AV. 1, 7. full-moon

new-moon and fu offerings 113, 117. new-year's festival 82. Night, hymns to 82 nightmare 83, 85. Nirukta, relation of to AV.

noblesse oblige of Brahmans 79.

obscenity in AV. 98, 99, 100. omens and portents 17, 83 Cp. adbhutani. ophthalmia 61.

oppression of Brahmans 76. 77, 94, order of Atharvan stanzas as critical help 43

Painpalada-version of AV, description of 14, 15; extract from in the Kathaka

Reaka 14. panaceas 62. pantheon, Vedic in AV. 3.

panther, symbol of royalty 74.

Parisistas of AV. described 16. Parsis, 'Vedas' of S.

64. pepper as a cure forwounds

61. Persian translation of AV. 58, of Upanisads 19, 108. personal characteristics, good and evil 72 note 10, 85

philtres 70 'piss-ants' 60, 61. ploughing-charm So ploughshare-amulet 67

poison, charms against 61. popular religion contrasted with hieratic religion 2 pornidge as gift to Bruhmans

78.

purificatory charms 84. Purchita, his relation to AV 25, 29, 30, 74; to Bihaspati 34 note 17; to royalty 74, 75

Parva-brahmana of Gopathabrahmana 101 ff , 104, con tents of 107.

prayascitta literature in AV 4, 83. prose parts of AV 1, 5 note 1,

it So, S1.

rain, charms to secure it So. religious matters, fieglect of

riddies 98—1∞' Rig-veda, its relation to AV.

2, 21, 45 riturnistic hymns 33, 91, riturn texts of AV. their attitude towards the AV. 28, 29

river, charm to conduct it into a new channel So.

roots of plants, sorcery with 26, 27, 52, 65, 68, 68 note 4,

royal rites and charms 7, 17, 33, 73 ff.

sacrifices, conflict of 67 ff., 114 note 7: imperfections in 84, 103, 104, 106, 108, 119.

salve, amulet of 62, 64. Sama-veda, relation of to AV.

45. Sanskrit literature, its estimate of AV. 27.

Saunaktya-version of AV. description of 13, 15; its padapatha described 16; its commentary 16; its re-

daction 34ff Cp Atharvaveda pearl and its shell as amulets schools of AV. 11 ff , discriminated against in the Pari

\$15tas 30 seed, blessing of So. serpent, symbol of royalty

74; serpents, charms against 61. 81

sesame in sorcery-practices 26, 69 note 14 seventeenth book of AV. 94 Cp visāsahi

shepherd's charm agrunst wild beasts S1 sin, Hindu conception of \$3;

sins of the gods \$3, \$5. sixteenth book of AV. 94. sleeping charm 70. som's service, contrasted with

hre-service 1, 2. sortery practices 66, native literature of 66, undertaken

with theosophic hymns 3, 86, 89, with an ethical hymn S7. sores, cure of 61

spells, planted in all sorts of objects 68 Cp. krtya. smuta-practices in AV. 4, 33

84, 91, 92, 93 note 3. prosperity, charms to obtain scauta-priests, 16 in number 115; 17 or 21 in number 117-

frauta-texts, their estimate of AV 22. stanzas, number of in AV. 1. sterility, charm against 71.

stray cattle, charm to bring it back St. sun in relation to brahma S9.

superior readings in AV. as compared with RV. 49; venereal disease 63

as compared with Yajurveda 56. symbolic treatment of disease

59 ff.

Taittīriya brāhmana, its relation to AV. 45. teachers, list of Atharvanic teeth, first pair of in children

tetrads, Atharvanic; see, four Vedas.

text-historical treatises of AV. theosophic hymns 2, 3, 4, 86; list of in Culiki-upanisad

co note 1. thirteenth book of AV, S7,

tiger, tiger-skin, symbol of royalty 74 Time as a theosophic con-

cept 80. transference of disease 62. translations of AV. 57 note.

truant woman, charm to bring her back 70. tumors 61.

twentieth book of AV. 91, 95, 06, 100, twenty, number of Atharvan

books 34, 107. twin-calves, expiation of the birth of 77.

unlucky star, child born under 71.

Upanisads in general, their relation to AV. 24; Upanisads of the AV. 18-20; Upanisad material in Gopatha-brahmana 19, 104, 107, 108, 110.

urine, retention of 60; urinating, expiation of \$4. Uttara brahmana of Gopathabrahmana 101, secondary

character of 102; contents of 117.

Vattina sutra, described 16, 17: relation of to Gopathabrahmana 102. Various readings of AV. and RV. 47; of VV. and Yajur-

veda 53, of AV. and Srautasütras 55. Varuna and Atharvan, dia-

logue between 77. Vedas: see, four Vedas, and, five Vedas.

Vedic learning, prayers to obtain it 79.

136 H. Litteratur u. Geschichte, i e. Atharva-Vi da and Copatha-Brāhmana.

40 note 30, 51, 107, 108, wisdom, rite to procure it 112, 114, 116. vermin in the field, exorcism of So. water-plants, used against fire witchcraft; see, sorcery. village-politics 7, 73. virility, charms to produce it I women, charms pertaining to 62; charms to deprive men wealth, charms to procure it | 7, 69.

men of it 70. Sı. worms, charms against 61. weather-charm, weather-pro- wounds, cure of 61. war-charms 75. phet 81. water, as gift to Brahmans wedding-charms 69, 71; chro- younger brother's precedence,

79; as a cure of disease nology of 2. expiration of \$5.

62; waters and moon in wedding-lymps of AV. 94
recomic relation with AV. Cp. stry4-stakta.

Grundriss

der

Indo-arischen Philologie und Altertumskunde. Plan des Werkes.

Band I. Allgemeines und Sprache.

 a) Georg Buhler von J. Jolly. 'Mit einem Bildnis Bühlers in Heliogravure [Subskr. Freis M. 2 -, Linzelpreis M. 2.50]. 4) Geschichte der indo arischen Philologie und Altertumskunde von Ernst Kuhn

2) Vorgeschichte der indo-arischen Sprachen von R. Meringer.

vorgestillente der into-ansenen sprachen von R. Meringer.
 a) Die nidsschen Systeme, der Grammatik, Thonetik und ktymologie von B. Liebich.
 Die indsschen Worterbächer (Kośa) von Th. Zachariae [Subskr-Pres M. 2-9-Pinzel-4] Grammatik der vedischen Dulecte von A. A. Macdonell (englisch). [pres M. 250]
 Grammatik des classischen Sanskrit der Grammatiker, der Litteratur und der Inschrifter,

sowie der Mischdialekte (epischer und nordbiddhistischer) von H. Luders.

*6) Vedische und Sanskrit-Syntax von J. S. Speyer [Subskr.-Preis M. 4 .-- , Linzeipreis M. 5 .--]

7) Paligraminatiker, Paligrammatik von O. Franke.

b) Prakritgrammatiker, Prakritgrammitik von R. Pischel. 9) Grammatik und Litteratur des tertiären Prakrits von Indien von G. A. Grierson (englisch).

10) Grammatik und Litteratur des Singhalesischen von Wilh. Geiger. *11) Indische Palaographie (mit 17 Tafeln in Mappe) von G. Lühler [Subskr.-Preis M. 15 .-- ,

Band II. Litteratur and Geschichte.

Linzelpreis M. 1850].

1) Vedische Lutteratur (Sruti).

a) Die drei Veden von K. Geldner.

'b) The Atharvaveda and the Gopatha Brahmana by M. Bloomfield (englisch) [subskr-Preis M. 5 --, Finzelpreis M. 6 --]. 2) l'pische un I classische Litteratur (einschliesslich der Poetik und der Metrik) von H. Jacobi

3) Quellen der indischen Geschichte. a) Litteransche Werke und Inschriften von F. Kielhorn (englisch).

Mith five plates. By L. J. Rapson (englisch) [Subsk. Preis M. 5.—4) Geographic von M. A. Stein.

Einzelpreis M. 6.—].

5) I thnographic von A. Barnes (englisch)

6) Stantsaltertümer | von J Jolly 7) Privataltertümer | und Sir R. West (englisch).

*8) Recht und Sitte (einschliesslich der einheimischen Litteratur) von J. Jolly [Subskr-Preis M. 6 50, Einzelpreis M. 8,-].

9) Politische Geschichte bis zur muhammedanischen Lroberung von f. F. Pleet (englisch). Band III. Religion, weltliche Wissenschaften und Kunst.

1) *a) Veille Mythology by A. A. Macdonell englisch) [Subskr.-Preis M. 7.50, Einzelpreis 6) Epische Mythologie von M. Winternitz. *2) Ritual-Luteratur, Vedische Opfer und Zauber von A. Hillebrandt [Subskr-Preis M. 8 .-...

Finzelpreis M 9 50] 1) Ved nta und - Mim misa von G. Thihaut.

*4) Sarkhya und Yoga von R Garbe [Subskr-Preis M. 250, Eurzelpreis M. 3 .-]. 5) Nyiya und Variesika von A. Venus (englisch).

6) Vannavas, Saivas, Sauras, Genapatas, Skandas, Bhaktimirga von R. G. Bhandarkar ,

7) Jainas von E. Leumann.
78) Manul of Indian Buddhism by H. Kern (englisch) [Sabakt-Preis M. 5.50, Linzelpreis of Astropomic, Astrologic und Mathematik von G. Thibaut [Subskr-Preis M. 3.50, Linzelpreis M. 3.5 rreis V. 4 .- L 10) Medizin von J. Jolly

11) Bildende Kunst (mit Illustrationen) von J. Burgess (englisch).

12) Musik.

NB. Die mit * bezeichneten Hefte sind bereits erschienen und zu den beigesetzten Preisen durch die meisten Buchhandlangen zu beziehen.

VEPLÁG VON KARL J. TRÚBNER IN STRASSBURG.

ON THE ORIGIN

OF THE

INDIAN BRĀHMA ALPHABE

BI

GEORG BUHLER.

SLCOND REVISED EDITION OF INDIAN STUDIES, No III

TOGETHER WITH TWO APPENDICTS ON THE ORIGIN OF THE KHAROSTHE ALPHABET AND OF THE SO CALLED LETTER NAMEDALS OF THE BEATINE

WITH THREE LEADES

Gr So MH 124 S 1898 M 5-

Hittiter und Armenie

...

P. Jensen.

Mits ehn lithographischen Schryttafeln und einer Übersichtslarte
, Gr 8° XXVI 255 S 1898 M 25-

Inhalt I Das Vilk und das Land der Hatto Havk — II Die hattich armenische Inschriften a. Liste der bekannten inschriften B Transskript ons i Ubersetzungsversuche — III Das hat sch urmenische Schriftsjetem A. P Schriftsjeten und ihre Verwendung Mit einem Anhang B Das 1931 i Vorbild des hatis hen Schriftsjetems C Palieourmenischer Ursprung de hatischen Schrift - IV Die Sprache der Hatter und das Armenische A Grammatisches B I evikalisches C. Der I autbestand der hatisch Sprache im Verhältins zu dem des Indogernaniss en und des Armenisch — V Zur hatisch-armenischer Rel gion A. Hatische Götternamen. C Hutische Gotter D Linfluss des syn e Kultus auf den der Hatter E. Die Religion der Hatter und die & Armenisch Armenisch — VI Zur hatisch armenischen Geschichte — Nieftrig